BPS MAXWELL-CHERN-SIMONS-LIKE VORTICES IN A LORENTZ-VIOLATING FRAMEWORK

R. CASANA,* M.M. FERREIRA JR., E. DA HORA, and A.B.F. NEVES

Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal do Maranhão
São Luís, Maranhão 65080-805, Brazil
**E-mail: rodolfo.casana@gmail.com*

We have analyzed Maxwell-Chern-Simons-Higgs BPS vortices in a Lorentz-violating CPT-odd context. The Lorentz violation induces profiles with a conical behavior at the origin. For some combination of the coefficients for Lorentz violation there always exists a sufficiently large winding number for which the magnetic field flips its sign.

Lorentz-violating (LV) theories have caught much attention since the proposal of the Standard-Model Extension (SME),[1] whose properties have been intensively scrutinized in many areas of contemporary physics. The study of topological defects in LV scenarios was conducted initially for kinks,[2] monopoles.[3] Also, the formation of topological defects (monopoles) was analyzed in a broader framework of field theories endowed with tensor fields that spontaneously break Lorentz symmetry.[4] Recently, the existence of BPS vortex of type Abrikosov-Nielsen-Olesen has been shown in the presence of CPT-even LV terms of the SME.[5]

The aim of this contribution is to study Chern-Simons-like BPS vortices in an LV framework attained via the dimensional reduction of the Maxwell-Carroll-Field-Jackiw-Higgs model.[6] Such dimensional reduction provides a Maxwell-Chern-Simons-Higgs (MCSH) model modified by LV terms,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} = &-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4}s\,\epsilon^{\nu\rho\sigma}A_\nu F_{\rho\sigma} + |\partial_\mu\phi - ieA_\mu\phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\psi\partial^\mu\psi \\ &-e^2\psi^2|\phi|^2 - U(|\phi|,\psi) - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\rho\sigma}(k_{AF})_\mu\left(A_\rho\partial_\sigma\psi - \psi\partial_\rho A_\sigma\right), \qquad (1)\end{aligned}$$

where the LV parameter s plays the role of a Chern-Simons coupling, and $(k_{AF})_\mu$ is the (1+2)-dimensional Carroll-Field-Jackiw (CFJ) background. The potential $U(|\phi|,\psi) = (ev^2 - e|\phi|^2 - s\psi)^2/2$ provides the BPS vortices.

The corresponding Gauss law is

$$\partial_j \partial_j A_0 - sB - \epsilon_{ij} \left(k_{AF}\right)_i \partial_j \psi = 2e^2 A_0 \left|\phi\right|^2 . \tag{2}$$

To explicitly attain the vortex solutions, we use the ansatz $\phi = vg\left(r\right) e^{in\theta}$, $A_\theta = -(a(r) - n)/er$, $A_0 = \omega(r)$, $\psi = \mp\omega(r)$. The functions $a(r)$, $g(r)$ and $\omega(r)$ satisfy the following boundary conditions:

$$g(0) = 0,\, a(0) = n,\, \omega'(0) = \pm\omega_0 (k_{AF})_\theta/2, \tag{3}$$

$$g(\infty) = 1,\, a(\infty) = 0,\, \omega(\infty) = 0, \tag{4}$$

where n is the winding number of the vortex configuration, $\left(k_{AF}\right)_\theta$ is the angular component of $\left(k_{AF}\right)_i$ whereas the constant $\omega_0 = \omega\left(0\right)$ depends on the boundary conditions and it is numerically determined. In this ansatz the magnetic field is given by $B = -a'/er$. Then, the stationary energy density associated with the model (1) is written as

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2}\left[B \mp \left(ev^2\left(1 - g^2\right) \pm s\omega\right)\right]^2 + v^2\left(g' \mp \frac{ag}{r}\right)^2 \pm ev^2 B + \partial_a \mathcal{J}_a, \tag{5}$$

where the condition $\left(k_{AF}\right)_0 = 0$ was adopted to insure positiveness of the energy density. The energy is minimized by requiring

$$g' = \pm\frac{ag}{r},\; B = \pm ev^2\left(1 - g^2\right) + s\omega, \tag{6}$$

which are the BPS equations, where the upper (lower) sign corresponds to $n > 0$ ($n < 0$). These and the Gauss law now written as

$$\omega'' + \frac{\omega'}{r} - sB \mp (k_{AF})_\theta\left(\omega' + \frac{\omega}{2r}\right) - 2e^2 v^2 g^2 \omega = 0 \tag{7}$$

describe topological vortices in this LV MCSH model. For $\left(k_{AF}\right)_\theta = 0$ one recovers the MCSH system. Under BPS equations and boundary conditions (3)-(4), the Eq. (5) provides the BPS energy $E_{BPS} = \pm 2\pi v^2 n$, which is proportional to the quantized magnetic flux, $\Phi_B = 2\pi n/e$.

Figure 1 depicts the profiles of the magnetic field obtained by numerical integration of Eqs. (6)-(7) for $s = 1$, and some values of $(k_{AF})_\theta$ and n. For large values of radial coordinate, the profiles behave in a similar way to the MCSH ones but with mass scales (β) satisfying $\beta_{(k_{AF})_\theta > 0} < \beta_{(k_{AF})_\theta = 0} < \beta_{(k_{AF})_\theta < 0}$. The role played by the LV parameter becomes more pronounced at the origin, where it generates a conical structure absent in the MCSH profiles. The numerical analysis shows that for $n > 0$ (analogous results are obtained for $n < 0$), a fixed s, and $(k_{AF})_\theta > 0$, there are always two well defined regions with positive magnetic flux, occurring no magnetic field reversion. On the other hand, for fixed s, and $(k_{AF})_\theta < 0$, there always



Fig. 1. Magnetic field $B(r)$. Here $s = 1$, $e = v = 1$. Dark gray lines, $(k_{AF})_\theta = -1$; black lines, $(k_{AF})_\theta = 0$, MCSH; slate gray lines, $(k_{AF})_\theta = +1$; dotted lines, $n = 1$; dashed lines, $n = 6$; and solid lines, $n = 15$.

exists a sufficiently large winding number n_0 such that for all $n > n_0$ the magnetic field reverses its sign. Consequently, there are always two well defined regions with opposite magnetic flux. The flipping of the magnetic flux represents another remarkable feature induced by Lorentz violation.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank FAPEMA, CNPq and CAPES for financial support.

References

1. D. Colladay and V.A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 6760 (1997); Phys. Rev. D **58**, 116002 (1998).
2. M.N. Barreto, D. Bazeia, and R. Menezes, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 065015 (2006).
3. N.M. Barraz Jr., J.M. Fonseca, W.A. Moura-Melo, and J.A. Helayël-Neto, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 027701 (2007).
4. M.D. Seifert, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 201601 (2010); Phys. Rev. D **82**, 125015 (2010).
5. R. Casana, M.M. Ferreira Jr., E. da Hora, and C. Miller, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 065011 (2012); Phys. Lett. B **718**, 620 (2012).
6. H. Belich, M.M. Ferreira Jr, and J.A. Helayël-Neto, Eur. Phys. J. C **38**, 511 (2005).