arXiv:1903.12126v1 [hep-ph] 28 Mar 2019

NuPhys2018-Kärkkäinen
HIP-2019-12/TH
March 29, 2019

Neutrino mass via linear seesaw, 331- model and Froggatt-Nielsen mechanism

Katri Huitu, Niko Koivunen and Timo J. Kärkkäinen

Department of Physics
University of Helsinki and Helsinki Institute of Physics,
FI-00014 University of Helsinki, FINLAND

In this paper, we introduce an extension of the Standard Model, based on $\mathrm{SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)}_X$ gauge symmetry (331-model). The 331-models traditionally explain the number of fermion familes in nature. In our model the Froggatt-Nielsen mechanism is incorporated into the 331-setting in a particularly economical fashion. The model utilizes the both the Froggatt-Nielsen and linear seesaw mechanisms to explain the observed fermion mass hierarchies and lightness of neutrinos. In our numerical analysis we found that a ~ 50 TeV new physics scale is able to reproduce correctly all the fermion masses and mixing matrices, including neutrino masses, mass squared differences and mixing matrix.

PRESENTED AT

NuPhys2018, Prospects in Neutrino Physics
Cavendish Conference Centre, London, UK,
December 19–21, 2018

1 Introduction

The Standard Model (SM) does not explain the mass and oscillations of neutrinos, number of fermion families or their mass hierarchy. The models based on $\mathrm{SU(3)_C}\times\mathrm{SU(3)_L}\times\mathrm{U(1)}_X$ gauge symmetry (331-models) have been advocated to explain the number of fermion families in nature [1],[2], and the Froggatt-Nielsen mechanism (FN) is one of the most popular explanations of the charged fermion mass hierarchy [3]. FN mechanism can be particularly economically incorporated into 331-models (FN331), as was shown in [5]. Here we show that FN331 can be extended to also explain the neutrino sector via linear seesaw mechanism [4], by introducing three right-handed singlet neutrinos to the model presented in [5].

2 Fields and symmetry breaking

The electric charge in our model is defined as:

$$Q = T_3 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}T_8 + X. \tag{1}$$

The left-handed (LH) leptons are assigned into $\mathrm{SU(3)_L}$-triplets and the right-handed (RH) leptons into $\mathrm{SU(3)_L}$-singlets:

$$L_{L,i} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_i \\ e_i \\ \nu_i' \end{pmatrix}_L \sim \left(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}, -\frac{1}{3}\right), \quad e_{R,i} \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, -1), \quad N_{R,i} \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 0),$$

where $i = 1, 2, 3$. The fields ν_i' and $N_{R,i}$ are new neutrino-like fields. The quark sector of our model is identical to the one presented in [5]. The fermions are taken to be charged under global $\mathrm{U(1)_{FN}}$-symmetry, which forbids direct inclusion of fermion Yukawa couplings.

Minimal scalar sector that breaks the gauge symmery and produces the tree-level masses to fermions and gauge bosons, consists of three scalar triplets,

$$\eta = \begin{pmatrix} \eta^+ \\ \eta^0 \\ \eta'^+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho = \begin{pmatrix} \rho^0 \\ \rho^- \\ \rho'^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \chi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi^0 \\ \chi^- \\ \chi'^0 \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\eta \sim \left(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right)$ and $\rho, \chi \sim \left(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}, -\frac{1}{3}\right)$.

Note that two of the three scalar triplets are in the same representation. This is a special feature of our choice of electric charge in Eq. (1), and allows us to use the combination $\rho^\dagger\chi$ as the effective flavon. The most general electric charge conserving vacuum is,

$$\langle\eta^0\rangle = \frac{v'}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \langle\rho^0\rangle = \frac{v_1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \langle\rho'^0\rangle = \frac{v_2}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \langle\chi^0\rangle = \frac{u}{\sqrt{2}}. \tag{2}$$

The electroweak symmetry breaking pattern of our model is

$$\mathrm{SU}(3)_{\mathrm{L}} \times \mathrm{U}(1)_X \xrightarrow{u,v_2} \mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{L}} \times \mathrm{U}(1)_Y \xrightarrow{v_1,v'} \mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{em}},$$

where v_2,$u \sim 50$ TeV and v_1,$v' = \mathcal{O}(100\ \mathrm{GeV})$. The new exotic quarks, new scalars and the new gauge bosons acquire masses that are of the order of $\mathrm{SU}(3)_{\mathrm{L}}$ breaking scale.

