BISMUT RICCI FLAT MANIFOLDS WITH SYMMETRIES

FABIO PODESTÀ AND ALBERTO RAFFERO

Abstract. We construct examples of compact homogeneous Riemannian manifolds admitting an invariant Bismut connection that is Ricci flat and non-flat, proving in this way that the generalized Alekseevsky-Kimelfeld theorem does not hold. The classification of compact homogeneous Bismut Ricci flat spaces in dimension 5 is also provided. Moreover, we investigate compact homogeneous spaces with non trivial third Betti number, and we point out other possible ways to construct Bismut Ricci flat manifolds. Finally, since Bismut Ricci flat connections correspond to fixed points of the generalized Ricci flow, we discuss the stability of some of our examples under the flow.

1. Introduction

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold, denote by ∇^g its Levi Civita connection, and consider a non-vanishing 3-form $H \in \Omega^3(\mathrm{M})$. The *Bismut connection* associated with the pair (g, H) is defined via the identity

$$g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = g(\nabla^g_X Y, Z) + \frac{1}{2} H(X, Y, Z),$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(T\mathrm{M})$, it is the unique metric connection on M with totally skew-symmetric torsion H, and it has the same geodesics as ∇^g.

These connections were successfully used in index theory problems in complex non-Kähler geometry [7], where they are characterized as the only complex metric connections with totally skew-symmetric torsion $H = d^c\omega$ on a given Hermitian manifold (M, g, J), see e.g. [16]. In this setting, *strong Kähler with torsion* (SKT) complex manifolds are precisely the Hermitian manifolds (M, g, J) whose Kähler form ω satisfies the condition $dd^c\omega = 0$, or, equally, whose Bismut connection has closed torsion, see for instance the survey [11] for more details. On the other hand, the class of Riemannian manifolds admitting a Bismut connection ∇ whose torsion H is ∇-parallel has been throughly studied in the literature, as this condition naturally holds for several geometrically significant structures as naturally reductive spaces, nearly Kähler and Sasakian structures among others, see e.g. [1, 2, 10]. Furthermore, Bismut connections are also of interest in theoretical and mathematical physics, see [12] and the references therein for a detailed explanation.

Bismut connections with closed torsion form play a central role in generalized Riemannian geometry, where they are naturally associated with generalized metrics on exact Courant algebroids, see [13, 15]. In this case, since the torsion of ∇ is non-vanishing, the Ricci tensor

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C25, 53C07, 53C30, 53B05, 53E20.

Key words and phrases. Bismut connection, Ricci flat connection, harmonic forms, homogeneous space.

Ric^∇ is not symmetric, and one has (see [15, Prop. 3.18])

$$\mathrm{Ric}^\nabla = \mathrm{Ric}_g - \frac{1}{4}H^2 - \delta_g H, \tag{1.1}$$

where Ric_g denotes the Ricci tensor of ∇^g, δ_g is the formal adjoint of d, and the symmetric 2-tensor H^2 is defined as $H^2(X,Y) := g(\imath_X H, \imath_Y H)$, for every $X, Y \in \Gamma(T\mathrm{M})$.

It is clear from (1.1) that a Bismut connection ∇ with closed torsion form H is *Ricci flat*, i.e., $\mathrm{Ric}^\nabla = 0$, if and only if H is a g-harmonic 3-form and the Ricci tensor of g satisfies the equation $\mathrm{Ric}_g = \frac{1}{4}H^2$. A pair (g,H) giving rise to a Ricci flat Bismut connection ∇ is called a *Bismut Ricci flat pair* (*BRF pair* for short) throughout the following. In generalized Riemannian geometry, such pairs correspond to special types of generalized Einstein structures, see [15, Ch. 3] for more information. We recall here that for a BRF pair (g,H) the scalar curvature Scal_g and the norm of H, which are related by the identity $\mathrm{Scal}_g = \frac{1}{4}||H||^2$, are constant on M, see [18, Lemma 2.24].

BRF pairs are fixed points of the *generalized Ricci flow*, a geometric flow introduced in [8, 19] in the context of renormalization group flows of two-dimensional nonlinear sigma models. To describe this flow, consider a family of Riemannian metrics g_t depending on a real parameter t, fix a closed 3-form $H_0 \in \Omega^3(\mathrm{M})$ and let $H_t = H_0 + db_t$, where $b_t \in \Omega^2(\mathrm{M})$. Then, the generalized Ricci flow for (g_t, b_t) is defined as follows

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial}{\partial t} g_t = -2\mathrm{Ric}_{g_t} + \dfrac{1}{2}H_t^2, \\ \dfrac{\partial}{\partial t} b_t = -\delta_{g_t} H_t, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

and it is well-posed on compact manifolds, see e.g. [15]. Notice that BRF pairs can also be regarded as trivial examples of *steady solitons* for the generalized Ricci flow. Indeed, the latter are defined by pairs (g,H) satisfying the more general equations

$$\mathrm{Ric}_g = \frac{1}{4}H^2 - \mathcal{L}_X g, \quad \delta_g H = -\imath_X H,$$

for some vector field $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. The existence of non-trivial solitons on compact (complex) 4-manifolds has been recently proved in [23, 27].

Remarkably, the flow (1.2) can be seen as a generalization of Hamilton's Ricci flow to Bismut connections with closed torsion form [20] and as a flow of generalized metrics on exact Courant algebroids [13, 21]. Moreover, it is related to some geometric flows in Hermitian Geometry, like the pluriclosed flow and the generalized Kähler Ricci flow, see e.g. [14, 22, 24, 25, 26]. The reader may refer to the recent book [15] for an excellent introduction to the topic.

Standard examples of manifolds carrying BRF pairs are provided by compact simple Lie groups endowed with the bi-invariant metric (given by the negative of the Cartan-Killing form) and the standard harmonic 3-form, see e.g. [15, Prop. 3.53]. Notice that, in such a case, the corresponding Bismut connection is flat. On the other hand, a simply connected compact Riemannian manifold admitting a flat Bismut connection is isometric to a product of compact simple Lie groups with bi-invariant metrics [15, Thm. 3.54]. It is currently not known whether other left-invariant BRF pairs may exist on compact Lie groups.

Since in the Riemannian case every homogeneous Ricci flat manifold is flat [4], M. Garcia-Fernández and J. Streets asked the following:

Question ([15])**.** Given (M, g, H) a homogeneous Riemannian manifold with H invariant and zero Bismut Ricci curvature, is the associated Bismut connection flat?

In this paper, we answer this question negatively. After showing some general facts on compact homogeneous spaces with non-zero third Betti number in Section 2, we examine low dimensional compact homogeneous spaces in Section 3. Since the 3- and 4-dimensional case are well understood from the results of [15], we focus on 5-dimensional compact homogeneous spaces and we obtain a full classification of those admitting invariant BRF pairs in Theorem 3.2. Beyond the case of compact Lie groups, we find a family of compact homogeneous spaces $\mathrm{M}_{p,q}$ parametrized by a pair of positive integers $p \geq q$ with $\gcd(p,q) = 1$, all diffeomorphic to $S^3 \times S^2$, where we prove the existence of invariant BRF pairs (g, H) for which the corresponding Bismut connection ∇ is not flat, the metric g is not Einstein and the torsion form H is not ∇-parallel, see Theorem 3.3. The uniqueness of such pairs is also studied in the same theorem. Finally, in Section 4, we investigate the behavior of the homogeneous generalized Ricci flow on the spaces $\mathrm{M}_{p,q}$ with $p \neq q$, showing that the invariant BRF pairs found in Theorem 3.3 are global attractors.

As an additional remark, in Section 3.1 we show that our examples are particular cases of a general construction by Kobayashi in the Riemannian Einstein setting [17], and we pave the way for a possible use of his construction to provide new examples of generalized Einstein manifolds, see Proposition 3.7.

Notation. Throughout the paper, Lie groups will be denoted by capital letters and their Lie algebras will be denoted by the respective gothic letters. The Cartan-Killing form of a Lie algebra will be always denoted by B. When a Lie group G acts on a manifold M, the vector field associated to any $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ will be denoted by $\hat{X}$. Finally, the space of Riemannian metrics on a manifold M will be denoted by $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{M})$.

