Higher twist nucleon distribution amplitudes in Wandzura-Wilczek approximation

I. V. Anikin[1,2] and A. N. Manashov[1,3]

[1]*Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Regensburg,D-93040 Regensburg, Germany*
[2]*Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR, 141980 Dubna, Russia*
[3]*Department of Theoretical Physics, St.-Petersburg State University, 199034, St.-Petersburg, Russia*

(˙Dated: April 16, 2018)

We derive the higher twist (four and five) nucleon distribution amplitudes in the Wandzura-Wilczek approximation. Our method is based on an analysis of the conformal expansion of nonlocal operators in the spinor formalism.

PACS numbers: 12.38.Bx, 12.39.St
Keywords: higher twist, conformal symmetry, distribution amplitudes

I. INTRODUCTION

Hard exclusive processes provide an opportunity to access the internal structure of hadrons. As usual the processes with nucleons are of special importance due to their experimental availability. The theoretical description of exclusive processes is based on the QCD factorization approach [1–5]. It introduces a notion of the hadron distribution amplitudes (DAs) which can be thought of as momentum fraction distributions of partons in configurations with a fixed number of Fock constituents. Hadron DAs are customary defined as hadron matrix elements of the corresponding nonlocal operators which can be classified according to their twist. In the factorization approach the dominant contribution to an amplitude of exclusive process in the large Q^2 limit comes from DAs of lowest possible twist – minimal number of constituents – two for mesons and three for baryons. The QCD approach, however, faces conceptual difficulties in application to baryons (see Refs. [6–10]) and fails to provide a quantitative description for Q^2 accessible in the current and planned experiments. In particular, this concerns the description of the electromagnetic nucleon form factors.

Attempts to get a more realistic description of the nucleon electromagnetic form factors within the light-cone sum rule (LCSR) approach [11–13] by taking into account power suppressed corrections were undertaken in Refs. [14–17] at the leading order in α_s and in Refs. [18, 19] at the next-to-leading order. In this approach the higher twist nucleon DAs enter the expressions for the form factors as nonperturbative inputs. However, the present day knowledge of the nucleon DAs is quite poor. Only the leading twist (twist$-$3) nucleon DA is known with some degree of certainty (see e.g. Ref. [19] and reference therein). At the same time, it is well known that the higher twist DAs can be split into two parts – the kinematical and dynamical ones. The latter defines a genuinely new nonperturbative function while the former can be expressed in terms of the DAs of lower twist. The approximation in which only the kinematical part of a DA is taken into account is usually referred to as the Wandzura-Wilczek (WW) approximation.

In the case of meson DAs, a procedure for reconstructing of the Wandzura-Wilczek contribution is well known (see e.g. Ref. [20]). The WW contributions to the nonforward matrix elements of twist three operators were obtained in [21–25]. Unfortunately, these methods are not applicable to the nucleon DAs that are determined by matrix elements of three-quark operators. As a consequence, the WW relations for the nucleon DAs were known only for few first moments [14, 15].

In the present paper we develop a technique for calculation of the WW corrections to higher twist DAs. Our analysis relies on the spinor formalism which proves to be very effective for studies of the nucleon DAs, see Ref. [26]. In combination with the conformal wave expansion for nonlocal operators it allows us to simplify substantially the derivation of the twist$-$4 WW terms given in [26] and calculate the WW corrections to the twist$-$5 DAs. Making use of a new summation formula for conformal series we avoid calculation of certain normalization coefficients that requires knowledge of the evolution Hamiltonian for the corresponding operators.

The paper is organized as follows: Sect. II is introductory. We fix the notations and provide definitions for various nucleon DAs in the spinor formalism. In sect. III we explain our approach on the example of the WW contribution to the twist$-$4 DAs. Sect. IV contains details of the calculation of the twist$-$5 DAs. The results for all nucleon DAs up to twist five are collected in sect. V. In several Appendices we explain some technical issues.

II. NUCLEON DISTRIBUTION AMPLITUDES

The three-quark nucleon DAs of different twist are defined by matrix elements of the corresponding three quark operators, see Refs. [14, 26]. Below we present definitions for the relevant DAs in the spinor formalism. We will follow closely the notations of Ref. [27].

The leading twist DA is defined as

$$\langle 0|\varepsilon^{ijk}u_+^{\downarrow i}(z_1n)u_+^{\uparrow j}(z_2n)d_+^{\downarrow k}(z_3n)|P\rangle = \\ = -\frac{1}{2}(pn)N_+^{\downarrow}\int \mathscr{D}x\, e^{-i(pn)\sum x_iz_i}\,\Phi_3(x)\,. \quad (1)$$

The integration in (1) goes over the simplex, i.e.

$$\mathscr{D}x = dx_1\,dx_2\,dx_3\,\delta(1-x_1-x_2-x_3). \tag{2}$$

The up and down spinors, $q^{\uparrow(\downarrow)}$, are the two component Weyl spinors,

$$q = \begin{pmatrix} q^{\downarrow} \\ q^{\uparrow} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad q^{\uparrow(\downarrow)} = \frac{1}{2}(1\pm\gamma_5)q, \tag{3}$$

and similarly for the nucleon spinor N. The vector n is an auxiliary light-like vector ($n^2 = 0$). It can be parameterized by a Weyl spinor λ as follows

$$n_\mu \sigma^\mu_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \lambda_\alpha \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \tag{4}$$

where $\bar{\lambda} = \lambda^\dagger$. For later convenience we introduce the second light-like vector $\tilde{n}$, such that $(n\tilde{n}) \neq 0$ and denote the corresponding auxiliary spinor by μ, $(\tilde{n}_\mu \sigma^\mu_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \mu_\alpha \bar{\mu}_{\dot{\alpha}})$. We introduce shorthand notations for projections of the quark fields onto the auxiliary spinors λ and μ

$$q_+^\downarrow = \lambda^\alpha q_\alpha^\downarrow, \quad q_-^\downarrow = \mu^\alpha q_\alpha^\downarrow, \quad q_+^\uparrow = \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} q_{\dot{\alpha}}^\uparrow, \quad q_-^\uparrow = \bar{\mu}^{\dot{\alpha}} q_{\dot{\alpha}}^\uparrow.$$

We accept the conventions of Refs. ([28, 29]) for rising and lowering spinor indices.

The nucleon DAs of twist-4, Φ_4, Ψ_4, Ξ_4, and of twist-5, Φ_5, Ψ_5, Ξ_5 were defined in Refs. [14, 26]. Below we present definitions for these DAs in the spinor formalism that is more convenient for further analysis. We get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle 0|\varepsilon^{ijk} u_+^{\downarrow i}(z_1) u_+^{\uparrow j}(z_2) d_-^{\downarrow k}(z_3)|P\rangle = \\
&\qquad = \frac{1}{4}(\mu\lambda) m_N N_+^\uparrow \int Dx\, e^{-i(pn)\sum z_k x_k}\, \Phi_4(x), \\
&\langle 0|\varepsilon^{ijk} u_+^{\uparrow i}(z_1) u_-^{\downarrow j}(z_2) d_+^{\downarrow k}(z_3)|P\rangle = \\
&\qquad = \frac{1}{4}(\mu\lambda) m_N N_+^\uparrow \int Dx\, e^{-i(pn)\sum z_k x_k}\, \Psi_4(x), \\
&\langle 0|\varepsilon^{ijk} u_-^{\downarrow i}(z_1) u_+^{\downarrow j}(z_2) d_+^{\downarrow k}(z_3)|P\rangle = \\
&\qquad = \frac{1}{4}(\mu\lambda) m_N N_+^\downarrow \int Dx\, e^{-i(pn)\sum z_k x_k}\, \Xi_4(x)
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

for the twist-4 DAs and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle 0|\varepsilon^{ijk} u_-^{\downarrow i}(z_1) u_-^{\uparrow j}(z_2) d_+^{\downarrow k}(z_3)|P\rangle = \\
&\qquad = -\frac{1}{4}(\mu\lambda) m_N N_-^\uparrow(P) \int Dx\, e^{-i(pn)\sum z_k x_k}\, \Phi_5(x), \\
&\langle 0|\varepsilon^{ijk} u_-^{\uparrow i}(z_1) u_+^{\downarrow j}(z_2) d_-^{\downarrow k}(z_3)|P\rangle = \\
&\qquad = -\frac{1}{4}(\mu\lambda) m_N N_-^\uparrow(P) \int Dx\, e^{-i(pn)\sum z_k x_k}\, \Psi_5(x), \\
&\langle 0|\varepsilon^{ijk} u_+^{\downarrow i}(z_1) u_-^{\downarrow j}(z_2) d_-^{\downarrow k}(z_3)|P\rangle = \\
&\qquad = -\frac{1}{4}(\mu\lambda) m_N N_-^\downarrow(P) \int Dx\, e^{-i(pn)\sum z_k x_k}\, \Xi_5(x).
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

for the twist-5 DAs. Here m_N stands for the nucleon mass and $q_\pm(z) \equiv q_\pm(zn)$. Notice that these definitions differ by a sign from those given in Ref. [28] due to the nonstandard charge conjugation matrix C used there.

