ALGEBRAIC INVARIANTS OF CODES ON WEIGHTED PROJECTIVE PLANES

YAĞMUR ÇAKIROĞLU AND MESUT ŞAHİN

Abstract. Weighted projective spaces are natural generalizations of projective spaces with a rich structure. Projective Reed-Muller codes are error-correcting codes that played an important role in reliably transmitting information on digital communication channels. In this case study, we explore the power of commutative and homological algebraic techniques to study weighted projective Reed-Muller (WPRM) codes on weighted projective spaces of the form $\mathbb{P}(1,1,b)$. We compute minimal free resolutions and thereby obtain Hilbert series for the vanishing ideal of the $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points, and compute main parameters for these codes.

1. Introduction

Let q be a prime power and $\mathbb{F}_q$ denote the finite field with q elements. Denote by $\mathbb{K}$ the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $w_1,\dots,w_r$ be positive integers with a trivial greatest common divisor. The **weighted projective space** associated to the weights $w_1,\dots,w_r$ is the quotient space

$$\mathbb{P}(w_1,\dots,w_r)=(\mathbb{K}^r\setminus\{0\})/\mathbb{K}^*$$

under the equivalence relation

$$(x_1,\dots,x_r)\sim(\lambda^{w_1}x_1,\dots,\lambda^{w_r}x_r) \text{ for } \lambda\in\mathbb{K}^*.$$

So, points in the space $X=\mathbb{P}(w_1,\dots,w_r)$ are equivalence classes $[x_1:\cdots:x_r]$ of points $(x_1,\dots,x_r)\in\mathbb{K}^r\setminus\{0\}$. It is known that elements $[x_1:\cdots:x_r]$ of the $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points $X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ have representatives with coordinates $x_1,\dots,x_r\in\mathbb{F}_q$, see [2, 4].

We work with the polynomial ring $S=\mathbb{F}_q[x_1,\dots,x_r]$, which is graded via $\deg x_i=w_i$ for all $i=1,\dots,r$. Thus, we have the following decomposition

$$S=\bigoplus_{d\in\mathbb{N}W}S_d$$

where S_d is the vector space whose basis consists of the monomials $x_1^{m_1}\cdots x_r^{m_r}$ of degree $d=m_1w_1+\cdots+m_rw_r$ and $\mathbb{N}W:=\langle w_1,\dots,w_r\rangle$ is the subsemigroup of $\mathbb{N}$ generated by the weights.

Take any subset $Y=\{P_1,\dots,P_N\}\subseteq X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points of X and define the evaluation map as follows

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14M25; 14G05; Secondary 94B27; 11T71.

Key words and phrases. algebraic invariants, weighted projective spaces, error-correcting codes, toric codes.

The authors are supported by TÜBİTAK Project No:119F177.

$$ev_Y : \begin{cases} S_d \to \mathbb{F}_q^N \\ f \mapsto (f(P_1), \dots, f(P_N)) \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

The image $C_{d,Y}$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{F}_q^N$ known as the **evaluation code** obtained by evaluating homogeneous polynomials of degree d at the points of Y. There are three main parameters $[N, K, \delta]$ of a linear code. The **length** N is defined by the cardinality $|Y|$ of the subset in our case. The **dimension** of $C_{d,Y}$ (a measure of efficiency), denoted $K = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} C_{d,Y}$ is the dimension as a subspace of $\mathbb{F}_q^N$. The number of non-zero entries in any $c \in C_{d,Y}$ is called its **Hamming weight** and the **minimum distance** δ of $C_{d,Y}$ (a measure of reliability) is the smallest weight among all code words $c \in C_{d,Y} \backslash \{0\}$. There is an algebraic approach for studying these codes relying on the vanishing ideal $I(Y)$ of Y which is the graded ideal generated by homogeneous polynomials in S vanishing at every point of Y. Since the kernel of the evaluation map defined in (1.1) equals the homogeneous piece $I_d(Y)$ of degree d, we have an isomorphism $S_d/I_d(Y) \cong C_{d,Y}$. Thus, the dimension of $C_{d,Y}$ is the weighted Hilbert function defined in Definition 2.2

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} C_{d,Y} = H_Y(d). \tag{1.2}$$

The length N of $C_{d,Y}$ is given to be

$$N = q^{r-1} + q^{r-2} + \cdots + q + 1$$

when $Y = X(\mathbb{F}_q)$, see [2, Section 2.1].

