A duality between the metric projection onto a convex cone and the metric projection onto its dual in Hilbert spaces*

S. Z. Németh
School of Mathematics, The University of Birmingham
The Watson Building, Edgbaston
Birmingham B15 2TT, United Kingdom
email: nemeths@for.mat.bham.ac.uk

Abstract

If K and L are mutually dual closed convex cones in a Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$ with the metric projections onto them denoted by P_K and P_L respectively, then the following two assertions are equivalent: (i) P_K is isotone with respect to the order induced by K (i. e. $v-u\in K$ implies $P_Kv-P_Ku\in K$); (ii) P_L is subadditive with respect to the order induced by L (i. e. $P_Lu+P_Lv-P_L(u+v)\in L$ for any $u,\ v\in\mathbb{H}$). This extends the similar result of A. B. Németh and the author for Euclidean spaces. The extension is essential because the proof of the result for Euclidean spaces is essentially finite dimensional and seemingly cannot be extended for Hilbert spaces. The proof of the result for Hilbert spaces is based on a completely different idea which uses extended lattice operations.

1. Introduction

For simplicity let us call a closed convex cone simply cone. Both the isotonicity [8, 9] and the subadditivity [1, 13], of a projection onto a pointed cone with respect to the order defined by the cone can be used for iterative methods for finding solutions of complementarity problems with respect to the cone. Iterative methods are widely used for solving various types of equilibrium problems (such as variational inequalities, complementarity problems etc.) In the recent years the isotonicity gained more and more ground for handling such problems (see [16], [3] and the large number of references in [3] related to ordered vector spaces). If a complementarity problem is defined by a cone

---

[*] *1991 A M S Subject Classification:* Primary 90C33, Secondary 15A48; *Key words and phrases:* convex sublattices, isotone projections.

$K \subset \mathbb{H}$ and a mapping $f : K \to \mathbb{H}$, where $(\mathbb{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a Hilbert space, then x is a solution of the corresponding complementarity problem (that is, $x \in K$, $f(x) \in K^*$ and $\langle x, f(x) \rangle = 0$, where K^* is the dual of K), if and only if $x = P_K(x - f(x))$. Thus, if f is continuous and the sequence x^n given by the iteration $x^{n+1} = P_K(x^n - f(x^n))$ is convergent, then its limit is a fixed point of the mapping $x \mapsto P_K(x - f(x))$ and therefore a solution of the corresponding complementarity problem. A specific way for showing the convergence of the sequence $x^{n+1} = P_K(x^n - f(x^n))$ is to use the isotonicity [8, 9] or subadditivity [1, 13] of P_K with respect to the order induced by the cone K. In finite dimension the isotonicity (subadditivity) of P_K imposes strong constraints on the structure of K (K^*). If P_K is isotone (subadditive), then K (K^*) has to be a direct sum of the subspace $V = K \cap (-K)$ ($V = K^* \cap (-K^*)$) with a latticial cone of a specific structure in the orthogonal complement of the subspace V (see [6, 10, 13]). There exist cones of this type which are important from the practical point of view, such as the monotone cone (see [6]) and the monotone nonnegative cone (see [4]). For Euclidean spaces the authors of [13] showed that P_K is isotone with respect to the order induced by K if and only if P_L is subadditive with respect to the order induced by L, where K and L are mutually dual pointed closed convex cones. If K is also pointed and generating, then the isotonicity of P_K with respect to the order induced by K implies the latticiality of the cone in Hilbert spaces as well (see [7, 8, 9]). The main result of this paper states that P_K is isotone with respect to the order induced by K (i.e., $v - u \in K$ implies $P_K v - P_K u \in K$) if and only if P_L is subadditive with respect to the order induced by L (i.e., $P_L u + P_L v - P_L(u + v) \in L$ for any u, $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$), where K and L are mutually dual pointed closed convex cones of a Hilbert space, thus extending the result of [13]. This result also implies that if K is a pointed generating cone in a Hilbert space such that P_K is subadditive with respect to the order induced by K, then it must be latticial. The latter two results have been already proved in Euclidean spaces (see [13] and [10]), but they were open until now in Hilbert spaces, except for the particular case of a Hilbert lattice [12]. Although originally motivated by complementarity problems, recently it turned out that the isotonicity and subadditivity of projections are also motivated by other practical problems at least as important as the complementarity problems such as the problem of map-making from relative distance information e.g., stellar cartography (see

www.convexoptimization.com/wikimization/index.php/Projection_on_Polyhedral_Convex_Cone

and Section 5.13.2 in [4]) and isotone regression [6], where the equivalence between two classical algorithms in statistics is proved by using theoretical results about isotone projections. We remark that our proofs are essentially infinite dimensional and apparently there is no easy way to extend the methods of [13] to infinite dimensions. The paper [6] shows that investigation of the structure of cones admitting isotone and subadditive projections is important for possible future applications. The proofs presented here also provide a more elegant way of proving the results of [13]. However, the difference is that they do not contain the proof of the latticiality of the involved cones. (For pointed generating cones in Hilbert spaces this is the consequence of the main result in [7].)