The twist-3 DA Φ_3 can be represented as a series

$$\Phi_3(x) = x_1 x_2 x_3 \sum_{N,q} c_{Nq}\, \phi_{Nq}(\mu_R)\, P_{Nq}(x_1, x_2, x_3), \tag{7}$$

where μ_R is the renormalization scale. The common prefactor is dictated by the conformal symmetry. P_{Nq} are the homogeneous polynomials of degree N, $P_{Nq}(sx) = s^N P_{Nq}(x)$, that form an orthogonal system

$$\int \mathscr{D}x\, x_1 x_2 x_3\, P_{Nq}(x) P^\dagger_{Nq'}(x) = \delta_{qq'} c^{-1}_{Nq}. \tag{8}$$

The index q enumerates different polynomials of the same degree. The polynomials P_{Nq} can be obtained as solutions of one-loop RG equation for twist-3 three quark operators. The expansion coefficients $\phi_{Nq}(\mu_R)$ are related to the nucleon matrix element of local three quark operators

$$\mathbb{O}^{t=3}_{Nq}(\mu_R) = P_{Nq}(\partial_z)\, \mathbb{O}_3(z, \mu_R)|_{z=0}. \tag{9}$$

Here, $\mathbb{O}_3(z, \mu_R)$ is the (renormalized) light-ray operator

$$\mathbb{O}_3(z) = \varepsilon^{ijk} u_+^{\downarrow i}(z_1) u_+^{\uparrow j}(z_2) d_+^{\downarrow k}(z_3). \tag{10}$$

Throughout this paper $z = \{z_1, z_2, z_3\}$ and from now on we do not show the scale dependence.

The matrix element of the operator (9) can be parameterized as follows

$$\langle 0|\mathbb{O}^{t=3}_{Nq}|N\rangle = -\frac{i}{2} N_+^\downarrow\, (-ipn)^{N+1}\, \phi_{Nq}. \tag{11}$$

The reduced matrix element ϕ_{Nq} can be expressed as a convolution integral

$$\phi_{Nq} = \int \mathscr{D}x\, P^\dagger_{Nq}(x) \Phi_3(x). \tag{12}$$

To the one-loop accuracy the reduced matrix elements $\phi_{Nq}(\mu)$ have an autonomous scale dependence. More details can be found in Refs. [28, 30].

The expansion of the higher twist DAs has a similar form

$$\mathscr{F}(x) = \omega_{\mathscr{F}}(x) \sum_{N,q} C^{\mathscr{F}}_{Nq} \eta^{\mathscr{F}}_{Nq}(\mu_R)\, \mathscr{P}^{\mathscr{F}}_{Nq}(x_1, x_2, x_3) + \ldots, \tag{13}$$

where dots stand for the contribution of quark-gluon operators which are irrelevant for our purposes. For the twist-4 DAs, $\mathscr{F} = \{\Phi_4, \Psi_4, \Xi_4\}$, the weight function takes the form $\omega_{\mathscr{F}}(x) = \{x_1 x_2,\ x_1 x_3,\ x_2 x_3\}$, respectively, and for the twist-5 DAs, $\mathscr{F} = \{\Phi_5, \Psi_5, \Xi_5\}$ one finds $\omega_{\mathscr{F}}(x) = \{x_3,\ x_2,\ x_1\}$. The expansion coefficients $\eta^{\mathscr{F}}_{Nq}(\mu)$ are related to the nucleon matrix elements of the (multiplicatively renormalized) local operators of a *collinear* twist four and five, respectively. The corresponding polynomials $\mathscr{P}^{\mathscr{F}}_{Nq}$ can be obtained by solving RG equations. (For the twist four functions, this expansion was worked out in detail in Ref. [28]).

Since DAs Φ_4 and Ψ_4 have the *collinear* twist four they receive contributions from the operators of both the *geometrical* twist four and three. The part due to twist-3 operators is nothing else than the Wandzura-Wilczek contribution

$$\Phi_4 = \Phi_4^{t=4} + \Phi_4^{WW}, \qquad \Psi_4 = \Psi_4^{t=4} + \Psi_4^{WW}. \tag{14}$$

The chiral DA Ξ_4 does not receive contributions from twist-3 operators, i.e. $\Xi_4 = \Xi_4^{t=4}$. Conformal expansion for the functions Φ_4^{WW}, Ψ_4^{WW} was obtained in Ref. [28].

Quite similarly, the twist-5 DAs can be represented as

$$\Phi_5 = \Phi_5^{t=5} + \Phi_5^{WW}, \qquad \Psi_5 = \Psi_5^{t=5} + \Psi_5^{WW},$$

$$\Xi_5 = \Xi_5^{t=5} + \Xi_5^{WW}. \tag{15}$$

The functions $\Phi_5^{t=5}, \Psi_5^{t=5}$ and $\Xi_5^{t=5}$ contain the contributions from the local operators of geometrical twist-5, while the WW parts entail the contributions from the operators of geometrical twist three and four.

III. TWIST-3 CONTRIBUTION TO Ψ_4.

The WW contribution to the DAs Φ_4, Ψ_4 was originally derived in [28]. Here we streamline the derivation and obtain some results which will be used in the next section.

The nonlocal three-quark operator of twist-3 was defined in Eq. (10). Here we introduce two operators of collinear twist-4

$$\mathbb{O}_4(z,\mu) = \varepsilon^{ijk} u_-^{\downarrow i}(z_1) u_+^{\uparrow j}(z_2) d_+^{\downarrow k}(z_3), \tag{16a}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{O}}_4(z,\bar{\mu}) = \varepsilon^{ijk} u_+^{\downarrow i}(z_1) u_-^{\uparrow j}(z_2) d_+^{\downarrow k}(z_3). \tag{16b}$$

The dependence of light-ray operators on the auxiliary spinors λ, λ is always implied and we will not display it explicitly.

The matrix element of the operator $\mathbb{O}_4(z,\mu)$ defines the DA Ψ_4, while the nucleon matrix element of the operator $\widetilde{\mathbb{O}}_4(z,\bar{\mu})$ vanishes because its helicity is equal to $3/2$. Nevertheless, we consider this operator since it will be necessary for our analysis of twist-5 functions.

The nonlocal operators $\mathbb{O}_3(z)$, $\mathbb{O}_4(z,\mu)$, $\widetilde{\mathbb{O}}_4(z,\bar{\mu})$ transform in a proper way under the transformations of the collinear $SL(2,R)$ subgroup of the conformal group. The representation T^j of the $SL(2,R)$ group (j is called a conformal spin) is defined by a transformation law

$$[T^j(g^{-1})f](z) = \frac{1}{(cz+d)^{2j}} f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right), \tag{17}$$

where $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ is a unimodular real matrix. The generators of infinitesimal transformations $S_\pm, S_0$ have the form

$$S_+ = z^2\partial_z + 2jz, \qquad S_0 = z\partial_z + j, \qquad S_- = -\partial_z \tag{18}$$

and obey the standard $sl(2)$ commutation relations

$$[S_+, S_-] = 2S_0, \qquad [S_0, S_\pm] = \pm S_\pm. \tag{19}$$

The $'\pm'$ projections of the quark field, $q_+(z)$ and $q_-(z)$, transform according to the representations $T^{j=1}$ and $T^{j=1/2}$, respectively. Thus, the operator $\mathbb{O}_3(z)$ transforms according to the tensor product of representations, $T^1 \otimes T^1 \otimes T^1$, while the operators $\mathbb{O}_4(z,\mu)$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{O}}_4(z,\bar{\mu})$ transform according to the tensor products $T^{1/2} \otimes T^1 \otimes T^1$ and $T^1 \otimes T^{1/2} \otimes T^1$, respectively.