Projective Reed-Muller codes on a finite field which are extensions of the classical generalized Reed-Muller codes are introduced by Lachaud in the paper [11]. These codes are obtained by evaluating homogeneous polynomials at all the $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points of a given projective space. Lachaud [12] has given the parameters of these codes. Reed-Muller codes are error-correcting codes that played an important role in transmitting information on digital communication channels reliably. Projective Reed-Muller codes are well-studied codes (see, [16, 17, 12, 11, 1, 3]), used in real life applications.

Weighted projective spaces are natural generalizations of classical projective spaces, having rich structures and exhibiting interesting algebraic geometric properties. In literature, weighted projective spaces are considered convenient ambient spaces to create interesting classes of linear codes over finite fields and the Weighted Projective Reed-Muller codes $C_{d,Y}$ as defined above has been studied for the first time in [2]. Parameters of the code on the weighted projective plane $\mathbb{P}(1, a, b)$ of degree $d = k \cdot \mathrm{lcm}(a, b) \leq q$ for some positive integer k, were presented in the paper [2]. Although there are codes bearing the same name introduced by Sørensen in [17], they are indeed different, see also [8]. A related code has been studied by Dias and Neves [7] who focus on the case where Y is the set of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points of the projective weighted torus. They proved that the vanishing ideal is a special binomial ideal, which is generalized later by the second author to the vanishing ideal of the $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points of the torus of a more general toric variety, see [18]. Nardi offered to extend the length of a toric code parallel to the extension of Reed-Muller codes into projective ones, by evaluating at the full set of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points in the paper [15]. The parameters of the codes from Hirzebruch surfaces when the evaluation set is the full set of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points were computed by Nardi in a previous paper [14].

In this paper, our main goal is to examine algebraic invariants of the vanishing ideal of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points of the weighted projective plane $X = \mathbb{P}(1, a, b)$ and their relation with the parameters of the code whose degree does not have to satisfy the condition $d = k \cdot \operatorname{lcm}(a, b) \leq q$ as in [2]. Algebraic invariants we focus on are minimal free resolutions, Betti numbers, Hilbert series and regularity. We pay particular attention to the case $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, b)$ to obtain more explicit information. This yields the Hilbert function giving the dimension of the code and regularity set which is crucial to eliminate trivial codes.

The paper is organized as follows. We give definitions of the algebraic invariants in Section 2. We present the main results for algebraic invariants of the vanishing ideals of the set of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points $Y = \mathbb{P}(1, 1, b)(\mathbb{F}_q)$ in Section 3. In particular, we give the regularity set of $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, b)(\mathbb{F}_q)$ as a consequence. We also compute the dimensions of the codes over Y for any degree d. In Section 4 we compute the minimum distance of these codes and share tables of their main paramaters for $b = 2, 5, 7$ and $q = 2, 5$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present some definitions which is needed to state our main results. Let $X = \mathbb{P}(w_1, \ldots, w_r)$ be a weighted projective space and $Y = X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ be the set of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points. The first algebraic invariant of Y which has a key connection with the homogeneous polynomials corresponding to zero code-words is the following ideal.

Definition 2.1. *The vanishing ideal $I(Y)$ is the (homogeneous) ideal of the ring $S = \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ generated by homogeneous polynomials vanishing on Y, so*

$$I(Y) = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{N}W} I_d(Y),$$

where $I_d(Y)$ is the homogeneous piece of degree d and $\mathbb{N}W$ is the subsemigroup of $\mathbb{N}$ generated by the weights.

The second algebraic invariant of Y related to the parameters of the code is given next.

Definition 2.2. *Let S_d be the vector space spanned by monomials $x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_r^{m_r}$ of degree $d = m_1 w_1 + \cdots + m_r w_r$ and $I_d(Y)$ be the vector space of homogeneous polynomials of degree d vanishing on Y. The (weighted) Hilbert function of Y is defined as follows*

$$H_Y(d) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} S_d - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} I_d(Y).$$

Also, the (weighted) Hilbert series of Y is defined by

$$HS_Y(d) = \sum_{d \in \mathbb{N}W} H_Y(d) t^d.$$

The last algebraic invariant of Y used to eliminate trivial codes is the following set.