2. COMPACT HOMOGENEOUS SPACES WITH POSITIVE THIRD BETTI NUMBER

A preliminary step in the search for invariant Bismut Ricci flat connections on compact homogeneous spaces consists in finding conditions under which the third Betti number of the space is positive.

A typical example is given by compact semisimple Lie groups, where b_3 coincides with the number of simple factors, see [9]. In particular, when G is a compact simple Lie group, then the third cohomology group $H^3(\mathrm{G}, \mathbb{R})$ is generated by the *standard 3-form* ω defined as follows

$$\omega(X, Y, Z) := B([X, Y], Z), \tag{2.1}$$

for every left-invariant vector fields X, Y, Z on G. More generally, in [3] it was proved that the isotropy subgroup K of a compact homogeneous space $\mathrm{M} = \mathrm{G}/\mathrm{K}$ such that $b_3(\mathrm{M}) \geq 1$ must be finite whenever G is simple. In the next theorem, we review this result and we obtain some new restrictions on K in the case where G is locally a product of two simple factors.

Theorem 2.1. *Let* G *be a compact Lie group and let* $\mathrm{M} = \mathrm{G}/\mathrm{K}$ *be a* G*-homogeneous space with* $b_3(\mathrm{M}) \geq 1$*. Then*

a) if G *is simple, then* K *is finite;*

b) if G *is locally a product of two simple factors* $\mathrm{G}_1, \mathrm{G}_2$*, then either the Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{k}$ *is contained in one of the two factors* $\mathfrak{g}_i$ *or the Lie algebras* $\mathfrak{g}_i$ *contain subalgebras* $\mathfrak{k}_i$ *isomorphic to* $\mathfrak{k}$ *and the projections* $p_i : \mathfrak{k} \to \mathfrak{g}_i$ *are isomorphisms onto* $\mathfrak{k}_i$*, for* $i = 1, 2$*.*

Proof. The assertion a) was proved in [3]. We review the proof here. Let $\pi : \mathrm{G} \to \mathrm{G}/\mathrm{K}$ be the projection and consider a closed 3-form ϕ on M. As G is compact, we can suppose that ϕ is G-invariant. If $\hat{\phi} \in \Omega^3(\mathrm{G})$ is the closed 3-form $\pi^*(\phi)$, then $\hat{\phi}$ is invariant under left G-translations and right K-translations. Since G is simple, the third cohomology group $H^3(\mathrm{G}, \mathbb{R})$ is generated by the standard 3-form ω defined in (2.1). Thus, $\hat{\phi} = c\,\omega + d\xi$, for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and some 2-form $\xi \in \Omega^2(\mathrm{G})$. Again by compactness, we can suppose that ξ is invariant under left G-translations and right K-translations. This implies that, given $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{k}$, we have

$$\xi([Z, X], Y) + \xi(X, [Z, Y]) = 0,$$

where we see ξ as an element of $\Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. Moreover, $\imath_Z \hat{\phi} = 0$, so that

$$c\,\omega(Z, X, Y) = -d\xi(Z, X, Y) = \xi([X, Y], Z).$$

Hence

$$cB([X, Y], Z) = \xi([X, Y], Z).$$

As G is simple, we have $\mathfrak{g} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ and therefore for every $U \in \mathfrak{g}$

$$\xi(U, Z) = cB(U, Z). \tag{2.2}$$

Suppose now $\mathfrak{k} \neq \{0\}$ and choose a non-zero element $Z \in \mathfrak{k}$. By (3.6) we have that $0 = cB(Z, Z)$, hence $c = 0$ and $\imath_{\mathfrak{k}}\xi = 0$. This means that ξ descends to a 2-form on M with $d\xi = \phi$. Consequently, every element in $H^3(M, \mathbb{R})$ must be trivial, a contradiction.

We now prove b). Using the same notation as in a), suppose $0 \neq [\phi] \in H^3(\mathrm{M}, \mathbb{R})$ and consider the corresponding 3-form $\hat{\phi} \in \Omega^3(\mathrm{G})$. The form $\hat{\phi}$ can be written as $\hat{\phi} = c_1\,\omega_1 + c_2\,\omega_2 + d\xi$, where ω_i is the standard 3-form on G_i, for $i = 1, 2$. If $Z \in \mathfrak{k}$, then for every $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$

$$c_1\,\omega_1(Z, X, Y) + c_2\,\omega_2(Z, X, Y) = -d\xi(Z, X, Y) = \xi([X, Y], Z).$$

Hence

$$\xi([X, Y], Z) = c_1 B_1([X, Y]_1, Z_1) + c_2 B_2([X, Y]_2, Z_2),$$

where B_i is the Cartan-Killing forms on $\mathfrak{g}_i$, and the subscript $-_i$ denotes the projection along the i^{th}-component $\mathfrak{g}_i$. Now, suppose that, say, p_1 has a non-trivial kernel containing some $Z \neq 0$ such that $Z_1 = 0$ and $Z_2 \neq 0$. As G is semisimple, we can express $Z = \sum_k [X_k, Y_k]$ for some vectors $X_k, Y_k \in \mathfrak{g}$. Hence,

$$0 = \xi(Z, Z) = c_2 B_2(Z_2, Z_2),$$

forcing $c_2 = 0$. If $c_1 = 0$, then $\hat{\phi} = d\xi$ and $\imath_{\mathfrak{k}}\xi = 0$, so that ξ descends to an invariant 2-form on M and ϕ is exact, a contradiction. Therefore $c_1 \neq 0$, and for every $Z' \in \mathfrak{k}$ we have $0 = \xi(Z', Z') = c_1 B_1(Z'_1, Z'_1)$ so that $Z'_1 = 0$, implying $\mathfrak{k} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_2$. □

Remark 2.2. Note that if a projection, say p_1, is also surjective, namely $\mathfrak{k}_1 = \mathfrak{g}_1$, then M is diffeomorphic to the simple factor G_2 (up to a covering).

3. Compact homogeneous spaces with invariant BRF pairs

In this section, we investigate the existence of compact homogeneous spaces admitting invariant pairs (g, H) such that the corresponding Bismut connection $\nabla = \nabla^g + \frac{1}{2} g^{-1} H$ is Ricci flat and non-flat, and we aim at understanding whether the generalized Alekseevsky-Kimelfeld theorem may hold.

Basic examples of such spaces are given by compact (semi)simple Lie groups endowed with a bi-invariant metric g and the standard harmonic 3-form ω. In these cases, the associated Bismut connection is flat. Particular examples are given by the standard 3-sphere $S^3 \cong \mathrm{SU}(2)$ endowed with a constant curvature metric, and the product $\mathrm{M} = S^3 \times S^1$ with the product metric and the standard 3-form on S^3 viewed as a 3-form on M (cf. [15, Ex. 3.57]).

The 3-dimensional case is settled in [15, Prop. 3.55], where it is proved that the existence of a BRF pair (g, H) on a 3-manifold M^3 implies that (M^3, g) has constant sectional curvature and the associated Bismut connection is flat. The 4-dimensional case can be completely understood following the reasoning in the proof of [15, Thm. 8.26]. We specify it here for the reader's convenience. [1]

Proposition 3.1. *Let* M^4 *be a* 4*-dimensional compact manifold admitting a BRF pair* (g, H)*. Then, the associated Bismut connection is flat.*

Proof. As the 3-form H is harmonic, the 1-form $\theta := *H$ is also harmonic. Therefore, by Bochner formula, we have

$$0 = \int_M \langle \Delta\theta, \theta\rangle \, dV_g = \int_M \left(\mathrm{Ric}(\theta^\#, \theta^\#) + ||\nabla^g \theta||^2 \right) dV_g,$$

so that θ is parallel and $0 = \mathrm{Ric}(\theta^\#, \theta^\#) = \frac{1}{4} H^2(\theta^\#, \theta^\#) = \frac{1}{4} ||\imath_{\theta^\sharp} H||^2$. This implies that the universal cover of M^4 splits isometrically as a product $\mathrm{N}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$, for some 3-dimensional space N^3, and that $\imath_v H = 0$ whenever v is tangent to the flat factor. The claim now follows from the 3-dimensional case. ☐

We now turn to the 5-dimensional case and in the next theorem we determine which 5-dimensional compact homogeneous spaces may admit invariant BRF pairs.