To the one loop accuracy (which we restrict ourselves to), the expansion of nonlocal operators in terms of local multiplicatively renormalized operators reads as follows

$$\mathbb{O}_3(z) = \sum_{N,k,q} a_{Nk} S_+^k \Phi_{Nq}(\vec{z})\, \partial_+^k\, \mathbb{O}_{Nq}^{t=3}. \tag{20}$$

Here $\partial_+ = (n\partial)$ is the derivative along the n direction. The operator $S_+ = S_{1,+} + S_{2,+} + S_{3,+}$ is the sum of one-particle generators. (In what follows we will assume that the conformal spins of the generators are always determined by the transformation properties of the objects they act on.) The coefficient a_{Nk} in the expansion (20) has the form

$$a_{Nk} = \frac{\Gamma(2N+6)}{k!\,\Gamma(2N+6+k)}, \tag{21}$$

which follows immediately from the consistency equation $\left(\partial_+ + S_-\right)\mathbb{O}_3(z) = 0$. The functions $\Phi_{Nq}(z)$ and $P_{Nq}(x)$ form a biorthogonal basis [30, 32]

$$P_{Nq}(\partial_z)\Phi_{N'q'}(z)\Big|_{z=0} = \delta_{NN'}\delta_{qq'}. \tag{22}$$

To the one loop accuracy multiplicatively renormalized operators $\mathbb{O}_{Nq}^{t=3}$ are known to be the conformal operators that is they obey the following equation

$$[\mathbf{K}_-, \mathbb{O}_{Nq}^{t=3}] = 0, \tag{23}$$

where $\mathbf{K}_- = \bar{n}^\rho \mathbf{K}_\rho$ and $\mathbf{K}_\rho$ is the generator of special conformal transformations. We note also that the functions $\Phi_{Nq}(z)$ are shift invariant polynomials while the polynomials $P_{Nq}(x)$ obey the equation

$$\left(\sum_k x_k \partial_{x_i}^2 + 2j_k \partial_{x_k}\right) P_{Nq}(x) = 0. \tag{24}$$

The conformal expansion for the twist-4 operators $\mathbb{O}_4(z,\mu)$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{O}}_4(z,\bar{\mu})$ reads

$$\mathbb{O}_4(z,\mu) = \sum_{N,k,q} b_{Nk} S_+^k \Psi_{Nq}(z)\, \partial_+^k\, \mathbb{O}_{Nq}^{t=4}(\mu), \tag{25a}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{O}}_4(z,\bar{\mu}) = \sum_{N,k,q} b_{Nk} S_+^k \widetilde{\Psi}_{Nq}(z)\, \partial_+^k\, \widetilde{\mathbb{O}}_{Nq}^{t=4}(\bar{\mu}), \tag{25b}$$

where

$$b_{Nk} = \frac{\Gamma(2N+5)}{k!\,\Gamma(2N+5+k)} \tag{26}$$

and we tacitly assumed that the operator S_+ involves proper conformal spins that correspond to the transformation properties of these operators. The operators $\mathbb{O}^{t=4}_{Nq}(\mu)$ ($\widetilde{\mathbb{O}}^{t=4}_{Nq}(\bar\mu)$) are the conformal (lowest weight) operators, *i.e.*

$$[\mathbf{K}_-, \mathbb{O}^{t=4}_{Nq}(\mu)] \;=\; [\mathbf{K}_-, \widetilde{\mathbb{O}}^{t=4}_{Nq}(\bar\mu)] = 0\,. \tag{27}$$

Among such lowest - weight operators there are descendants of the twist−3 operators, i.e. the operators of the collinear twist four which can be expressed of terms of the operators $\mathbb{O}^{t=3}_{Nq}$.

To construct them, we recall that operators $\mathbb{O}^{t=3}_{Nq}$ are homogeneous functions of auxiliary spinors λ and λ, $\mathbb{O}^{t=3}_{Nq} \mapsto \mathbb{O}^{t=3}_{Nq}(\lambda,\bar\lambda)$. It follows directly from the definition (9) that

$$\mathbb{O}^{t=3}_{Nq}(a\lambda,\bar a\bar\lambda) = a^{N+2}\bar a^{N+1}\mathbb{O}^{t=3}_{Nq}(\lambda,\bar\lambda).$$

The operators $\mathbb{O}^{t=4}_{Nq}$ ($\widetilde{\mathbb{O}}^{t=4}_{Nq}(\bar\mu)$) involve one power of the auxiliary spinor μ ($\bar\mu$) and are homogeneous polynomials in $\lambda,\bar\lambda$ of the degree $(N+1,N+1)$ $(N+2,N)$, respectively. For brevity, we will not display λ,λ as the arguments of the operators.

It is easy to check that the operators

$$\mathbb{O}^{t=4,(1)}_{Nq}(\mu) = \frac{1}{N+2}(\mu\partial_\lambda)\,\mathbb{O}^{t=3}_{Nq}\,, \tag{28a}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{O}}^{t=4,(1)}_{Nq}(\bar\mu) = \frac{1}{N+1}(\bar\mu\partial_{\bar\lambda})\,\mathbb{O}^{t=3}_{Nq} \tag{28b}$$

have the necessary degree of homogeneity. Taking into account Eq. (23) and that $\mathbf{K}_- = \frac{1}{2}\mu^\alpha\mathbf{K}_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\bar\mu^{\dot\alpha}$ does not depend on λ, $\bar\lambda$ one immediately verifies that these operators satisfy the lowest weight condition (27). Hence they can enter the expansion (25).

The other set of the operators satisfying (27) involves commutators with the momentum operator **P**

$$\mathbb{O}^{t=4,(2)}_{N+1,q}(\mu) = \frac{1}{4(N+3)^2}\Bigg(i\Big[\mathbf{P}_{\mu\bar\lambda}, \mathbb{O}^{t=3}_{Nq}\Big] - \frac{N+2}{2N+5}i\Big[\mathbf{P}_{\lambda\bar\lambda}, \mathbb{O}^{t=4,(1)}_{Nq}(\mu)\Big]\Bigg), \tag{29a}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{O}}^{t=4,(2)}_{N+1,q}(\bar\mu) = \frac{1}{4(N+3)(N+4)}\Bigg(i\Big[\mathbf{P}_{\lambda\bar\mu}, \mathbb{O}^{t=3}_{Nq}\Big] - \frac{N+1}{2N+5}i\Big[\mathbf{P}_{\lambda\bar\lambda}, \widetilde{\mathbb{O}}^{t=4,(1)}_{Nq}(\bar\mu)\Big]\Bigg). \tag{29b}$$

Here, $\mathbf{P}_{\mu\bar\lambda} \equiv \mu^\alpha\mathbf{P}_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\bar\lambda^{\dot\alpha}$ and similar for others. Obviously, these operators are homogeneous polynomials in $\lambda,\bar\lambda$ of the required degree. Making use of the commutation relations for the generators of conformal algebra (see Appendix A) and taking into account Eq. (23), one can easily check that the above operators satisfy the lowest weight condition (27).

We would like to stress that the twist−3 operator with N derivatives, $\mathbb{O}^{t=3}_{Nq}$, gives rise to two twist−4 operators with N and $N+1$ derivatives, respectively. Such a phenomenon was observed in Ref. [31] where it was shown that this effect is related to the spin rotation of hadron in the rest frame.

The operators of geometric twist−4 contain the factor $(\mu\lambda)$ or $(\lambda\bar\mu)$. Indeed, to construct the operator of geometric twist−4 one has to antisymmetrize a pair of indices and symmetrize all others. After contraction with auxiliary spinors $\mu(\bar\mu)$ and $\lambda,\bar\lambda$ the antisymmetrized pair produces the factor $(\mu\lambda)$ $((\lambda\bar\mu))$.

Our next task is to determine the functions, $\Psi^{(1)}_{Nq}$, $\widetilde\Psi^{(1)}_{Nq}$ and $\Psi^{(2)}_{Nq}$, $\widetilde\Psi^{(2)}_{Nq}$ which accompany the operators (28), (29) in the expansion (25). To do this we note that replacing "−" → "+" ($\mu \to \lambda$) in the definition (16a) for the nonlocal operator $\mathbb{O}_4(z,\mu)$ one gets the twist−3 operator $\mathbb{O}_3(z)$. Formally, it can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu^\alpha}\mathbb{O}_4(z,\mu) &\equiv (\lambda\partial_\mu)\mathbb{O}_4(z,\mu) = \mathbb{O}_3(z)\,,\\ \bar\lambda^{\dot\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar\mu^{\dot\alpha}}\widetilde{\mathbb{O}}_4(z,\bar\mu) &\equiv (\bar\lambda\partial_{\bar\mu})\widetilde{\mathbb{O}}_4(z,\bar\mu) = \mathbb{O}_3(z)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