Definition 2.3. *The regularity set of Y is defined as follows*

$$\operatorname{reg}(Y) = \{d \in \mathbb{N}W : H_Y(d) = |Y|\}.$$

We use the following important criterion to prove exactness of the minimal free resolution of the vanishing ideal.

Lemma 2.4. [6, Corollary 2] *Let*

$$0 \to F_n \xrightarrow{\phi_n} F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\phi_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\phi_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} F_0$$

be a complex of free modules over a Noetherian ring S. Let $\operatorname{rank}(\phi_i)$ *be the size of the largest nonzero minor in the matrix describing ϕ_i, and let $I(\phi_i)$ be the ideal generated by the minors of maximal rank. Then the complex is exact if and only if for all $1 \le i \le n$*

(1) $\operatorname{rank}(\phi_{i+1}) + \operatorname{rank}(\phi_i) = \operatorname{rank}(F_i)$
(2) $I(\phi_i)$ contains an S-sequence of length i.

When the cokernel M of ϕ_1 in the exact complex

$$0 \to F_n \xrightarrow{\phi_n} F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\phi_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\phi_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} F_0$$

is a graded module, the free modules are of the form

$$F_i = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\operatorname{rank} F_i} S(-d_{ij}).$$

The number of indices j for which $d_{ij} = d \in \mathbb{N}W$ is called the graded i-Betti number of M and is denoted by $\beta_{i,d}(M)$. All but finitely many elements $d \in \mathbb{N}W$ correspond to the trivial graded Betti number $\beta_{i,d}(M) = 0$.

We use the following result to give the Hilbert series of Y as a rational function using the minimal free resolution of $I(Y)$.

Theorem 2.5. [13, Theorem 8.20, Proposition 8.23] *The Hilbert series of a finitely generated graded module M over a polynomial ring $S = \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ positively graded by $\mathbb{N}W$ is a rational function given by,*

$$HS_M(t) = \frac{\mathcal{K}_M(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^{r}(1 - t^{w_i})},$$

where the polynomial $\mathcal{K}_M(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{N}W} (-1)^i \beta_{i,d}(M) t^d$.

3. Algebraic Invariants relevant to Weighted Projective Reed-Muller codes

In this section, we compute algebraic invariants of the vanishing ideal. More precisely, we obtain the minimal free resolution as well as the Hilbert series of this ideal and use them to get the values of the Hilbert function. As a consequence, the regularity set of $Y = \mathbb{P}(1,1,b)(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is given which plays a crucial role in eliminating trivial (full) codes. We conclude the section by presenting the dimensions of the codes.

Theorem 3.1. [19, Corollary 5.8] *Let a, b be positive integers with* $\gcd(a,b) = 1$*, and $X = \mathbb{P}(1,a,b)$ be weighted projective plane over a finite field. Then, the vanishing ideal $I(X(\mathbb{F}_q))$ of $X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is generated minimally by*

$f_1 = x_2^{(q-1)b+1}x_3 - x_2x_3^{(q-1)a+1}$, $f_2 = x_1^{(q-1)b+1}x_3 - x_1x_3^q$ *and* $f_3 = x_1^{(q-1)a+1}x_2 - x_1x_2^q$.

Corollary 3.2. *Let a be a positive integer and $X = \mathbb{P}(1,1,b)$ be weighted projective space over a finite field. Then, the ideal of the set $X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is $I(X(\mathbb{F}_q)) = \langle f_1, f_2, f_3\rangle$, where*

$$f_1 = x_2^{(q-1)b+1}x_3 - x_2x_3^q, f_2 = x_1^{(q-1)b+1}x_3 - x_1x_3^q \text{ and } f_3 = x_1^qx_2 - x_1x_2^q.$$

Theorem 3.3. *Denote by I the ideal $I(X(\mathbb{F}_q))$ given by Theorem 3.1 for the weighted projective plane $X = \mathbb{P}(1,a,b)$. Then, the following complex is a graded minimal free resolution of S/I*

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2} S(-\sigma_j) \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ A_1 & f_3/x_1 \\ A_2 & -f_2/x_1 \end{bmatrix}} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{3} S(-\lambda_j) \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & f_3 \end{bmatrix}} S \to S/I \to 0$$

where $\lambda_1 = (q-1)ab + a + b, \quad \lambda_2 = qb + 1, \quad \lambda_3 = qa + 1,$