Theorem 3.2. *Let* (M, g) *be a compact* 5*-dimensional homogeneous Riemannian manifold. If* M *admits a harmonic* 3*-form* H *such that* (g, H) *is a BRF pair, then one of the following holds:*

i) M *is finitely covered by a compact Lie group;*
ii) M *is finitely covered by* $\mathrm{SU}(2)^2/\mathrm{T}^1$*, where* T^1 *is embedded diagonally into* $\mathrm{SU}(2)^2$*.*

Proof. We consider the compact Lie group G given by the connected full isometry group of (M, g), and we recall that any harmonic form is invariant under the G-action. We start noting that we can assume $H \neq 0$, as otherwise by [4] the Ricci flat metric g would be flat and M would be covered by a torus.

---

[1] We are indebted to Jeffrey Streets who pointed out to us this result.

We first study the case where G is semisimple. Let K denote the isotropy group of the G-action on M at a fixed point p. Then, $\dim \mathrm{K}$ belongs to the set $\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$. Indeed, the isotropy representation embeds K into SO(5) and K is a proper subgroup, as the standard representation of SO(5) has no non-trivial invariant 3-forms. Moreover, a proper subgroup of SO(5) has dimension at most $6 = \dim \mathrm{SO}(4)$, with equality if and only if K is conjugate to the standard SO(4). Again, this case can be ruled out as there no non-trivial invariant 3-forms.

The case $\dim \mathrm{K} = 5$ cannot occur, as there are no 5-dimensional subgroups of SO(5) (the rank is at most two: if the rank is 1, then $\mathrm{K} \cong \mathrm{T}^1$ or SU(2), if the rank is 2, then either $\mathrm{K} \cong \mathrm{T}^2$ or $\mathrm{K} \cong \mathrm{T}^1 \cdot \mathrm{SU}(2)$, never with dimension 5).

If $\dim \mathrm{K} = 4$, then K and G are locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{T}^1 \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ and $\mathrm{SU}(2)^3$, respectively. We denote by $\mathfrak{z}$ the center of $\mathfrak{k}$ and by $\mathfrak{k}_s \cong \mathfrak{su}(2)$ the semisimple part of $\mathfrak{k}$. If $\mathfrak{g} = \oplus_{i=1}^3 \mathfrak{g}_i$, with $\mathfrak{g}_i \cong \mathfrak{su}(2)$, and $p_i : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}_i$ are the projections for $i = 1,2,3$, then we may suppose that $p_1(\mathfrak{z}) \neq \{0\}$, so that $p_1(\mathfrak{k}_s) = \{0\}$. Since $\mathfrak{k}_s$ cannot coincide with $\mathfrak{g}_2$ or $\mathfrak{g}_3$ and since it is simple, we see that $p_i(\mathfrak{k}_s) = \mathfrak{g}_i$ and $p_i(\mathfrak{z}) = \{0\}$ for $i = 2,3$. Therefore, the manifold M is (up to a finite covering) G-diffeomorphic to $\mathrm{SU}(2)/\mathrm{T}^1 \times \mathrm{SU}(2)^2/\Delta\mathrm{SU}(2) \cong S^2 \times S^3$. In this case, the isotropy representation contains two inequivalent modules and therefore the above diffeomorphism maps the metric g to a product metric. As the 3-form H on $S^2 \times S^3$ is the pull-back of a 3-form on the S^3-factor, we see that the Ricci tensor on the S^2-factor should vanish, a contradiction.

If $\dim \mathrm{K} = 3$, then $\dim \mathrm{G} = 8$ and, being semisimple, this implies $\mathrm{G} \cong \mathrm{SU}(3)$, which is simple. This contradicts Theorem 2.1.

The case $\dim \mathrm{K} = 2$ can be ruled out as there are no semisimple groups of dimension 7. The last case $\dim \mathrm{K} = 1$ forces $\mathrm{G} \cong \mathrm{SU}(2)^2$ and Theorem 2.1 says that K is embedded diagonally into G, unless $\mathfrak{k}$ is contained in one of the factors $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. When this occurs, M is G-diffeomorphic (up to a covering) to $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times S^2$. As the isotropy $\mathfrak{k}$ acts on the tangent space of S^2 with no non-zero invariant vector and trivially on the tangent space of SU(2), we see that the above splitting is isometric. Moreover, an invariant 3-form H on M is of the form $H_1 + H_2$, where H_1 in an invariant form on SU(2), while $H_2 = \theta \wedge \sigma$, where θ is any invariant 1-form on SU(2) and σ is the volume form of S^2. As $dH_2 = d\theta \wedge \sigma$ and $dH_1 \in \Lambda^3(\mathfrak{su}(2))$, we see that $dH = 0$ forces $H = H_1$. This means that $\imath_v H = 0$ for every vector v tangent to the S^2 factor, implying that $\mathrm{Ric}_{S^2} \equiv 0$, a contradiction.

We now deal with the non-semisimple case. Let Z be the connected center of G and let L be the semisimple part of G, which is a compact normal subgroup. We first summarize some basic observations:

(1) all L-orbits are diffeomorphic. Indeed, L is a normal subgroup of G, so for every $p \in \mathrm{M}$ and $g \in \mathrm{G}$ we have $\mathrm{L} \cdot gp = g(\mathrm{L} \cdot p)$. A generic L-orbit will be denoted by $\mathcal{O}$;

(2) Let $\mathrm{U} := \{z \in \mathrm{Z} \mid z(\mathrm{L} \cdot p) = \mathrm{L} \cdot p,\ \forall p \in \mathrm{M}\}$. Then, U is a closed subgroup of the torus Z and we can find a closed subgroup $\mathrm{Z}_1 \subseteq \mathrm{Z}$ with $\mathrm{Z}_1 \cap \mathrm{U} = \{e\}$, $\mathrm{Z} = \mathrm{U} \cdot \mathrm{Z}_1$ and $\mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{Z}_1$ acting transitively on M. Indeed, at each point $q \in \mathrm{M}$ we have that $T_q\mathrm{M} = T_q(\mathrm{Z}_1 \cdot q) \oplus T_q(\mathrm{L} \cdot q)$. In detail, if $X \in \mathfrak{z}_1$ with $\hat{X}_q \in T_q(\mathrm{L} \cdot q)$, then for every $g \in \mathrm{G}$ we have $\hat{X}_{gq} = g_* \hat{X}_q \in T_{gq}(\mathrm{L} \cdot gq)$, meaning that $X \in \mathfrak{u}$, whence $X = 0$;

(3) the manifold M is G-diffeomorphic (up to a finite covering) to the product $\mathrm{Z}_1 \times \mathcal{O}$. Indeed, the map $F : \mathrm{Z}_1 \times \mathcal{O} \to \mathrm{M}$ given by $F(z,p) = z \cdot p$ is a local diffeomorphism, hence a covering thanks to the compactness of the involved spaces.