First of all, we stress that the operation $(\lambda\partial_\mu)$, $((\bar\lambda\partial_{\bar\mu}))$ kills all operators of the geometric twist−4 in the expansion (25). Indeed, as was discussed above, they contain the factor $(\mu\lambda)$ and $(\lambda\partial_\mu)(\mu\lambda) = (\lambda,\lambda) = 0$. Then, taking into account

$$(\lambda\partial_\mu)\mathbb{O}^{t=4,(1)}_{Nq}(\mu) = (\bar\lambda\partial_{\bar\mu})\widetilde{\mathbb{O}}^{t=4,(1)}_{Nq}(\bar\mu) = \mathbb{O}^{t=3}_{Nq} \tag{31}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda\partial_\mu)\mathbb{O}^{t=4,(2)}_{N+1,q}(\mu) &= (\bar\lambda\partial_{\bar\mu})\widetilde{\mathbb{O}}^{t=4,(2)}_{N+1,q}(\bar\mu) =\\ &= \frac{1}{2(N+3)(2N+5)}\partial_+\mathbb{O}^{t=3}_{N+1,q}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

we can bring Eqs. (30) into the following form

$$\sum_{N,k,q}\Bigg\{\Big(a_{Nk}S^k_+\Phi_{Nq}(z) - b_{Nk}\widetilde S^k_+\Psi^{(1)}_{Nq}(z)\Big)\,\partial^k_+\,\mathbb{O}^{t=3}_{Nq} - \frac{b_{N+1k}}{2(N+3)(2N+5)}\widetilde S^k_+\Psi^{(2)}_{N+1q}(z)\;\partial^{k+1}_+\,\mathbb{O}^{t=3}_{Nq}\Bigg\} = 0 \tag{33}$$

and similar for the second equation. The generators acting on the polynomials Φ_{Nq}, $\Psi^{(a)}_{Nq}$ correspond to different conformal spins, $S_+ = S^{(111)}_+$ and $\widetilde S_+ = S^{(\frac{1}{2}11)}_+$ since the operators $\mathbb{O}_3$ and $\mathbb{O}_4$ transform according to different representations of the $SL(2,R)$ group. The coefficients at $\partial^k_+\mathbb{O}^{t=3}_{Nq}$ have to vanish identically for arbitrary k. To fix the functions $\Psi^{(1)}_{Nq}$ and $\Psi^{(2)}_{Nq}$ it is sufficient to consider the equations for $k = 0,1$. Indeed, the equation for $k = 0$ results in

$$\Psi^{(1)}_{Nq}(z) = \Phi_{Nq}(z)\,, \tag{34}$$

while the equation for $k = 1$ gives

$$\Psi^{(2)}_{N+1q}(z) = \Big[(2N+5)S_+ - (2N+6)\widetilde S_+\Big]\Phi_{Nq}(z)\,. \tag{35}$$

Using the representation (B.7) for the function $S_+^k \Psi_{Nq}^{(i)}$, one can check that all equations for $k > 1$ are satisfied provided $\Psi_{Nq}^{(i)}$ are given by Eqs. (34), (35).

For the functions $\widetilde{\Psi}_{Nq}^{(a)}$, the equations take the form

$$\widetilde{\Psi}_{Nq}^{(1)}(z) = \Phi_{Nq}(z)\,, \tag{36}$$

$$\widetilde{\Psi}_{N+1q}^{(2)}(z) = \Big[(2N+5)S_+^{(111)} - (2N+6)S_+^{(1\frac{1}{2}1)}\Big]\Phi_{Nq}(z).$$

Note that the coefficient functions $\Phi_{Nq}(\Psi_{Nq})$ which accompany the conformal (lowest weight) operators in the expansion of nonlocal operators are the shift invariant polynomials, $S_-\Phi_{Nq}(z) = 0$ ($S_-\Psi_{Nq}(z) = 0$) (see Refs. [30, 32] for details). One can easily check that the polynomials (35), (36) indeed satisfy this condition.

Thus one gets the following expression for the contributions of the descendants of the twist-3 operators to the light-ray operators $\mathbb{O}_4(z)$ (and similarly for $\widetilde{\mathbb{O}}_4(z)$)

$$\mathbb{O}_4^{WW}(z) = \sum_{N,k,q} b_{Nk} S_+^k \Big\{ \Psi_{Nq}^{(1)}(z)\,\partial_+^k\,\mathbb{O}_{Nq}^{t=4,(1)}(\mu) + \Psi_{Nq}^{(2)}(z)\,\partial_+^k\,\mathbb{O}_{Nq}^{t=4,(2)}(\mu)\Big\}. \tag{37}$$

In order to find $\Psi_4^{WW}(x)$ we take the nucleon matrix elements of both sides of Eq. (37). By definition

$$\langle 0|\mathbb{O}_4^{WW}(z)|P\rangle = \frac{1}{4}(\mu\lambda)m_N N_+^{\uparrow}\int \mathscr{D}x\, e^{-i(pn)\sum z_k x_k} \times \Psi_4^{WW}(x_2,x_1,x_3). \tag{38}$$

In its turn, for the matrix elements of the operators $\mathbb{O}_{Nq}^{t=4,(1)}(\mu)$, $\mathbb{O}_{Nq}^{t=4,(2)}(\mu)$ one derives

$$\langle 0|\mathbb{O}_{Nq}^{t=4,(1)}|P\rangle = \frac{1}{4}(\mu\lambda)m_N N_+^{\uparrow}\frac{(-ipn)^N\phi_{Nq}}{N+2}\,, \tag{39}$$

$$\langle 0|\mathbb{O}_{N+1q}^{t=4,(2)}|P\rangle = -\frac{1}{8}(\mu\lambda)m_N N_+^{\uparrow}\frac{(-ipn)^{N+1}\phi_{Nq}}{(N+3)^2(2N+5)}.$$

Here we take into account that nucleon has zero transverse momentum, $p_{\mu\bar{\lambda}} = p_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} = 0$, and use the equation of motion relation $2(pn)N_-^{\downarrow} = -(\mu\lambda)m_N N_+^{\uparrow}$.

Using Eqs. (39) and the summation formula Eq. (B.10), one can bring the matrix element of the rhs of Eq. (37) to the form

$$\frac{1}{4}(\mu\lambda)m_N N_+^{\uparrow}\sum_{Nq}\Gamma(2N+5)\,\phi_{Nq}\int \mathscr{D}x\, x_2 x_3\, e^{-i(pn)\sum z_k x_k} \times \left\{\frac{1}{N+2}P_{N,q}^{(1)}(x) - \frac{1}{N+3}P_{N+1,q}^{(2)}(x)\right\}, \tag{40}$$

where the polynomials $P_{N,q}^{(1)}(x)$, $P_{N+1,q}^{(2)}(x)$ are given by the $sl(2)$ Fourier transform

$$P_N^{(k)}(x) = \Big\langle \mathrm{e}^{\sum_{i=1}^3 x_i z_i}|\Psi_{Nq}^{(k)}\Big\rangle_{\frac{1}{2}11}\,. \tag{41}$$

Here $\langle *,*\rangle$ stands for the $sl(2)$ invariant scalar product that is defined in Appendix B. We have to express these polynomials in terms of P_{Nq} which enter the expansion for twist-3 nucleon DA, $\Phi_3(x)$. It follows from Eqs. (1), (7), (20) that

$$c_{Nq}P_{Nq}(x) = \Gamma(2N+6)\Big\langle e^{\sum_{i=1}^3 x_i z_i}|\Phi_{Nq}\Big\rangle_{111}\,. \tag{42}$$

Taking into account Eq. (B.11), one gets for $P_{Nq}^{(1)}$,

$$P_{Nq}^{(1)}(x) = r_{Nq}\,\partial_{x_1}x_1 P_{Nq}(x)\,, \tag{43}$$

where $r_{Nq} = c_{Nq}/\Gamma(2N+6)$. Since the generators S_+^j and S_-^j (here j is multiindex $j = (j_1, j_2, j_3)$) are conjugated with respect to the corresponding scalar product, $\langle\Psi|S_+^j\Phi\rangle_j = -\langle S_-\Phi|\Psi\rangle_j$, we obtain

$$P_{N+1,q}^{(2)}(x) \;=\; r_{Nq}\Big[(2N\;+\;5)\;-\;x_{123}\partial_{x_1}\Big]x_1 P_{Nq}(x)\,, \tag{44}$$

where $x_{123} = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$. Inserting (43), (44) into (40) and comparing the result with (38), we derive the following expression

$$\Psi_4^{WW}(x) = -\sum_{Nq}\frac{c_{Nq}\phi_{Nq}}{(N+2)(N+3)} \times [N+2-\partial_{x_2}]\,x_1x_2x_3\,P_{Nq}(x_2,x_1,x_3) \tag{45}$$

that agrees with [28]. The expression for Φ_4^{WW} does not require a new calculation. It can be obtained from (45) by a permutation of arguments, see sect. V.

IV. TWIST-3 CONTRIBUTION TO Φ_5^{WW}.

The function Φ_5 receives the WW contributions from the operators of the geometric twist three and four. We discuss only the twist-3 contribution because it is a bit involved. The calculation of twist-4 part is straightforward and follows the lines of the previous section. The function Ψ_5^{WW} can be obtained from Φ_5^{WW} by a simple permutation of arguments.