The structure of this note is as follows: After some preliminary terminology we introduce the main tools for our proofs the Moreau's decomposition theorem (i.e., Lemma 1) and the lattice-like operations related to a projection onto a cone and then we proceed to showing our main result.

2. Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{H}$ be a a real Hilbert space endowed with a scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and let $\|.\|$ the norm generated by the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Throughout this note we shall use some standard terms and results from convex geometry (see e.g. [17]).

Let K be a *closed convex cone* in $\mathbb{H}$, i. e., a nonempty closed set with $tK + sK \subset K$, $\forall\, t, s \in \mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$. The closed convex cone K is called *pointed*, if $K \cap (-K) = \{0\}$.

The cone K is *generating* if $K - K = \mathbb{H}$.

The convex cone K defines a pre-order relation (i.e., a reflexive and transitive binary relation) $\leq_K$, where $x \leq_K y$ if and only if $y - x \in K$. The relation is *compatible with the vector structure* of $\mathbb{H}$ in the sense that $x \leq_K y$ implies $tx + z \leq_K ty + z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{H}$, and all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. If $\sqsubseteq$ is a reflexive and transitive relation on $\mathbb{H}$ which is compatible with the vector structure of $\mathbb{H}$, then $\sqsubseteq = \leq_K$ with $K = \{x \in \mathbb{H} : 0 \sqsubseteq x\}$. If K is pointed, then $\leq_K$ it is *antisymmetric* too, that is $x \leq_K y$ and $y \leq_K x$ imply that $x = y$. Hence, in this case $\leq_K$ becomes an order relation (i.e, a reflexive, transitive and antisymmetric binary relation). The elements x and y are called *comparable* if $x \leq_K y$ or $y \leq_K x$.

We say that $\leq_K$ is a *latticial order* if for each pair of elements $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$ there exist the lowest upper bound $\sup\{x, y\}$ (denoted by $x \vee y$) and the uppest lower bound $\inf\{x, y\}$ of the set $\{x, y\}$ (denoted by $x \wedge y$) with respect to the relation $\leq_K$. In this case K is said a *latticial or simplicial cone*, and $\mathbb{H}$ equipped with a latticial order is called a *Riesz space* or *vector lattice.*

The *dual* of the convex cone K is the set

$$K^* := \{y \in \mathbb{H} :\ \langle x, y \rangle \geq 0,\ \forall x \in K\}.$$

The set K^* is a closed convex cone. If K is a closed cone, then the extended Farkas lemma (see Exercise 2.31 (f) in [2]) says that $(K^*)^* = K$. Hence denoting $L = K^*$ we see that $K = L^*$ and $L^* = K$. For the closed cones K and L related by these relations we say that they are *mutually dual cones.* The cone K is called *self-dual*, if $K = K^*$. If K is self-dual, then it is a generating, pointed, closed convex cone.

Let K be a closed convex cone and $\rho : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$ a mapping. Then, ρ is called *K-isotone* if $x \leq_K y$ implies $\rho(x) \leq_K \rho(y)$ and *K-subadditive* if $\rho(x + y) \leq_K \rho(x) + \rho(y)$, for any $x, y \in H$.

Denote by P_D the projection mapping onto a nonempty closed convex set D of the Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$, that is the mapping which associates to $x \in \mathbb{H}$ the unique nearest point of x in D ([18]):

$$P_D x \in D, \text{ and } \|x - P_D x\| = \inf\{\|x - y\| :\ y \in D\}.$$

Next, we shall frequently use the following simplified form of the Moreau's decomposition theorem [11]:

Lemma 1 *Let K and L be mutually dual cones in the Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$. For any x in K we have $x = P_K x - P_L(-x)$ and $\langle P_K x, P_L(-x)\rangle = 0$. The relation $P_K x = 0$ holds if and only if $x \in -L$.*

Let K and L be mutually dual cones in the Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$. Define the following operations in $\mathbb{H}$:

$$x \sqcap_K y = P_{x-K}y,\ \ x \sqcup_K y = P_{x+K}y,\ \ x \sqcap_L y = P_{x-L}y,\ \text{and}\ x \sqcup_L y = P_{x+L}y.$$

Assume that the operations $\sqcup_K$, $\sqcap_K$, $\sqcup_L$ and $\sqcap_L$ have precedence over the addition of vectors and multiplication of vectors by scalars.

If K is self-dual, then $\sqcup_K = \sqcup_L$ and $\sqcap_K = \sqcap_L$ and we arrive to the generalized lattice operations defined by Gowda, Sznajder and Tao in [5], and used by our paper [14].

A direct checking yields that if K is a self-dual latticial cone, then $\sqcap_K = \sqcap_L = \wedge$, and $\sqcup_K = \sqcup_L = \vee$. That is $\sqcap_K$, $\sqcap_L$, $\sqcup_K$ and $\sqcup_L$ are some *lattice-like operations.*

We shall simply call a set M which is invariant with respect to the operations $\sqcap_K$, $\sqcap_L$, $\sqcup_K$ and $\sqcup_L$ *K-invariant.*

The following Theorem greatly extends Lemma 2.4 of [16] and since it can be shown very similarly to Theorem 1 of [15] (i.e., the corresponding result in Euclidean spaces), we state it here without proof.