Since L is non-trivial, its orbits have dimension at least 2, whence $\dim \mathrm{Z}_1 = \mathrm{chm}(\mathrm{M},\mathrm{L}) \leq 3$. We now proceed by looking at the possible dimensions of Z_1:

(a) $\dim \mathrm{Z}_1 = 1$. The generic L-orbit $\mathcal{O}$ has dimension 4 and, up to a finite covering, it is L-diffeomorphic to $\mathrm{SO}(5)/\mathrm{SO}(4)$, $\mathrm{SU}(3)/\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{U}(1)\mathrm{U}(2))$ or $\mathrm{SO}(4)/\mathrm{T}^2$ (cf. [5]). As the isotropy representation has no non-trivial invariant vector in the tangent space of $\mathcal{O}$, we see that the splitting in (3) is isometric. As the space of invariant 3-forms on $\mathcal{O}$ is trivial, the form H is given by $H = dt \wedge \sigma$, where $dt \in \Lambda^1(\mathrm{T}^1)^{\mathrm{T}^1}$ and σ is an L-invariant 2-form. Then, $\mathrm{Ric}_g(\partial_t, \partial_t) = 0$, while $||\imath_{\partial_t} H||^2 \neq 0$, a contradiction;

(b) $\dim \mathrm{Z}_1 = 2$. In this case, $\dim \mathcal{O} = 3$, and L must be either SU(2) or SO(3), up to covering. In the former case, M is a Lie group up to covering; in the latter, $\mathcal{O}$ is covered by S^3 and the same argument as above shows that the splitting $\mathrm{M} = \mathrm{Z}_1 \times S^3$ is isometric. In this case, H is a multiple of the volume form on the S^3 factor, as this has no non-trivial invariant 1- or 2-forms. Moreover, the metric splits as the product of a flat metric on Z_1 and a multiple of the standard metric on S^3. Consequently, the associated Bismut connection is flat;

(c) $\dim \mathrm{Z}_1 = 3$. Here $\mathcal{O} \cong S^2 = \mathrm{SU}(2)/\mathrm{T}^1$, so that the splitting (3) is isometric. The form H can be expressed as $H = \phi \wedge \nu$, where ϕ is an invariant 1-form on Z_1 and ν is the volume form on S^2. Again, $||\imath_v H||^2 \neq 0$, for every v in $T\mathrm{Z}_1$, while $\mathrm{Ric}_g(v,v) = 0$, a contradiction.

□

The previous result leads us to consider the homogeneous spaces $\mathrm{M} = (\mathrm{SU}(2)\times\mathrm{SU}(2))/\mathrm{K}$, with $\mathrm{K} \cong \mathrm{T}^1$ embedded diagonally. Up to an automorphism of $\mathrm{G} = \mathrm{SU}(2)^2$, we can suppose that K is of the form

$$\mathrm{K}_{p,q} := \left\{ (\mathrm{diag}(z^p, z^{-p}), \mathrm{diag}(z^q, z^{-q})) \in \mathrm{SU}(2)^2 \mid z \in \mathrm{T}^1 \right\},$$

for some $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, with $p \geq q \geq 1$ and $\gcd(\mathrm{p},\mathrm{q}) = 1$. We then let $\mathrm{M}_{p,q} := \mathrm{G}/\mathrm{K}_{p,q}$ and we recall that all these homogeneous spaces are diffeomorphic to $S^3 \times S^2$, see [28, Prop. 2.3], although no explicit diffeomorphism is known (except when $p = q = 1$).

We have the following.

Theorem 3.3. *The 5-dimensional compact homogeneous space* $\mathrm{M}_{p,q}$ *admits a* G*-invariant BRF pair* (g_o, H_o) *such that the associated Bismut connection is non-flat. More precisely*

- *when* $p \neq q$*, the space of* G*-invariant BRF pairs* $\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{M}_{p,q})^{\mathrm{G}}$ *is given by* $\mathbb{R}^+(g_o, H_o)$*;*
- *when* $p = q = 1$*, the pair* (g_o, H_o) *admits a suitable neighborhood* $\mathcal{U}$ *in* $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{M}_{1,1}) \times \Omega^3(\mathrm{M}_{1,1})$ *such that* $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathrm{M}_{1,1})^{\mathrm{G}}$ *coincides with* $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathbb{R}^+(g_o, H_o)$*.*

Proof. We begin observing that $\mathrm{M}_{p,q}$ does not admit any Bismut flat connection. Indeed, by [15, Thm. 3.54], a simply connected compact Riemannian manifold admitting a Bismut flat connection is isometric to a product of compact simple Lie groups with bi-invariant metrics. We obtain our claim by observing that there are no 5-dimensional compact semisimple Lie groups.

In the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ we select the elements

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{i}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

so that $[H,E] = V$, $[H,V] = -E$, $[H,V] = -E$ and $[E,V] = \frac{1}{2}H$. If B denotes the Cartan-Killing form of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, then $B(E,E) = B(V,V) = -1$, $B(H,H) = -2$. Then, we can choose the following basis of $\mathfrak{g}$

$$e_1 = (qH, -pH), \quad e_2 = (E,0), \quad e_3 = (V,0), \quad e_4 = (0,E), \quad e_5 = (0,V), \quad e_6 = (pH,qH),$$

so that $\mathfrak{k}_{p,q} = \mathbb{R}e_6$, while $\mathfrak{m} := \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(e_1,\ldots,e_5)$ is an $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k}_{p,q})$-invariant subspace and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k}_{p,q} + \mathfrak{m}$ is an $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k}_{p,q})$-invariant B-orthogonal decomposition. An $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k}_{p,q})$-irreducible decomposition of $\mathfrak{m}$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2,$$

where $\mathfrak{m}_0 = \mathbb{R}e_1$, $\mathfrak{m}_1 = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(e_2,e_3)$ and $\mathfrak{m}_2 = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(e_4,e_5)$. Moreover, we have

$$\mathrm{ad}(e_6)e_1 = 0, \quad \mathrm{ad}(e_6)e_2 = p\,e_3, \quad \mathrm{ad}(e_6)e_3 = -p\,e_2, \quad \mathrm{ad}(e_6)e_4 = q\,e_5, \quad \mathrm{ad}(e_6)e_5 = -q\,e_4,$$

thus the module $\mathfrak{m}_0$ is trivial, while the modules $\mathfrak{m}_1$ and $\mathfrak{m}_2$ are non-trivial and inequivalent if $p \neq q$, and non-trivial and equivalent if $p = q$. We will discuss the cases $p \neq q$ and $p = q$ separately.

In the following, $\mathcal{B}^* = (e^1, e^2, e^3, e^4, e^5)$ denotes the dual basis of $\mathcal{B}$, and the shortening $e^{ijk\cdots}$ is used to denote the wedge product of covectors $e^i \wedge e^j \wedge e^k \wedge \cdots$. Moreover, we fix the orientation on $\mathfrak{m}$ for which $\mathcal{B}$ is positively oriented.

Case $p \neq q$. We have

$$(\Lambda^3\mathfrak{m}^*)^{\mathfrak{k}_{p,q}} = \mathfrak{m}_0^* \otimes \Lambda^2\mathfrak{m}_1^* \oplus \mathfrak{m}_0^* \otimes \Lambda^2\mathfrak{m}_2^*,$$

and a generic invariant 3-form is given by

$$H = h_1 e^{123} + h_2 e^{145},$$

for some $h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Using the Koszul formula for the differential of invariant forms on $\mathfrak{m}$

$$dH(X_0,X_1,X_2,X_3) = \sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j}\, H\left([X_i,X_j]_{\mathfrak{m}}, X_k, X_l\right), \quad X_0,\ldots,X_3 \in \mathfrak{m}, \tag{3.1}$$

we see that H is closed if and only if $(h_1,h_2) = (\lambda q, \lambda p)$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Now, the generic invariant metric on $\mathfrak{m}$ has the following expression

$$g = \mu^2 e^1 \otimes e^1 + a^2\left(e^2 \otimes e^2 + e^3 \otimes e^3\right) + b^2\left(e^4 \otimes e^4 + e^5 \otimes e^5\right),$$

for some positive real numbers μ, a, b. We can then easily compute the Hodge dual of H obtaining

$$*_g H = \frac{a^2}{\mu\, b^2}\, h_2\, e^{23} + \frac{b^2}{\mu\, a^2}\, h_1\, e^{45},$$

and we see that it is always closed. Thus, up to a constant multiple, the generic invariant harmonic 3-form on $\mathfrak{m}$ is given by

$$H = q\, e^{123} + p\, e^{145}.$$

Now, we compute the symmetric 2-tensor H^2 and we see that its non-zero components are the following

$$\begin{aligned} H^2(e_1,e_1) &= 2\,\frac{a^4p^2+b^4q^2}{a^4b^4}, \\ H^2(e_2,e_2) &= 2\,\frac{q^2}{a^2\mu^2} = H^2(e_3,e_3), \\ H^2(e_4,e_4) &= 2\,\frac{p^2}{b^2\mu^2} = H^2(e_5,e_5). \end{aligned}$$