The distribution amplitude Φ_5 is determined by the nucleon matrix element of the light-ray operator

$$\mathbb{O}_5(z) = \varepsilon^{ijk}u_-^{\downarrow i}(z_1)u_-^{\uparrow j}(z_2)d_+^{\downarrow k}(z_3)\,. \tag{46}$$

This operator transforms according to the tensor product of $SL(2,R)$ representations, $T^{1/2}\otimes T^{1/2}\otimes T^1$. The expansion of the light-ray operator (46) over local operators reads

$$\mathbb{O}_5(z) = \sum_{N,q,k} d_{Nk}\,S_+^k\Upsilon_{Nq}(z)\,\partial_+^k\mathbb{O}_{Nq}^{t=5}\,. \tag{47}$$

We emphasize that the three particle generator S_+ in this expression carries the conformal spins corresponding to the transformation properties of the operator $\mathbb{O}_5(z)$, $j_1 = j_2 = 1/2$, $j_3 = 1$. The coefficient d_{Nk} have the following form

$$d_{Nk} = \frac{\Gamma(2N+4)}{k!\,\Gamma(2N+4+k)}\,. \tag{48}$$

Again, among the lowest weight operators $\mathbb{O}^{t=5}_{Nq}$ contributing to (47) there are descendants of the twist−3 and twist−4 operators $\mathbb{O}^{t=3}_{Nq}$, $\mathbb{O}^{t=4}_{Nq}$. The descendants of twist−3 operators can be chosen as follows:

(i) the first descendant of $\mathbb{O}^{t=3}_{Nq}$ takes the form

$$\mathbb{O}^{t=5,(1)}_{Nq}(\mu,\bar\mu) = \frac{1}{(N+1)(N+2)}(\mu\partial_\lambda)(\bar\mu\partial_{\bar\lambda})\mathbb{O}^{t=3}_{Nq}\,, \tag{49}$$

(ii) the two more are related to the operators defined in (29)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{O}^{t=5,(2)}_{N+1,q}(\mu,\bar\mu) &= \frac{1}{N+2}(\bar\mu\partial_{\bar\lambda})\,\mathbb{O}^{t=4,(2)}_{N+1,q}(\mu)\,,\\ \mathbb{O}^{t=5,(3)}_{N+1,q}(\mu,\bar\mu) &= \frac{1}{N+3}(\mu\partial_\lambda)\,\widetilde{\mathbb{O}}^{t=4,(2)}_{N+1,q}(\bar\mu)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

(iii) the last two operators are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{O}^{t=5,(4)}_{N+1,q}(\mu,\bar\mu) &= i[\mathbf{P}_{\mu\bar\mu},\mathbb{O}^{t=3}_{Nq}]\,,\\ \mathbb{O}^{t=5,(5)}_{N+2,q}(\mu,\bar\mu) &= \frac{1}{2(N+3)}\Big\{[i\mathbf{P}_{\lambda\bar\lambda}[i\mathbf{P}_{\mu\bar\mu},\mathbb{O}^{t=3}_{Nq}]]\\ &\quad-(\mu\partial_\lambda)[i\mathbf{P}_{\lambda\bar\lambda},[i\mathbf{P}_{\lambda\bar\mu},\mathbb{O}^{t=3}_{Nq}]]\\ &\quad-(\bar\mu\partial_{\bar\lambda})[i\mathbf{P}_{\lambda\bar\lambda},[i\mathbf{P}_{\mu\bar\lambda},\mathbb{O}^{t=3}_{Nq}]]\\ &\quad+\frac{(\mu\partial_\lambda)(\bar\mu\partial_{\bar\lambda})}{(2N+7)}[i\mathbf{P}_{\lambda\bar\lambda}[i\mathbf{P}_{\lambda\bar\lambda}\mathbb{O}^{t=3}_{Nq}]]\Big\}\\ &\quad+[i\mathbf{P}_{\mu\bar\lambda}[i\mathbf{P}_{\lambda\bar\mu},\mathbb{O}^{t=3}_{Nq}]]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

All these operators are the lowest weight (conformal) operators, $[\mathbf{K}_-,\mathbb{O}^{t=5,(a)}_{Nq}]=0$, $a=1,\dots,5$. This fact is obvious for the first four operators while for the last one it can be checked with a help of the commutation relations given in Appendix A.

The next step is to determine the coefficient functions $\Upsilon^{(a)}_{Nq}$ in the expansion (47). To this end we consider the following two equations

$$(\bar\lambda\partial_{\bar\mu})\mathbb{O}_5(z) = \mathbb{O}_4(z)\,, \tag{52a}$$

$$(\lambda\partial_\mu)\mathbb{O}_5(z) = \widetilde{\mathbb{O}}_4(z)\,. \tag{52b}$$

Let us substitute the expansions (47) and (25) into Eqs. (52). The derivatives $(\lambda\partial_\mu)\mathbb{O}^{t=5,(a)}_{Nq}$, $(\bar\lambda\partial_{\bar\mu})\mathbb{O}^{t=5,(a)}_{Nq}$ of the local operators can be expressed in terms of the operators (28), (29) and their ∂_+ derivatives. For the sake of completeness, we collect the corresponding expressions in Appendix C. Note that the derivatives $(\bar\lambda\partial_{\bar\mu}),(\lambda\partial_\mu)$ annihilate all operators of geometric twist−5 by the same reason as discussed in sect. III.

As a result Eqs. (52) take the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{Nqk}\Big(C^{(1)}_{Nqk}\partial^k_+\mathbb{O}^{t=4,(1)}_{Nq}+C^{(2)}_{Nqk}\partial^k_+\mathbb{O}^{t=4,(2)}_{N+1,q}\Big) &= 0\,,\\ \sum_{Nqk}\Big(\widetilde{C}^{(1)}_{Nqk}\partial^k_+\widetilde{\mathbb{O}}^{t=4,(1)}_{Nq}+\widetilde{C}^{(2)}_{Nqk}\partial^k_+\widetilde{\mathbb{O}}^{t=4,(2)}_{N+1,q}\Big) &= 0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

The coefficients $C^{(i)}_{Nqk}$, $\widetilde{C}^{(i)}_{Nqk}$ are given by some linear combinations of the polynomials $\Upsilon^{(a)}_{Nq}$. Since the operators $\partial^k_+\mathbb{O}^{t=4,(a)}_{Nq}$, $\partial^k_+\widetilde{\mathbb{O}}^{t=4,(a)}_{N+1,q}$ are independent all coefficients $C^{(i)}_{Nqk}$, $\widetilde{C}^{(i)}_{Nqk}$ have to vanish. This requirement results in an infinite number of equations on the Υ_{Nq}−functions. Only a few of them, however, are independent. To fix the functions $\Upsilon^{(a)}_{Nq}$, it is sufficient to consider the equations $C^{(i)}_{Nqk}=0$, $\widetilde{C}^{(i)}_{Nqk}=0$ for $k=0,1$. All other equations will be satisfied automatically that can be checked with the help of Eq. (B.7).

The equations $C^{(1)}_{Nq,k=0}=0$ and $\widetilde{C}^{(1)}_{Nq,k=0}=0$ are equivalent each other and result in

$$\Upsilon^{(1)}_{Nq}(z) = \Phi_{Nq}(z)\,. \tag{54}$$

Next, the equations $C^{(1)}_{Nq,k=1}=0$, $\widetilde{C}^{(1)}_{Nq,k=1}=0$ and $C^{(2)}_{Nq,k=0}=0$, $\widetilde{C}^{(2)}_{Nq,k=0}=0$ take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a=2}^4 B^a_N\,\Upsilon^{(a)}_{N+1,q}(z) &= \left(\frac{S_+^{(\frac12 11)}}{2N+5}-\frac{S_+^{(\frac12\frac12 1)}}{2N+4}\right)\Phi_{Nq}(z)\,,\\ \sum_{a=2}^4 \widetilde{B}^a_N\,\Upsilon^{(a)}_{N+1,q}(z) &= \left(\frac{S_+^{(1\frac12 1)}}{2N+5}-\frac{S_+^{(\frac12\frac12 1)}}{2N+4}\right)\Phi_{Nq}(z)\,,\\ \sum_{a=2}^4 A^a_N\,\Upsilon^{(a)}_{N+1,q}(z) &= \Psi^{(2)}_{N+1,q}(z)\,,\\ \sum_{a=2}^4 \widetilde{A}^a_N\,\Upsilon^{(a)}_{N+1,q}(z) &= \widetilde{\Psi}^{(2)}_{N+1,q}(z)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

The coefficients A^a_N, $\widetilde{A}^a_N$, B^a_N, $\widetilde{B}^a_N$ can be found in Appendix C, Eq. (C.3). These equations are sufficient to fix the functions $\Upsilon^{(a)}_{N+1,q}$, $a=2,3,4$. In fact, it is sufficient to consider any three of them, the last equation provides a consistency check.

The remaining function $\Upsilon^{(5)}_{N+2,q}$ can be determined from the equation $C^{(2)}_{Nq,k=1}=0$ which implies

$$C_N\Upsilon^{(5)}_{N+2,q}(z) = \left(\frac{S_+^{(\frac12 11)}}{2N+7}-\frac{S_+^{(\frac12\frac12 1)}}{2N+6}\right)\Psi^{(2)}_{N+1,q}\,, \tag{56}$$

where the coefficient C_N is given in Eq. (C.5). The functions $\Upsilon^{(a)}_{Nq}$ are shift invariant, $S_-\Upsilon^{(a)}_{Nq}=0$, as they should be.