Theorem 1 *Let $K \subset \mathbb{H}$ be a closed convex cone and $C \subset \mathbb{H}$ be a closed convex set. Then C is K-invariant, if and only if P_C is K-isotone.*

3. The main result

Theorem 2 *Let K, L be mutually dual closed convex cones in a Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$. Then, the following two statements are equivalent:*

(i) P_K is K-isotone.

(ii) P_L is L-subadditive.

Proof.

(i) $\implies$ (ii): From Theorem 1, it follows that K is K-invariant. From the definition of the K-invariance it is easy to see that K is also L-invariant. Hence, by using again Theorem 1, it follows that P_K is L-isotone. Now let $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$ be arbitrary. From Lemma 1, we have $x + y \leq_L P_K(x) + P_K(y)$, because

$$P_K(x) + P_K(y) - x - y = P_K(x) - x + P_K(y) - y = P_L(-x) + P_L(-y) \in L + L \subset L.$$

Hence, by the L-isotonicity of P_K, we have

$$P_K(x+y) \leq_L P_K(P_K(x)+P_K(y)) = P_K(x)+P_K(y),$$

which means that P_K is L-subadditive. Thus, by using Lemma 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} P_L(x+y) &= x+y+P_K(-x-y) \leq_L x+y+P_K(-x)+P_K(-y) \\ &= x+P_K(-x)+y+P_K(-y) = P_L(x)+P_L(y), \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to the L-subadditivity of P_L.

(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i): Let $x \leq_L y$. Then, $y-x \in L$ and therefore, by the L-subadditivity of P_L and Lemma 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} P_K(x) = P_L(-x)+x = P_L(y-x-y)+x &\leq_L P_L(y-x)+P_L(-y)+x \\ &= y-x+P_L(-y)+x = P_K(y) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, P_K is L-isotone and therefore Theorem 1 implies that K is L-invariant. From the definition of the K-invariance it is easy to see that K is also K-invariant. Therefore, by using again Theorem 1, it follows that P_K is K-isotone. □

References

[1] M. Abbas and S. Z. Németh. Solving nonlinear complementarity problems by isotonicity of the metric projection. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 386(2):882–893, 2012.

[2] S. Boyd and L. Vandenberghe. *Convex optimization.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.

[3] S. Carl and S. Heikkilä. *Fixed point theory in ordered sets and applications.* Springer, New York, 2011. From differential and integral equations to game theory.

[4] J. Dattorro. *Convex Optimization and Euclidean Distance Geometry.* M$\varepsilon\beta$oo publishing, version 01.28.2012, 2012.

[5] M. S. Gowda, R. Sznajder, and J. Tao. Some p-properties for linear transformations on Euclidean Jordan algebras. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 393:203–232, 2004.

[6] A. Guyader, N. Jégou, A. B. Németh, and S. Z. Németh. A geometrical approach to iterative isotone regression, 2012, arXiv:1211.3930.

[7] G. Isac and A. B. Németh. Every generating isotone projection cone is latticial and correct. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 147(1):53–62, 1990.

[8] G. Isac and A. B. Németh. Isotone projection cones in Hilbert spaces and the complementarity problem. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B.*, 7(4):773–802, 1990.

[9] G. Isac and A. B. Németh. Projection methods, isotone projection cones, and the complementarity problem. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 153(1):258–275, 1990.

[10] G. Isac and A. B. Németh. Isotone projection cones in Eucliden spaces. *Ann. Sci. Math Québec*, 16(1):35–52, 1992.

[11] J. J. Moreau. Décomposition orthogonale d'un espace hilbertien selon deux cônes mutuellement polaires. *C. R. Acad. Sci.*, 255:238–240, 1962.

[12] A. B. Németh. Characterization of a Hilbert vector lattice by the metric projection onto its positive cone. *J. Approx. Theory*, 123(2):295–299, 2003.

[13] A. B. Németh and S. Z. Németh. A duality between the metric projection onto a cone and the metric projection onto its dual. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 392(2):172–178, 2012.

[14] A. B. Németh and S. Z. Németh. Self-dual cones, generalized lattice operations and isotone projections, 2012, arXiv:1210.2324.

[15] A. B. Németh and S. Z. Németh. Lattice-like operations and isotone projection sets. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 2013, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2013.08.032, arXiv:1212.4415.

[16] H. Nishimura and E. A. Ok. Solvability of variational inequalities on Hilbert lattices. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 37(4):608–625, 2012.

[17] R. T. Rockafellar. *Convex Analysis.* Princeton: Princeton Univ. Press, 1970.

[18] E. Zarantonello. Projections on convex sets in Hilbert space and spectral theory, I: Projections on convex sets, II: Spectral theory. *Contrib. Nonlin. Functional Analysis, Proc. Sympos. Univ. Wisconsin, Madison*, pages 237–424, 1971.