As for the Ricci tensor Ric_g, we choose a g-orthonormal basis (E_1,E_2,E_3,E_4,E_5) of $\mathfrak{m}$, and we compute its components with respect to the basis $\mathcal{B}$ using the formula [6, 7.38]. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is unimodular, this formula reads

$$\mathrm{Ric}_g(X,X) = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{5} ||[X,E_i]_{\mathfrak{m}}||^2 - \frac{1}{2}B(X,X) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq 5} g([E_i,E_j]_{\mathfrak{m}},X)^2, \tag{3.2}$$

for every $X\in\mathfrak{m}$. We obtain that the only non-zero components of Ric_g are the following

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ric}_g(e_1,e_1) &= \mu^4\frac{a^4p^2+b^4q^2}{8a^4b^4\left(p^2+q^2\right)^2}, \\ \mathrm{Ric}_g(e_2,e_2) &= \frac{4a^2\left(p^2+q^2\right)^2-\mu^2q^2}{8a^2\left(p^2+q^2\right)^2} = \mathrm{Ric}_g(e_3,e_3), \\ \mathrm{Ric}_g(e_4,e_4) &= \frac{4b^2\left(p^2+q^2\right)^2-\mu^2p^2}{8b^2\left(p^2+q^2\right)^2} = \mathrm{Ric}_g(e_5,e_5). \end{aligned}$$

Now, it is easy to see that $\mathrm{Ric}_g = \frac{1}{4}H^2$ has a unique solution under the constraints $\mu > 0, a>0, b>0$ and $p^2+q^2\neq 0$, namely

$$\mu = \sqrt{2\left(p^2+q^2\right)}, \quad a = \sqrt{\frac{q^2}{p^2+q^2}}, \quad b = \sqrt{\frac{p^2}{p^2+q^2}}.$$

Thus, when $p\neq q$, the homogeneous space $\mathrm{M}_{p,q} = \mathrm{G}/\mathrm{K}_{p,q}$ admits an invariant metric g_o and an invariant harmonic form H_o giving rise to a Bismut Ricci flat connection. Moreover, the pair (g_o,H_o) is unique up to scaling.

Case $p=q$. We have $p=q=1$ and the modules $\mathfrak{m}_1$ and $\mathfrak{m}_2$ are equivalent. The space of $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k}_{1,1})$-invariant 3-forms is given by

$$\left(\Lambda^3\mathfrak{m}^*\right)^{\mathfrak{k}_{1,1}} = \mathfrak{m}_0^*\otimes\Lambda^2\mathfrak{m}_1^*\oplus\mathfrak{m}_0^*\otimes\Lambda^2\mathfrak{m}_2^*\oplus\left(\mathfrak{m}_0^*\otimes\mathfrak{m}_1^*\otimes\mathfrak{m}_2^*\right)^{\mathfrak{k}_{1,1}},$$

with $\dim\left(\mathfrak{m}_0^*\otimes\mathfrak{m}_1^*\otimes\mathfrak{m}_2^*\right)^{\mathfrak{k}_{1,1}} = 2$. The generic invariant 3-form $H\in\left(\Lambda^3\mathfrak{m}^*\right)^{\mathfrak{k}_{1,1}}$ has the following expression

$$H = h_1\,e^{123} + h_2\,e^{145} + h_3\left(e^{125}-e^{134}\right) + h_4\left(e^{124}+e^{135}\right), \tag{3.3}$$

where $h_i\in\mathbb{R}$, for $i=1,2,3,4$. Using the Koszul formula (3.1), we see that H is closed if and only if $h_2=h_1$.

The generic invariant metric on $\mathfrak{m}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} g = {} & \mu^2 e^1 \odot e^1 + a^2 \left(e^2 \odot e^2 + e^3 \odot e^3\right) + b^2 \left(e^4 \odot e^4 + e^5 \odot e^5\right) \\ & + 2c \left(e^2 \odot e^4 + e^3 \odot e^5\right) + 2s \left(e^2 \odot e^5 - e^3 \odot e^4\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where μ, a, b, c, s are real constants such that

$$\mu > 0, \quad a > 0, \quad b > 0, \quad a^2b^2 - c^2 + s^2 > 0,$$

and $e^i \odot e^j := \frac{1}{2}\left(e^i \otimes e^j + e^j \otimes e^i\right)$. We remark here that it is always possible to assume $s = 0$. Indeed, the one-dimensional torus $\mathrm{U} := \exp(\mathbb{R}e_1)$ centralizes $\mathrm{K}_{1,1}$ and therefore for every $u \in \mathrm{U}$ we can consider the G-equivariant diffeomorphism $\tau_u \in \mathrm{Diff}(\mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{G}}$ given by $\tau_u(x\mathrm{K}_{1,1}) = xu\mathrm{K}_{1,1}$, for $x \in \mathrm{G}$. Then, for every $g \in S^2(\mathfrak{m})^{\mathfrak{k}_{1,1}}$, which is given by the data (μ, a, b, c, s) as in (3.4), the G-invariant symmetric tensor $\tau_u^* g$ corresponds to the data $(\mu, a, b, c' = c\,\cos t + s\,\sin t, s' = -c\,\sin t + s\,\cos t)$, for $u = \exp(te_1) \in \mathrm{U}$. Therefore, we immediately see that we have $s' = 0$ for an appropriate choice of $u \in \mathrm{U}$.

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} *_g H = {} & \frac{h_1\left(a^4 + c^2\right) - 2a^2 c h_3}{\mu\left(a^2b^2 - c^2\right)}\, e^{23} + \frac{h_1\left(b^4 + c^2\right) - 2b^2 c h_3}{\mu\left(a^2b^2 - c^2\right)}\, e^{45} \\ & - \frac{h_4}{\mu}\left(e^{24} + e^{35}\right) - \frac{h_3\left(a^2b^2 + c^2\right) - c h_1\left(a^2 + b^2\right)}{\mu\left(a^2b^2 - c^2\right)}\left(e^{25} - e^{34}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and using again the Koszul formula, we obtain

$$d *_g H = 2\,\frac{h_4}{\mu}\left(e^{125} - e^{134}\right) - 2\,\frac{h_3\left(a^2b^2 + c^2\right) - c h_1\left(a^2 + b^2\right)}{\mu\left(a^2b^2 - c^2\right)}\left(e^{124} + e^{135}\right).$$

Thus, H is coclosed if and only if

$$h_3 = \frac{c h_1\left(a^2 + b^2\right)}{a^2b^2 + c^2}, \quad h_4 = 0.$$

The generic invariant harmonic 3-form on $\mathfrak{m}$ is then given by

$$H = h_1\left(e^{123} + e^{145} + c\,\frac{a^2 + b^2}{a^2b^2 + c^2}\left(e^{125} - e^{134}\right)\right). \tag{3.5}$$

Consequently, the (possibly) non-zero components of the invariant symmetric 2-tensor H^2 are the following

$$\begin{aligned} H^2(e_1, e_1) &= 2h_1^2\,\frac{a^4 + b^4 - 2c^2}{\left(a^2b^2 - c^2\right)\left(a^2b^2 + c^2\right)}, \\ H^2(e_2, e_2) &= 2h_1^2\,\frac{a^2c^2\left(a^4 + b^4\right) - c^4\left(2a^2 + b^2\right) + a^4b^6}{\mu^2\left(a^2b^2 - c^2\right)\left(a^2b^2 + c^2\right)^2} = H^2(e_3, e_3), \\ H^2(e_4, e_4) &= 2h_1^2\,\frac{b^2c^2\left(a^4 + b^4\right) - c^4\left(a^2 + 2b^2\right) + a^6b^4}{\mu^2\left(a^2b^2 - c^2\right)\left(a^2b^2 + c^2\right)^2} = H^2(e_5, e_5), \\ H^2(e_2, e_4) &= 2ch_1^2\,\frac{a^2b^2\left(a^4 + a^2b^2 + b^4 - 2c^2\right) - c^4}{\mu^2\left(a^2b^2 - c^2\right)\left(a^2b^2 + c^2\right)^2} = H^2(e_3, e_5). \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the following g-orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{m}$

$$E_1 = \frac{1}{\mu}\, e_1, \quad E_i = \frac{1}{a}\, e_i, \ i = 2, 3,$$
$$E_j = -\frac{c}{a\sqrt{a^2b^2 - c^2}}\, e_{j-2} + \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2b^2 - c^2}}\, e_j, \ j = 4, 5.$$