To proceed further, we need to calculate the $sl(2)$ Fourier transform of the functions $\Upsilon^{(a)}_{Nq}$

$$\mathscr{P}^{(a)}_{N,q}(x) = \left\langle e^{\Sigma_{k=1}^3 x_k z_k}|\Upsilon^{(a)}_{Nq}\right\rangle_{\frac12\frac12 1}\,. \tag{57}$$

Using the method described in the previous section we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{P}^{(1)}_{N,q}(x) &= r_{Nq}\,\partial_{x_1}\partial_{x_2}x_1x_2P_{Nq}(x)\,,\\ \mathscr{P}^{(5)}_{N+2,q}(x) &= r_{Nq}\,\varkappa_N\,(2N+6-x_{123}\partial_{x_2})\\ &\qquad\times(2N+5-x_{123}\partial_{x_1})\,x_1x_2\,P_{Nq}(x)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

where, again, $x_{123} = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$ and

$$\varkappa_N = (4(N+3)(N+4)(2N+5)(2N+6))^{-1}. \tag{59}$$

The expressions for the polynomials $\mathscr{P}^{(2,3,4)}_{N+1,q}$ are a bit more complicated

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{P}^{(2)}_{N+1,q}(x) &= r_{Nq}\frac{2N+5}{(N+1)}\Bigg\{-\frac{3N+5}{2(N+2)}x_{123}\partial_{x_1}\partial_{x_2} \\
&\quad + (N+1)\partial_{x_1} + 2(N+2)\partial_{x_2}\Bigg\}x_1x_2P_{Nq}(x)\,, \\
\mathscr{P}^{(3)}_{N+1,q}(x) &= r_{Nq}\frac{(2N+5)(N+3)}{(N+1)(N+2)}\Bigg\{-\frac{3N+4}{2(N+2)}x_{123}\partial_{x_1}\partial_{x_2} \\
&\quad + 2(N+1)\partial_{x_1} + (N+2)\partial_{x_2}\Bigg\}x_1x_2P_{Nq}(x)\,, \\
\mathscr{P}^{(4)}_{N+1,q}(x) &= \frac{r_{Nq}}{2(N+1)(N+2)}\Bigg\{\frac{1}{2}\frac{2N+3}{N+2}x_{123}\partial_{x_1}\partial_{x_2} \\
&\quad - (N+1)\partial_{x_1} - (N+2)\partial_{x_2}\Bigg\}x_1x_2P_{Nq}(x)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

Next, we represent the nucleon matrix element of the operators $\mathbb{O}^{t=5,(a)}_{Nq}$ in the form

$$\langle 0|\mathbb{O}^{t=5,(a)}_{Nq}|P\rangle = -\frac{1}{4}m_N(\mu\lambda)N^{\uparrow}_{-}(-ipn)^N\,\Theta^{(a)}_{Nq}. \tag{61}$$

Taking into account Eqs. (49), (50), (51) and Eq. (11), one obtains for the reduced matrix elements $\Theta^{(a)}_{Nq}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta^{(1)}_{Nq} &= \frac{\phi_{Nq}}{(N+2)}\,, \\
\Theta^{(2)}_{N+1,q} &= \frac{(N+4)\phi_{Nq}}{2(N+2)(N+3)^2(2N+5)}\,, \\
\Theta^{(3)}_{N+1,q} &= \frac{\phi_{Nq}}{2(N+3)^2(2N+5)}\,, \\
\Theta^{(4)}_{N+1,q} &= 2\,\phi_{Nq}\,, \\
\Theta^{(5)}_{N+2,q} &= -\frac{2(N+4)\phi_{Nq}}{(N+3)(2N+7)}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

Using the summation formula (B.10), one obtains for the Wandzura-Wilczek contribution to the matrix element of the operator $\mathbb{O}_5(z)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle 0|\mathbb{O}_5(z)|P\rangle &= -\frac{1}{4}m_N(\mu\lambda)N^{\uparrow}_{-}\sum_{Nq}\int\mathscr{D}x\,x_3\,e^{-ip_+\sum z_ix_i}\times \\
&\quad \Bigg\{\zeta_N\Theta^{(1)}_{Nk}\mathscr{P}^{(1)}_{Nq}(x) + \zeta_{N+2}\Theta^{(5)}_{N+2k}\mathscr{P}^{(5)}_{N+2,q}(x) \\
&\quad + \zeta_{N+1}\sum_{a=2}^{4}\Theta^{(a)}_{N+1,q}\mathscr{P}^{(a)}_{N+1,q}(x)\Bigg\}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

where $\zeta_N = \Gamma(2N+4)$. Substituting the explicit expressions for the polynomials $\mathscr{P}_{Nq}$ and the reduced matrix elements Θ_{Nq}, one finds after some algebra

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle 0|\mathbb{O}^{(5)}(z)|P\rangle &= -\frac{1}{4}m_N(\mu\lambda)N^{\uparrow}_{-}\int\mathscr{D}x\,e^{-i(pn)\sum x_kz_k} \\
&\quad \times\sum_{Nq}\frac{c_{Nq}\phi_{Nq}(\mu)}{(N+2)(N+3)}\mathscr{B}_{Nq}(x)\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{B}_{Nq}(x) &= \Big[(N+2-\partial_{x_1})(N+1-\partial_{x_2}) \\
&\quad - (N+2)^2\Big]x_1x_2x_3P_{Nq}(x)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

Comparing (64) with the definition (6), one gets

$$\Phi^{WW}_5(x) = \sum_{Nq}\frac{c_{Nq}\phi_{Nq}(\mu)}{(N+2)(N+3)}\mathscr{B}_{Nq}(x)\,. \tag{66}$$

The expression for $\Psi^{WW}_5(x)$ is given in sect. V and can be restored from (66) by a simple permutation of arguments. The calculation of the WW contribution from twist−4 operators to the DAs Φ_5, Ψ_5 and Ξ_5 is straightforward and we only present the final result in sect. V.

V. RESULTS

In this section we collect all results for the nucleon DAs. The conformal expansion for twist−3 and genuine (geometric) twist−4 DAs reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_3(x) &= x_1x_2x_3\sum_{Nq}c_{Nq}\,\phi_{Nq}(\mu_R)\,P_{Nq}(x)\,, \\
\Phi^{t=4}_4(x) &= x_1x_2\sum_{Nq}A_{Nq}\,\eta_{Nq}(\mu_R)\,R_{Nq}(x)\,, \\
\Psi^{t=4}_4(x) &= x_1x_3\sum_{Nq}\widetilde{A}_{Nq}\,\eta_{Nq}(\mu_R)\,\widetilde{R}_{Nq}(x)\,, \\
\Xi_4(x) &= x_2x_3\sum_{Nq}B_{Nq}\,\xi_{Nq}(\mu_R)\,\Pi_{Nq}(x)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

Explicit expressions for the first few functions P_{Nq}, R_{Nq}, $\widetilde{R}_{Nq}$, Π_{Nq} can be found in Ref. [29]. The Wandzura-Wilczek contributions to the twist four DAs Φ_4 and Ψ_4 take the form [29]

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{WW}_4(x) &= -\sum_{Nq}\frac{c_{Nq}\phi_{Nq}(\mu_R)}{(N+2)(N+3)}\left[N+2-\partial_{x_3}\right] \\
&\quad \times x_1x_2x_3P_{Nq}(x_1,x_2,x_3)\,, \\
\Psi^{WW}_4(x) &= -\sum_{Nq}\frac{c_{Nq}\phi_{Nq}(\mu_R)}{(N+2)(N+3)}\left[N+2-\partial_{x_2}\right] \\
&\quad \times x_1x_2x_3P_{Nq}(x_2,x_1,x_3)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

The WW contributions to the twist five DAs Φ_5 and Ψ_5 from the twist-3 operators read

$$\Phi_5^{WW_3}(x) = \sum_{Nq} \frac{c_{Nq}\,\phi_{Nq}(\mu)}{(N+2)(N+3)} \Big[(N+2-\partial_{x_1})(N+1-\partial_{x_2}) - (N+2)^2\Big] x_1x_2x_3 P_{Nq}(x_1,x_2,x_3)\,,$$
$$\Psi_5^{WW_3}(x) = \sum_{Nq} \frac{c_{Nq}\,\phi_{Nq}(\mu)}{(N+2)(N+3)} \Big[(N+2-\partial_{x_3})(N+1-\partial_{x_1}) - (N+2)^2\Big] x_1x_2x_3 P_{Nq}(x_2,x_1,x_3)\,, \qquad (69)$$

The WW contribution from the geometric twist-4 operators takes the form

$$\Phi_5^{WW_4}(x) = \sum_{Nq} \frac{\widetilde{A}_{Nq}\,\eta_{Nq}(\mu_R)}{(N+1)(N+3)} [N+1-\partial_{x_2}] \times x_2x_3\,\widetilde{R}_{Nq}(x_2,x_1,x_3)\,,$$
$$\Psi_5^{WW_4}(x) = \sum_{Nq} \frac{A_{Nq}\,\eta_{Nq}(\mu_R)}{(N+1)(N+3)} [N+1-\partial_{x_1}] \times x_1x_2\,R_{Nq}(x_2,x_1,x_3)\,. \qquad (70)$$

Finally, for the chiral DA Ξ_5, we obtain

$$\Xi_5^{WW_4}(x) = -\sum_{Nq} \frac{B_{Nq}\xi_{Nq}(\mu_R)}{(N+1)(N+3)} \times \Big\{ [N+1-\partial_{x_3}]\, x_1x_3\Pi_{Nq}(x_2,x_1,x_3) - [N+1-\partial_{x_2}]\, x_1x_2 \Big(\Pi_{Nq}(x_3,x_2,x_1) + \Pi_{Nq}(x_3,x_1,x_2)\Big) \Big\}\,. \qquad (71)$$

One can check that the first moments of the WW contribution to the twist-5 DAs agree with the results of Refs. [14, 15].