3 Fusion of FN and seesaw mechanisms

The combination $\rho^\dagger\chi$ is a gauge singlet and carry a non-zero $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{FN}}$ charge. It can therefore play the role of the flavon in the FN mechanism. The Yukawa couplings are forbidden by the $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{FN}}$ charge assignment, but the effective operators

$$\Delta\mathcal{L} = \sum_{s,f} \left(c_s^f\right)_{ij} \left(\frac{\rho^\dagger\chi}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{FN}}^2}\right)^{\left(n_f^s\right)_{ij}} \overline{\psi}_{L,i}^f s f_{R,j} + \mathrm{h.c.} \tag{3}$$

are allowed. The sum is understood over scalar ($s = \eta, \rho, \chi$) and fermion (f) degrees of freedom. $\left(c_s^f\right)_{ij}$ are dimensionless and $\mathcal{O}(1)$. The $\overline{\psi}_{L,i}^f$ and $f_{R,j}$ represent here the fermion triplets, anti-triplets and singlets, respectively. Parameter Λ_{FN} is the mass scale of the heavy FN messengers that have been integrated out. Powers $\left(n_f^s\right)_{ij}$ are given by $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{FN}}$ charge conservation:

$$\left(n_f^s\right)_{ij} = \left[q\left(\overline{\psi}_{L,i}^f\right) + q\left(f_{R,j}\right) + q(s)\right]. \tag{4}$$

As the $\mathrm{SU}(3)_{\mathrm{L}}$-symmetry breaks, the "flavon" $\rho^\dagger\chi$ acquires vacuum expectation value (VEV), and the Yukawa couplings are generated as effective couplings:

$$\left(y_s^f\right)_{ij} = \left(c_s^f\right)_{ij} \left(\frac{v_2 u}{2\Lambda_{\mathrm{FN}}^2}\right)^{\left(n_f^s\right)_{ij}} \equiv \left(c_s^f\right)_{ij} \varepsilon^{\left(n_f^s\right)_{ij}} \tag{5}$$

Assuming that $(v_2 u)/\Lambda^2 < 1$, the fermion mass hierarchy is generated naturally, provided that the $\mathrm{U}(1)_{FN}$ charges are adequate. We fix the FN expansion parameter to be the sine of the Cabibbo angle: $\varepsilon \equiv \sin\theta_C \approx 0.23$, since with this choice the CKM-matrix is generated naturally [5].

Neutrino masses are generated at tree level via linear seesaw mechanism:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{mass}}^\nu = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\nu}_L & \overline{\nu'}_L & \overline{(N_R)^c} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & 2m^{D\dagger} & m^{N*} \\ 2m^{D*} & \mathbf{0} & m'^{N*} \\ m^{N\dagger} & m'^{N\dagger} & M^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L^c \\ \nu_L'^c \\ N_R \end{pmatrix} + h.c., \tag{6}$$

	scalars			lepton triplets			lepton singlets			RH neutrinos		
Field	η	ρ	χ	$L_{L,1}$	$L_{L,2}$	$L_{L,3}$	e_R	μ_R	τ_R	$N_{R,1}$	$N_{R,2}$	$N_{R,3}$
$q_{\rm FN}$	-1	1	0	8			3	0	-2	0		

Table 1: The FN charge assignments for scalar and lepton fields in our model.

where all the submatrices have size 3×3, and they are given by,

$$m'^{N}_{ij} = \frac{v_2}{\sqrt{2}} c^{N}_{ij} \epsilon^{q\left(\overline{L}_{L,i}\right)+q\left(N_{R,j}\right)+q(\rho)} + \frac{u}{\sqrt{2}} c'^{N}_{ij} \epsilon^{q\left(\overline{L}_{L,i}\right)+q\left(N_{R,j}\right)+q(\chi)}, \tag{7}$$

$$m^{N}_{ij} = \frac{v_1}{\sqrt{2}} c^{N}_{ij} \epsilon^{q\left(\overline{L}_{L,i}\right)+q\left(N_{R,j}\right)+q(\rho)}, \quad M_{ij} = \sqrt{\frac{uv_2}{2\epsilon}} c^{M}_{ij} \epsilon^{q\left(N_{R,i}\right)+q\left(N_{R,j}\right)}, \tag{8}$$

$$\text{and} \quad m^{D}_{ij} = \frac{v'}{\sqrt{2}} \left(c^{N}_{\eta^*}\right)_{ij} \epsilon^{q\left(\overline{L}_{L,i}\right)+q\left(\overline{L}_{L,j}\right)-q(\eta)}. \tag{9}$$