Then, using formula (3.2) and its polarization, we obtain the following expressions for the (possibly) non-zero components of the Ricci tensor

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ric}_g(e_1, e_1) &= \frac{2c^2\left(64c^2 - 64a^2b^2 - \mu^4\right) + \mu^4(a^4 + b^4)}{32\left(a^2b^2 - c^2\right)^2},\\
\mathrm{Ric}_g(e_2, e_2) &= \frac{64a^2c^2 + \mu^2\left(16a^2b^2 - b^2\mu^2 - 16c^2\right)}{32\mu^2\left(a^2b^2 - c^2\right)} = \mathrm{Ric}_g(e_3, e_3),\\
\mathrm{Ric}_g(e_4, e_4) &= \frac{64b^2c^2 + \mu^2\left(16a^2b^2 - a^2\mu^2 - 16c^2\right)}{32\mu^2\left(a^2b^2 - c^2\right)} = \mathrm{Ric}_g(e_5, e_5),\\
\mathrm{Ric}_g(e_2, e_4) &= c\,\frac{64a^2b^2 - \mu^4}{32\mu^2\left(a^2b^2 - c^2\right)} = \mathrm{Ric}_g(e_3, e_5).
\end{aligned}$$

We now look for invariant metrics g and harmonic 3-forms H for which $\mathrm{Ric}_g = \frac{1}{4}H^2$.

A computation using the above expressions of the components of Ric_g and H^2 shows that we need to find points in the open subset

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{(\mu, a, b, c, h_1) \in \mathbb{R}^5 \mid \mu > 0, a > 0, b > 0, a^2b^2 - c^2 > 0, h_1 \neq 0\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^5,$$

where all of the following polynomials vanish

$$\begin{aligned}
p_1 &= \left(a^4 + b^4 - 2c^2\right)\left[\mu^4\left(a^2b^2 + c^2\right) - 16\,h_1^2\left(a^2b^2 - c^2\right)\right] - 128\,c^2\left(a^4b^4 - c^4\right),\\
p_2 &= \left(a^2b^2 + c^2\right)^2\left[16\,\mu^2\left(a^2b^2 - c^2\right) + 64a^2c^2 - b^2\mu^4\right] - 16h_1^2\left[a^4b^6 + c^2\left(a^6 + a^2b^4 - 2a^2c^2 - b^2c^2\right)\right],\\
p_3 &= \left(a^2b^2 + c^2\right)^2\left[16\,\mu^2\left(a^2b^2 - c^2\right) + 64b^2c^2 - a^2\mu^4\right] - 16h_1^2\left[a^6b^4 + c^2\left(b^6 + a^4b^2 - 2b^2c^2 - a^2c^2\right)\right],\\
p_4 &= c\left[\left(a^2b^2 + c^2\right)^2\left(64a^2b^2 - \mu^4\right) - 16h_1^2\left(a^2b^2\left(a^2 + b^2\right)^2 - \left(a^2b^2 + c^2\right)^2\right)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the polynomial p_4 vanishes if $c = 0$. When this happens, the remaining polynomials simplify considerably, and they vanish simultaneously if and only if

$$\mu = 2\sqrt{2}\,t, \quad a = b = t, \quad h_1 = \pm 2t^2,$$

for some $t > 0$. Therefore, when $c = 0$, we obtain an invariant BRF pair $(g_o, H_o) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathrm{M}_{1,1})^{\mathrm{G}}$ that is unique up to scaling and up to a sign in the definition of H_o, namely

$$g_o = 8\,e^1 \odot e^1 + \left(e^2 \odot e^2 + e^3 \odot e^3\right) + \left(e^4 \odot e^4 + e^5 \odot e^5\right), \quad H_o = 2e^{123} + 2e^{145}.$$

To prove the last assertion, we first consider the set

$$\mathcal{P} := \left\{(g, H) \in S^2(\mathfrak{m})^{\mathfrak{k}_{1,1}} \times \Lambda^3(\mathfrak{m})^{\mathfrak{k}_{1,1}} \mid g > 0,\ dH = 0,\ \delta_g H = 0\right\},$$

and we recall that every invariant metric is uniquely determined by the string (μ, a, b, c, s) as in (3.4). We then consider the subset

$$\mathcal{P}' := \left\{(g, H) \in \mathcal{P} \mid\ g(e_2, e_5) = 0\right\}.$$

Every point $(g,H)\in\mathcal{P}'$ is uniquely determined by a string $(\mu,a,b,c,h_1)\in\mathcal{A}\subset\mathbb{R}^5$, where (μ,a,b,c) determines g as in (3.4) and h_1 determines H as in (3.5). The set $\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{M}_{1,1})^{\mathrm{G}}\cap\mathcal{P}'$ can be identified with the zero set in $\mathcal{A}$ of the map

$$F:\mathcal{A}\to\mathbb{R}^4,\quad F(\mu,a,b,c,h_1)=(p_1,p_2,p_3,p_4).$$

Notice that $F(x_o)=0$, where $x_o=(2\sqrt{2},1,1,0,2)\in\mathcal{A}$ corresponds to the BRF pair (g_o,H_o), and that $F(\gamma(t))=0\in\mathbb{R}^4$ for every $t\in\mathbb{R}^+$, where $\gamma(t)=(2\sqrt{2}t,t,t,0,2t^2)$ is the curve through x_o corresponding to (t^2g_o,t^2H_o). If we now compute the differential of F at x_o, we obtain

$$F_{*x_o}=\begin{pmatrix}128\sqrt{2}&0&0&0&-128\\0&256&0&0&-64\\0&0&256&0&-64\\0&0&0&-192&0\end{pmatrix},$$

and since $\operatorname{rank}(F_{*x_o})=4$, we see that there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}'$ of (g_o,H_o) in $\mathcal{P}'$ so that $\mathcal{U}'\cap\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{M}_{1,1})^{\mathrm{G}}$ coincides with the set $\left\{(t^2g_o,t^2H_o)\mid t\in(1-\varepsilon,1+\varepsilon')\right\}$, for suitable $\varepsilon,\varepsilon'>0$.

We now use the G-equivariant diffeomorphisms τ_u, $u\in\mathrm{U}$, to transform every element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{M}_{1,1})^{\mathrm{G}}\cap\mathcal{P}$ into an element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{M}_{1,1})^{\mathrm{G}}\cap\mathcal{P}'$ via τ_u^*. Note that for every $u\in\mathrm{U}$ we have $(\tau_u^*g_o,\tau_u^*H_o)=(g_o,H_o)$, since $c=s=0$. Moreover, we can easily see that there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of (g_o,H_o) in $\mathcal{P}$ so that $\tau_u^*(\mathcal{U})\subseteq\mathcal{U}'$. Therefore, if $(g,H)\in\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{M}_{1,1})^{\mathrm{G}}\cap\mathcal{U}$, then we can find $u\in\mathrm{U}$ so that $\tau_u^*(g,H)\in\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{M}_{1,1})^{\mathrm{G}}\cap\mathcal{U}'$. Hence, $\tau_u^*(g,H)=(t^2g_o,t^2H_o)$, for some $t>0$, and we have $(g,H)=\tau_{u^{-1}}^*(t^2g_o,t^2H_o)=(t^2g_o,t^2H_o)$. $\square$

Remark 3.4. The Bismut Ricci flat, non-flat manifolds constructed in the previous theorem provide counterexamples to a generalized Alekseevsky-Kimelfeld theorem [4] for the Bismut connection. This question was raised in [15], cf. Question 3.58. We also remark here that the Riemannian manifolds $(\mathrm{M}_{p,q},g_o)$ are not Einstein.