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to V. M. Braun for useful discussions. This work was supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG), grant BR2021/5-2, grant BR 2021/6-1 and in part by the RFBR (grant 12-02-00613) and the Heisenberg-Landau Program.

Appendices

Appendix A: Conformal group

In this Appendix we collect the commutators of the conformal group generators that are necessary to construct the lowest weight (conformal) operators. The generators in the spinor representations and their commutators can be found in Ref. [29]. We list below the relevant ones. Starting from

$$[i\mathbf{K}_{\alpha\dot\alpha}, i\mathbf{P}^{\beta\dot\beta}] = 4\left(\delta_\alpha^\beta\,\delta_{\dot\alpha}^{\dot\beta}\,i\mathbf{D} + \delta_{\dot\alpha}^{\dot\beta}\,i\mathbf{M}_\alpha{}^\beta + \delta_\alpha^\beta\,i\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot\alpha}{}^{\dot\beta}\right),$$

where $\mathbf{D}$, $\mathbf{M}_{\alpha\beta}\beta$ and $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}$ are the generators of dilatations and Lorentz rotations, respectively, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
&[i\mathbf{K}_{\mu\bar\mu}, i\mathbf{P}_{\lambda\bar\lambda}] = 4i\Big((\mu\lambda)(\bar\lambda\bar\mu)\mathbf{D} - (\bar\lambda\bar\mu)\mathbf{M}_{\mu\lambda} - (\mu\lambda)\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\bar\lambda\bar\mu}\Big),\\
&[i\mathbf{K}_{\mu\bar\mu}, i\mathbf{P}_{\mu\bar\lambda}] = -4(\bar\lambda\bar\mu)\,i\mathbf{M}_{\mu\mu}\,,\\
&[i\mathbf{K}_{\mu\bar\mu}, i\mathbf{P}_{\lambda\bar\mu}] = -4(\mu\lambda)\,i\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\bar\mu\bar\mu}\,,
\end{aligned} \qquad (A.1)$$

where $\mathbf{P}_{\mu\bar\lambda} = \mu^\alpha\mathbf{P}_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\bar\lambda^{\dot\alpha}$, etc. One also finds

$$\begin{aligned}
&[i\mathbf{M}_{\mu\lambda}, i\mathbf{P}_{\mu\bar\lambda}] = \frac{(\mu\lambda)}{2} i\mathbf{P}_{\mu\bar\lambda}, \quad [i\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\bar\mu\bar\lambda}, i\mathbf{P}_{\mu\bar\lambda}] = \frac{(\bar\mu\bar\lambda)}{2} i\mathbf{P}_{\mu\bar\lambda},\\
&[i\mathbf{M}_{\mu\lambda}, i\mathbf{P}_{\lambda\bar\mu}] = \frac{(\mu\lambda)}{2} i\mathbf{P}_{\lambda\bar\mu}, \quad [i\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\bar\mu\bar\lambda}, i\mathbf{P}_{\lambda\bar\mu}] = \frac{(\bar\mu\bar\lambda)}{2} i\mathbf{P}_{\lambda\bar\mu},\\
&[i\mathbf{M}_{\mu\lambda}, i\mathbf{P}_{\mu\bar\mu}] = \frac{(\mu\lambda)}{2} i\mathbf{P}_{\mu\bar\mu}, \quad [i\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\bar\mu\bar\lambda}, i\mathbf{P}_{\mu\bar\mu}] = \frac{(\bar\mu\bar\lambda)}{2} i\mathbf{P}_{\mu\bar\mu}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbb{O}^{j\bar j}(\lambda,\bar\lambda)$ be an operator of Lorentz spin $j,\bar j$ at point $x=0$ with all indices contracted with spinors λ,λ. Such an operator transforms under Lorentz rotations as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
i[\mathbf{M}_{\alpha\beta}, \mathbb{O}^{j\bar j}(\lambda,\bar\lambda)] &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda^\beta} + \lambda_\beta\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda^\alpha}\right)\mathbb{O}^{j\bar j}(\lambda,\bar\lambda),\\
i[\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}, \mathbb{O}^{j\bar j}(\lambda,\bar\lambda)] &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar\lambda_{\dot\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar\lambda^{\dot\beta}} + \bar\lambda_{\dot\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda^{\dot\alpha}}\right)\mathbb{O}^{j\bar j}(\lambda,\bar\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

One easily finds

$$\begin{aligned}
i[\mathbf{M}_{\mu\lambda}, \mathbb{O}^{j\bar j}(\lambda,\bar\lambda)] &= -2j\,(\mu\lambda)\,\mathbb{O}^{j\bar j}(\lambda,\bar\lambda)\,,\\
i[\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\bar\mu\bar\lambda}, \mathbb{O}^{j\bar j}(\lambda,\bar\lambda)] &= -2\bar j\,(\bar\lambda\bar\mu)\,\mathbb{O}^{j\bar j}(\lambda,\bar\lambda)\,,\\
i[\mathbf{M}_{\mu\mu}, \mathbb{O}^{j\bar j}(\lambda,\bar\lambda)] &= -(\mu\lambda)\,(\mu\partial_\lambda)\,\mathbb{O}^{j\bar j}(\lambda,\bar\lambda)\,,
\end{aligned} \qquad (A.2)$$

etc. The above equations are useful to check the lowest weight conditions $i[\mathbf{K}_{\mu\bar\mu}, \mathbb{O}] = 0$ for various operators considered in the main text.

Appendix B

In this Appendix, we collect some useful representations for the coefficient functions Ψ_{Nq}. Let $\langle *,*\rangle_j$ be a n-particle $sl(2)$ invariant scalar product defined as

$$\langle\Psi,\Phi\rangle_j = \prod_{k=1}^{n}\int_{|z_k|<1} d^2z_k\,\mu_k(z_k)\,\overline{\Psi(z)}\,\Phi(z)\,, \qquad (B.1)$$

where z_k are complex variables, $j = \{j_1,\ldots,j_n\}$ is a multi-index and the weight function $\mu_k(z_k)$ is given by the following expression

$$\mu_k(z_k) = \frac{2j_k-1}{\pi}(1-|z_k|^2)^{2j_k-2}\,. \qquad (B.2)$$

The generator $S_0 = \sum_i S_0^{(i)}$ is self-adjoint with respect to this scalar product, $S_0^\dagger = S_0$ and $S_\pm^\dagger = -S_\mp$. The unit operator (or the reproducing kernel) on this space takes the form

$$\mathbb{K}_j(z,w) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 - z_k \bar{w}_k)^{-2j_k} \,. \qquad \text{(B.3)}$$

One can easily check that

$$\Phi(z) = \langle \overline{\mathbb{K}_j(z,w)} | \Phi(w) \rangle_j \,. \qquad \text{(B.4)}$$

We define the $sl(2)$ Fourier transform of the function $\Psi_N(z_1,\ldots,z_n)$ as follows

$$P_N(u_1,\ldots,u_n) = \langle e^{\sum_{k=1}^n z_n u_n} | \Psi_N(z) \rangle_j \,. \qquad \text{(B.5)}$$

Notice that

$$\overline{\Psi_N(\partial_{u_1}, \partial_{u_n})} P_N(u_1,\ldots,u_n) \Big|_{u_i=0} = ||\Psi_N||_j^2 \,. \qquad \text{(B.6)}$$

For an arbitrary polynomial Ψ_N, one gets the following relation between the polynomials P_N and Ψ_N [32]

$$\Psi_N(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\Gamma(2j_k)} \int_0^\infty du_k u_k^{2j_k-1} e^{-u_k} P_N(u_1 z_1,\ldots,u_n z_n).$$

Another useful relation holds for the shift invariant polynomials Ψ_N, $S_- \Psi_N = 0$. (Note that the coefficient functions Ψ_{Nq} of conformal operators entering the expansion of nonlocal operators are always shift invariant.) This new relation reads

$$S_+^k \Psi_N(z) = \frac{k!}{(N+k)!} \prod_{m=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\Gamma(2j_m)} \int_0^\infty du_m u^{2j_m-1} e^{-u_m} \times \left(\sum_{p=1}^{n} u_p z_p \right)^{N+k} P_N(u_1,\ldots,u_n) \,. \qquad \text{(B.7)}$$