The light neutrino mass matrix is obtained via block diagonalization:

$$m_\nu = 4m^{D\dagger} \left(m'^{N\dagger}\right)^{-1} M^* \left(m'^{N*}\right)^{-1} m^{D*} - \left[m^{N*} \left(m'^{N*}\right)^{-1} 2m^{D*} + 2m^{D\dagger} \left(m'^{N\dagger}\right)^{-1} m^{N\dagger}\right]. \tag{10}$$

4 Numerical analysis

Table 1 contains our choice for lepton FN charges. We have used the following benchmark points:

$$c^L = \begin{pmatrix} 1.9753 & -3.6268 & -3.0637 \\ -0.5098 & -3.1787 & -2.0880 \\ -0.93877 & 3.1170 & -0.9170 \end{pmatrix}, \quad c^N = \begin{pmatrix} 3.8705 & -0.5932 & 2.5684 \\ 0.6689 & -0.8576 & 2.6275 \\ -3.3979 & -4.1881 & 4.2437 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$c^M = \begin{pmatrix} 4.0638 & 2.3549 & 1.3775 \\ 2.3549 & -1.1210 & -4.4734 \\ 1.3775 & -4.4734 & -2.4107 \end{pmatrix}, \quad c^{N\prime} = \begin{pmatrix} 2.5372 & 3.2794 & 2.5609 \\ -1.9739 & 2.3864 & 2.6567 \\ -4.0871 & 4.7905 & -2.0258 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\text{and} \quad \left(c^N_{\eta^*}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1.4089 & 4.9457 \\ -1.4089 & 0 & 1.5234 \\ -4.9457 & -1.5234 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that the c^M is a symmetric and $c^N_{\eta^*}$ is an antisymmetric matrix. This benchmark choice produces the correct absolute values of the Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakaga-Sakata

(PMNS) matrix within the current 3σ bounds [6].

$$|U_{\rm PMNS}|_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.8309 & 0.5355 & 0.1510 \\ 0.4744 & 0.5402 & 0.6951 \\ 0.2907 & 0.6491 & 0.7029 \end{pmatrix}$$

It also provides light neutrino masses and mass squared differences consistent with cosmology and as well as atmospheric and solar neutrino experiments. Deviations of standard oscillation formulas are manifested by nonunitarities induced by active-sterile neutrino mixing and also by nonstandard interactions (NSI). The NSIs are mediated by gauge bosons and scalars. Neutral scalars will not contribute to NSI. In both cases the effects are suppressed by the $\mathrm{SU(3)_L}\times \mathrm{U(1)}_X$-breaking scale to such a degree that they are unobservable in any conceivable near-future experimental setup.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

K. H. acknowledges the H2020-MSCA-RICE-2014 grant no. 645722 (NonMinimal-Higgs). T. K. expresses his his gratitude to the organizers of NuPhys2018 conference for their hospitality.

References

[1] M. Singer, J. W. F. Valle and J. Schechter, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 738 (1980).

[2] P. H. Frampton, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2889 (1992).

[3] C. D. Froggatt and H. B. Nielsen, Nucl. Phys. B **147**, 277 (1979).

[4] S. M. Barr, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92** (2004) 101601 [arXiv:hep-ph/0309152].

[5] K. Huitu and N. Koivunen, Phys. Rev. D **98**, no. 1, 011701 (2018), [arXiv:1706.09463 [hep-ph]].

[6] I. Esteban, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, A. Hernandez-Cabezudo, M. Maltoni and T. Schwetz, JHEP **1901** (2019) 106, [arXiv:1811.05487 [hep-ph]].