Remark 3.5. In the proof, we have noticed that the BRF pairs (g,H) are unique (up to multiples) when $p\neq q$. When $p=q=1$, we have given the full description of all possible invariant metrics and relative harmonic 3-forms. Unfortunately, the complexity of the computations prevented us from finding other solutions.

Remark 3.6. We remark that the 3-form H we have constructed in the Riemannian spaces $(\mathrm{M}_{p,q},g)$ is harmonic but never parallel with respect to the Bismut connection. Indeed, if it were, then we would have $dH=2\sigma_H=0$, where σ_H is the *fundamental* 4*-form* $\sigma_H=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^5\imath_{v_i}H\wedge\imath_{v_i}H$ and $\{v_i\}$ is a local orthonormal frame (see e.g. [12]). By [2, Thm. 4.1], we would then have that $\mathrm{M}_{p,q}$ is a compact simple Lie group, a contradiction.

3.1. **The Kobayashi's construction.** The manifolds $\mathrm{M}_{p,q}$ naturally appear as principal S^1-bundles over $\mathrm{SU}(2)^2/\mathrm{T}^2\cong S^2\times S^2$. In this section, we briefly review a useful construction, due to Kobayashi [17], which allows to obtain new geometric structures on principal S^1-bundles over some base manifold B. This might lead to a generalization of our examples.

Given a compact manifold B, it is well known that there is a one-to-one correspondence between principal S^1-bundles $\pi:P\to B$ and elements in $H^2(B,\mathbb{Z})$. Given a closed 2-form α with $[\alpha]\in H^2(B,\mathbb{Z})$, there exists a principal S^1-bundle $\pi:P\to B$ and a connection form

γ so that $d\gamma = \pi^*\alpha$. If B is equipped with a Riemannian metric g_o, we can construct a Riemannian metric g on P by setting $g := c^2\gamma \odot \gamma + \pi^* g_o$, for some $c > 0$. Following [17], we compute the Ricci curvature as follows: if X, Y are horizontal tangent vectors and v is a unit vertical tangent vector, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ric}_g(X,Y) &= \mathrm{Ric}_{g_o}(\pi_*X, \pi_*Y) - 2\hat{\alpha}(\pi_*X, \pi_*Y),\\
\mathrm{Ric}_g(X,v) &= c\,\delta_{g_o}\alpha(\pi_*X),\\
\mathrm{Ric}_g(v,v) &= c^2\,||\alpha||^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where for every 2-form ω we define $\hat{\omega} \in S^2(T^*B)$ as $\hat{\omega}(Z,W) = g_o(\imath_Z\omega, \imath_W\omega)$, for every tangent vector fields $Z, W \in \Gamma(TB)$, and we let $||\omega||^2 = g_o(\hat{\omega}, \hat{\omega})$.

We now prove a result that might be useful to construct new examples of BRF pairs on suitable manifolds. In particular, this result allows to reduce the problem of finding harmonic 3-forms on a certain manifold to a problem on the existence of suitable harmonic 2-forms on a lower dimensional manifold.

Proposition 3.7. *Given a compact Riemannian manifold* (B, g_o) *and a non-zero harmonic* 2*-form* α *with* $[\alpha] \in H^2(B, \mathbb{Z})$*, the associated principal* S^1*-bundle* P *over* B *admits a BRF pair* (g, H) *if there exist a harmonic form* $\beta \in \Omega^2(B)$ *and positive numbers* $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^+$ *so that the following conditions are fulfilled:*

a) $\alpha \wedge \beta = 0$;
b) $||\beta||^2 = \lambda ||\alpha||^2$ *at every point of* B;
c) the Ricci tensor Ric_{g_o} *satisfies the equation*

$$\mathrm{Ric}_{g_o} = 2\hat{\alpha} + \mu\hat{\beta}.$$

Proof. We consider the metric $g = c^2\gamma \odot \gamma + \pi^* g_o$, for some $c > 0$, as above. We then define a 3-form $H \in \Omega^3(P)$ as

$$H := h\,\gamma \wedge \pi^*\beta,$$

for some $h \in \mathbb{R}$. Searching for conditions implying that (g, H) is a BRF pair, we see that H is closed if and only if $\alpha \wedge \beta = 0$ and β is closed, while it is coclosed if and only if $d(\pi^*(*_{g_o}\beta)) = 0$, i.e., β is coclosed. Therefore, H is g-harmonic if and only if β is g_o-harmonic and condition a) holds. Looking now at the expression of the Ricci tensor of g, we see that α being harmonic implies that $\mathrm{Ric}_g(V, Z)$ vanishes whenever V is vertical and Z is horizontal. As we easily check, $H^2(Z, V) = 0$ as well. Thus, we only need to verify the following

$$\mathrm{Ric}_{g_o}(X,Y) = 2\hat{\alpha}(X,Y) + \frac{1}{4}H^2(X,Y), \tag{3.6}$$

$$c^2||\alpha||^2 = \frac{1}{4}||\imath_v H||^2, \tag{3.7}$$

where X, Y are vector fields on B and v is a unit vertical field on P. Now, using b), we have

$$||\imath_v H||^2 = h^2(\gamma(v))^2||\beta||^2 = \frac{h^2}{c^2}||\beta||^2 = \lambda\frac{h^2}{c^2}||\alpha||^2,$$

hence equation (3.7) reads

$$c^4 = \frac{1}{4}\lambda h^2.$$

As for equation (3.6), we see that $H^2(X,Y) = \frac{h^2}{c^2}\hat{\beta}(X,Y)$ so that (3.6) reads

$$\mathrm{Ric}_{g_o} = 2\hat{\alpha} + \frac{h^2}{4c^2}\hat{\beta}.$$

Comparing this last identity with c), we see that the constants c and h must satisfy

$$\begin{cases} 4c^4 = \lambda h^2, \\ 4c^2 = \frac{h^2}{\mu}. \end{cases}$$

Choosing $c^2 = \lambda\mu$ and $h^2 = 4\lambda\mu^2$, we have that (g,H) defines a BRF pair on P. □

Remark 3.8. Our examples on the manifolds $\mathrm{M}_{p,q}$ can be seen as special cases of the above construction.

4. THE ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF THE SOLUTION TO THE GRF ON $\mathrm{M}_{p,q}$

In this last section, we consider the homogeneous space $\mathrm{M}_{p,q} = \mathrm{G}/\mathrm{K}_{p,q}$, $p \neq q$, with the BRF pair (g_o, H_o) determined in the proof of Theorem 3.3, and we study the behavior of the generalized Ricci flow (1.2) starting at an invariant pair (g,H) with $dH = 0$.

We look for invariant solutions (g_t, H_t) to the flow. It follows from the discussion in the proof of Theorem 3.3 that we have

$$g_t = \mu_t^2 e^1 \otimes e^1 + a_t^2\left(e^2 \otimes e^2 + e^3 \otimes e^3\right) + b_t^2\left(e^4 \otimes e^4 + e^5 \otimes e^5\right),$$

and

$$H_t = \lambda_t H_o = \lambda_t\left(q\, e^{123} + p\, e^{145}\right),$$

where $\mu_t, a_t, b_t, \lambda_t$ are (positive) real valued functions of t.