Taking into account that $P_N(u)$ is a polynomial of the degree N and separating the so-called infinite volume integration, $\Lambda = \sum u_i$, we arrive at

$$a_{Nk}(j) S_+^k \Psi_N(z) = \frac{\chi_N(j)}{(N+k)!} \int \mathscr{D}_n x \prod_{m=1}^{n} x_m^{2j_m-1} \times \left(\sum_{p=1}^{n} x_p z_p \right)^{N+k} P_N(x). \qquad \text{(B.8)}$$

The integral goes on over $N-$dimensional simplex, i.e.

$$\mathscr{D}_n x = \prod_{m=1}^{n} dx_m \delta \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \right) \,, \qquad \text{(B.9)}$$

and the coefficients $a_{Nk}(j)$ and $\chi_N(j)$ read

$$a_{Nk}(j) = \frac{\Gamma(2N+2J)}{k!\Gamma(2N+2J+k)} \,,$$
$$\chi_N(j) = \frac{\Gamma(2N+2J)}{\Gamma(2j_1)\ldots\Gamma(2j_n)} \,,$$

where $J = \sum_{i=1}^n j_n$ is the total conformal spin. The representation (B.8) allows us to resum a series over k which appears in the expansion of nonlocal operators. Namely, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-i(pn))^{N+k} a_{Nk}(j) S_+^k \Psi_N(z) = = \chi_N(j) \int \mathscr{D}_n x \prod_{m=1}^{n} x_m^{2j_m-1} e^{-i(pn)\sum_i x_i z_i} P_N(x), \qquad \text{(B.10)}$$

where we take into account that an integral of the polynomial P_N with any polynomial of less degree vanishes.

Finally, let us note that there exists the following relation between the $sl(2)$ Fourier transforms

$$\langle e^{u_k z_k} | \Psi \rangle_{\frac{1}{2}, j_2, j_3 \ldots} = \partial_{u_1} u_1 \langle e^{u_k z_k} | \Psi \rangle_{1, j_2, j_3 \ldots} \qquad \text{(B.11)}$$

which can easily be checked by expanding the exponent in a power series and taking into account that

$$||z^n||_j^2 = \frac{\Gamma(2j) n!}{\Gamma(n+2j)} \,. \qquad \text{(B.12)}$$

Eq. (B.11) allows one to express polynomials $\mathscr{P}_{Nq}$ entering WW part of higher twist DAs in terms of the twist-3 nucleon functions P_{Nq}.

Appendix C

In this Appendix we collect expressions for the derivatives of the twist-5 operators $\mathbb{O}_{Nq}^{t=5,(a)}$ that were used in sect. IV in order to derive the equations on the functions Υ_{Nq}. One easily finds

$$(\bar{\lambda} \partial_{\bar{\mu}}) \mathbb{O}_{Nq}^{t=5,(1)} = \mathbb{O}_{Nq}^{t=4,(1)} \,,$$
$$(\lambda \partial_{\mu}) \mathbb{O}_{Nq}^{t=5,(1)} = \widetilde{\mathbb{O}}_{Nq}^{t=4,(1)} \,. \qquad \text{(C.1)}$$

For $a = 2,\ldots,4$, we obtain

$$(\bar{\lambda} \partial_{\bar{\mu}}) \mathbb{O}_{N+1q}^{t=5,(a)} = A_N^a \mathbb{O}_{N+1q}^{t=4,(2)} + B_N^a \partial_+ \mathbb{O}_{Nq}^{t=4,(1)} \,,$$
$$(\lambda \partial_{\mu}) \mathbb{O}_{N+1q}^{t=5,(a)} = \widetilde{A}_N^a \widetilde{\mathbb{O}}_{N+1q}^{t=4,(2)} + \widetilde{B}_N^a \partial_+ \widetilde{\mathbb{O}}_{Nq}^{t=4,(1)} \,, \qquad \text{(C.2)}$$

where

$$A_N = \left\{ 1,\ (2N+5)^{-1},\ 4(N+3)^2 \right\},$$
$$B_N = \frac{N+2}{2N+5} \left\{ 0,\ ((2N+5)(N+3))^{-1},\ 2 \right\},$$
$$\widetilde{A}_N = \left\{ \frac{N+4}{(N+2)(2N+5)},\ 1,\ 4(N+3)(N+4) \right\},$$
$$\widetilde{B}_N = \frac{N+1}{2N+5} \left\{ ((2N+5)(N+2))^{-1},\ 0,\ 2 \right\}. \qquad \text{(C.3)}$$

Finally for the last operator we derive

$$(\bar{\lambda} \partial_{\bar{\mu}}) \mathbb{O}_{N+2,q}^{t=5,(5)} = C_N \partial_+ \mathbb{O}_{N+1q}^{t=4,(2)} \,,$$
$$(\lambda \partial_{\mu}) \mathbb{O}_{N+2,q}^{t=5,(5)} = C_N \partial_+ \widetilde{\mathbb{O}}_{N+1q}^{t=4,(2)} \,, \qquad \text{(C.4)}$$

where

$$C_N = \frac{4(N+3)(N+4)(2N+5)}{2N+7} \tag{C.5}$$

[1] V. L. Chernyak and A. R. Zhitnitsky, JETP Lett. **25**, 510 (1977) [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **25**, 544 (1977)].

[2] V. L. Chernyak, A. R. Zhitnitsky and V. G. Serbo, JETP Lett. **26**, 594 (1977) [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **26**, 760 (1977)].

[3] A. V. Efremov and A. V. Radyushkin, Phys. Lett. B **94**, 245 (1980).

[4] A. V. Efremov and A. V. Radyushkin, Theor. Math. Phys. **42**, 97 (1980) [Teor. Mat. Fiz. **42**, 147 (1980)].

[5] G. P. Lepage and S. J. Brodsky, Phys. Lett. B **87**, 359 (1979).

[6] A. Duncan and A. H. Mueller, Phys. Rev. D **21**, 1636 (1980).

[7] A. Duncan and A. H. Mueller, Phys. Lett. B **90**, 159 (1980).

[8] A. I. Milshtein and V. S. Fadin, Yad. Fiz. **33**, 1391 (1981).

[9] A. I. Milshtein and V. S. Fadin, Yad. Fiz. **35**, 1603 (1982).

[10] N. Kivel and M. Vanderhaeghen, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 093005 (2011).

[11] I. I. Balitsky, V. M. Braun and A. V. Kolesnichenko, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **44**, 1028 (1986) [Yad. Fiz. **44**, 1582 (1986)].

[12] I. I. Balitsky, V. M. Braun and A. V. Kolesnichenko, Nucl. Phys. B **312**, 509 (1989).

[13] V. L. Chernyak and I. R. Zhitnitsky, Nucl. Phys. B **345**, 137 (1990).

[14] V. M. Braun, A. Lenz, N. Mahnke and E. Stein, Phys. Rev. D **65** (2002) 074011.

[15] V. M. Braun, A. Lenz and M. Wittmann, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 094019 (2006).

[16] A. Lenz, M. Wittmann and E. Stein, Phys. Lett. B **581**, 199 (2004).

[17] T. M. Aliev, K. Azizi, A. Ozpineci and M. Savci, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 114014 (2008).

[18] K. Passek-Kumericki and G. Peters, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 033009 (2008).

[19] I. V. Anikin, V. M. Braun and N. Offen, arXiv:1310.1375 [hep-ph].

[20] P. Ball and V. M. Braun, Nucl. Phys. B **543**, 201 (1999).

[21] A. V. Belitsky and D. Mueller, Nucl. Phys. B **589**, 611 (2000).

[22] A. V. Radyushkin and C. Weiss, Phys. Lett. B **493**, 332 (2000).

[23] N. Kivel, M. V. Polyakov, A. Schafer and O. V. Teryaev, Phys. Lett. B **497**, 73 (2001).

[24] I. V. Anikin and O. V. Teryaev, Phys. Lett. B **509**, 95 (2001).

[25] B. Geyer, D. Robaschik and J. Eilers, Nucl. Phys. B **704**, 279 (2005).

[26] V. Braun, R. J. Fries, N. Mahnke and E. Stein, Nucl. Phys. B **589**, 381 (2000) [Erratum-ibid. B **607**, 433 (2001)]

[27] V. M. Braun, T. Lautenschlager, A. N. Manashov and B. Pirnay, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 094023 (2011).

[28] V. M. Braun, A. N. Manashov and J. Rohrwild, Nucl. Phys. B **807**, 89 (2009).

[29] V. M. Braun, A. N. Manashov and J. Rohrwild, Nucl. Phys. B **826**, 235 (2010).

[30] V. M. Braun, S. E. Derkachov, G. P. Korchemsky and A. N. Manashov, Nucl. Phys. B **553** (1999) 355.

[31] P. Ball, V. M. Braun, Y. Koike and K. Tanaka, Nucl. Phys. B **529**, 323 (1998).

[32] S. E. Derkachov, S. K. Kehrein and A. N. Manashov, Nucl. Phys. B **493**, 660 (1997).