The BRF pair (g_o, H_o) is a fixed point of the generalized Ricci flow, thus the solution to the flow starting from it corresponds to the constant functions

$$\mu_o \equiv \sqrt{2\left(p^2+q^2\right)}, \quad a_o \equiv \sqrt{\frac{q^2}{p^2+q^2}}, \quad b_o \equiv \sqrt{\frac{p^2}{p^2+q^2}}, \quad \lambda_o \equiv 1.$$

Now, since H_t is g_t-harmonic, from the second equation in (1.2) we obtain

$$0 = -\Delta_{g_t} H_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H_t = \frac{d}{dt}\lambda_t H_o,$$

whence it follows that $\lambda_t = \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is a positive constant and thus $H_t = \lambda H_o$. We now consider the first equation in (1.2)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_t = -2\,\mathrm{Ric}_{g_t} + \frac{1}{2}H_t^2 = -2\,\mathrm{Ric}_{g_t} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 H_o^2.$$

Using again our previous computations, we see that this equation is equivalent to an autonomous system of ODEs for the functions μ_t, a_t, b_t. If we let $M_t := \mu_t^2$, $A_t := a_t^2$, $B_t := b_t^2$, then the system is the following:

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{d}{dt}M_t = \left(\dfrac{p^2}{4\,(p^2+q^2)^2}\dfrac{1}{B_t^2} + \dfrac{q^2}{4\,(p^2+q^2)^2}\dfrac{1}{A_t^2}\right)\left(4\,\lambda^2\,(p^2+q^2)^2 - M_t^2\right), \\ \dfrac{d}{dt}A_t = \dfrac{q^2}{A_t}\left(\dfrac{\lambda^2}{M_t} + \dfrac{1}{4\,(p^2+q^2)^2}M_t\right) - 1, \\ \dfrac{d}{dt}B_t = \dfrac{p^2}{B_t}\left(\dfrac{\lambda^2}{M_t} + \dfrac{1}{4\,(p^2+q^2)^2}M_t\right) - 1. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

The fixed point of this system is given by $x_{o,\lambda} := \left(\lambda\mu_o^2, \lambda a_o^2, \lambda b_o^2\right)$ and it corresponds to the invariant metric λg_o. We can write the system (4.1) as follows

$$\frac{d}{dt}(M_t, A_t, A_t) = f(M_t, A_t, B_t),$$

and computing the Jacobian of f at the fixed point $x_{o,\lambda}$, we obtain

$$\mathrm{J}(f)_{x_{o,\lambda}} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{(p^2+q^2)^2}{\lambda p^2 q^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{p^2+q^2}{\lambda q^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{p^2+q^2}{\lambda p^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\mathrm{J}(f)_{x_{o,\lambda}}$ has negative real eigenvalues, we see that the fixed point $x_{o,\lambda}$ of (4.1) is asymptotically stable, namely every solution $x_t = (M_t, A_t, B_t)$ to (4.1) starting sufficiently close to $x_{o,\lambda}$ exists for all positive time, it stays close to $x_{o,\lambda}$, and their distance tends to zero as $t \to +\infty$. In other words, the BRF pair $(\lambda g_o, \lambda H_o)$ on $\mathrm{M}_{p,q}$ is asymptotically stable along the generalized Ricci flow.

A direct qualitative analysis of the system (4.1) shows that the solution (M_t, A_t, B_t) starting at any given triplet of positive numbers (M_o, A_o, B_o) at $t = t_o$ exists for all $t \geq t_o$ and it converges to the fixed point $x_{o,\lambda}$ as $t \to +\infty$.

Remark 4.1. The analogous study of the behavior of the generalized Ricci flow on $\mathrm{M}_{1,1}$ is much more involved, due to the presence of off-diagonal terms in the invariant symmetric tensors.

Acknowledgements. The authors were supported by GNSAGA of INdAM and by the project PRIN 2017 "Real and Complex Manifolds: Topology, Geometry and Holomorphic Dynamics". The authors warmly thank Jeffrey Streets for useful comments on a preliminary version of this work.

References

[1] I. Agricola. The Srní lectures on non-integrable geometries with torsion. *Arch. Math. (Brno)* **42**, 5–84, 2006.

[2] I. Agricola, A. C. Ferreira, T. Friedrich. The classification of naturally reductive homogeneous spaces in dimensions ≤ 6. *Diff. Geom. and its Appl.* **39**, 59–92, 2015.

[3] H. Azad. On the third Betti number of some compact homogeneous manifolds. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* **25**, 1–2, 1990.

[4] D. V. Alekseevsky, B. N. Kimelfeld. Structure of homogeneous Riemannian spaces with zero Ricci curvature. *Funktional. Anal. i Priložen* **9**, 5–11, 1975.

[5] L. Bérard-Bergery. Les espaces homogènes riemanniens de dimension 4. *Riemannian geometry in dimension 4, Textes Math.* **3**, CEDIC, Paris, 40–60, 1981.

[6] A. L. Besse. *Einstein manifolds*, vol. 10 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.

[7] J.-M. Bismut. A local index theorem for non-Kähler manifolds,. *Math. Ann.* **284**, 681–699, 1989.

[8] C. G. Callan, D. Friedan, E. J. Martinec, M. J. Perry. Strings in background fields. *Nuclear Phys. B* **262** (4), 593–609, 1985.

[9] C. Chevalley, S. Eilenberg. Cohomology theory of Lie groups and Lie algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **63**, 85–124, 1948.

[10] R. Cleyton, A. Moroianu, U. Semmelmann Metric connections with parallel skew-symmetric torsion. Adv. Math. **378**, 2021

[11] A. Fino, A. Tomassini. A survey on strong KT structures. *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie (N.S.)* **52**, 99–116, 2009.

[12] T. Friedrich, S. Ivanov. Parallel spinors and connections with skew-symmetric torsion in string theory. *Asian J. Math.*, **6**, 303–335, 2002.

[13] M. Garcia-Fernández. Ricci flow, Killing spinors, and T-duality in generalized geometry. *Adv. Math.* **350**, 1059–1108, 2019.

[14] M. Garcia-Fernández, J. Jordan, J. Streets. Non-Kähler Calabi-Yau geometry and pluriclosed flow. arXiv:/2106.13716, 2021.

[15] M. Garcia-Fernández, J. Streets. Generalized Ricci Flow. *AMS University Lecture Series* **76**, 2021.

[16] P. Gauduchon. Hermitian connections and Dirac Operators. *Boll. U.M.I. (7)*, **11**-B, Suppl. Fasc. 2, 257–288, 1997.

[17] S. Kobayashi. Topology of positively pinched Kähler manifolds *Tohoku Math. J.*, **15**, 121–139, 1963.

[18] K.-H. Lee. The Stability of Generalized Ricci Solitons. arXiv:2201.02264, 2022.

[19] T. Oliynyk, V. Suneeta, E. Woolgar. A gradient flow for worldsheet nonlinear sigma models. *Nuclear Phys. B* **739**, 441–458, 2006.

[20] J. Streets. Regularity and expanding entropy for connection Ricci flow. *J. Geom. Phys.* **58**, 900–912, 2008.

[21] J. Streets. Generalized geometry, T-duality, and renormalization group flow. *J. Geom. Phys.* **114**, 506–522, 2017.

[22] J. Streets. Pluriclosed flow and the geometrization of complex surfaces. In: Chen J., Lu P., Lu Z., Zhang Z. (eds) Geometric Analysis. Progress in Mathematics, vol. 333. Birkhäuser, Cham. 2020.

[23] J. Streets. Classification of solitons for pluriclosed flow on complex surfaces. *Math. Ann.* **375**, 1555–1595, 2019.

[24] J. Streets, G. Tian. A parabolic flow of pluriclosed metrics. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **16**, 3101–3133, 2010.

[25] J. Streets, G. Tian. Generalized Kähler geometry and the pluriclosed flow. *Nuclear Phys. B* **858**, 366–376, 2012.

[26] J. Streets, G. Tian. Regularity results for pluriclosed flow. *Geom. Topol.* **17**, 2389–2429, 2013.

[27] J. Streets, Y. Ustinovskiy. Classification of Generalized Kähler–Ricci Solitons on Complex Surfaces. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **74**, 1896–1914, 2021.

[28] M. Y. Wang, W. Ziller. Einstein metrics on principal torus bundles. *J. Differential Geom.* **31**, 215–248, 1990.

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica "U. Dini", Università degli Studi di Firenze, Viale Morgagni 67/a, 50134 Firenze, Italy,

Email address: `fabio.podesta@unifi.it`

Dipartimento di Matematica "G. Peano", Università degli Studi di Torino, Via Carlo Alberto 10, 10123 Torino, Italy

Email address: `alberto.raffero@unito.it`