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= -\sum_{i=1}^{a} x_1^{(i-1)(q-1)b} x_2 x_3^{(q-1)a-iq+i}, & A_2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{b} x_1^{(i-1)(q-1)a} x_2^{(q-1)b-iq+i} x_3, \\ \sigma_1 &= (q-1)ab + a + b + 1, & \sigma_2 &= qb + qa + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.4. *Let I be the ideal of $X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ given by Corollary 3.2 for the weighted projective space $X = \mathbb{P}(1,1,b)$. Then, the following complex is a graded minimal free resolution of S/I*

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2} S(-\sigma_j) \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ -x_2x_3^{q-1} & f_3/x_1 \\ A & -f_2/x_1 \end{bmatrix}} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{3} S(-\lambda_j) \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & f_3 \end{bmatrix}} S \to S/I \to 0$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \sum_{i=1}^{b} x_1^{(i-1)(q-1)} x_2^{(q-1)b-iq+i} x_3, & \sigma_1 &= qb + 2, & \sigma_2 &= qb + q + 1, \\ \lambda_1 &= qb + 1, & \lambda_2 &= qb + 1, & \lambda_3 &= q + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 3.3 Let $\phi_1 := \begin{bmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & f_3 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\phi_2 := \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ A_1 & f_3/x_1 \\ A_2 & -f_2/x_1 \end{bmatrix}$ where

$f_1 = x_2^{(q-1)b+1}x_3 - x_2x_3^{(q-1)a+1}$, $f_2 = x_1^{(q-1)b+1}x_3 - x_1x_3^q$, $f_3 = x_1^{(q-1)a+1}x_2 - x_1x_2^q$ and

$$A_1 = -\sum_{i=1}^{a} x_1^{(i-1)(q-1)b} x_2 x_3^{(q-1)a-iq+i}, \quad A_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{b} x_1^{(i-1)(q-1)a} x_2^{(q-1)b-iq+i} x_3.$$

We will use Lemma 2.4 to show the exactness of the following complex of graded modules.

$$0 \to F_2 \xrightarrow{\phi_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} S \to S/I \to 0, \tag{3.1}$$

where $F_2 = S(-\sigma_1) \oplus S(-\sigma_2)$, $F_1 = S(-\lambda_1) \oplus S(-\lambda_2) \oplus S(-\lambda_3)$. It is easily seen that $\operatorname{rank}(\phi_2) = 2$, $\operatorname{rank}(\phi_1) = 1$ and $\operatorname{rank}(S^3) = 3$. So we get

$$\operatorname{rank}(S^3) = \operatorname{rank}(\phi_2) + \operatorname{rank}(\phi_1).$$

Now we need to verify the second item in Lemma 2.4. $I(\phi_1)$ is clearly $I = \langle f_1, f_2, f_3\rangle$ which contains a polynomial that is not a zero-divisor on S. The minors of ϕ_2 are

$$\begin{vmatrix} A_1 & f_3/x_1 \\ A_2 & -f_2/x_1 \end{vmatrix} = -f_1 \neq 0, \begin{vmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ A_2 & -f_2/x_1 \end{vmatrix} = -f_2 \neq 0 \text{ and } \begin{vmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ A_1 & f_3/x_1 \end{vmatrix} = f_3 \neq 0.$$

Thus, $I(\phi_2)$ is again the ideal $I = \langle f_1, f_2, f_3\rangle$ which contains the regular sequence $\{f_1+f_2, f_2+f_3\}$. This is true because if f_2+f_3 was a zero divisor in $S/\langle f_1+f_2\rangle$, then there would be $g \in S \setminus \langle f_1+f_2\rangle$ such that $(f_2+f_3)g \in \langle f_1+f_2\rangle$, that is there would be $h \in S$ with $h(f_1+f_2) = g(f_2+f_3)$, which is clearly not possible. Because if there would be $h \in S$ satisfying this condition, these two polynomials f_1+f_2 and f_2+f_3 would have a common factor. But these two polynomials do not have a common factor. So the free resolution given in Theorem 3.3 is an exact sequence by Lemma 2.4.

Now let us prove that the resolution is graded, that is, the maps ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 preserve degrees. We say that $\phi : M = \bigoplus M_d \to N = \bigoplus N_d$ is graded (or degree preserving) if $\phi(M_d) \subseteq N_d$, for all $d \in \mathbb{N}W$, (see, [13, Definition 8.12, Page 153]). So, we need to show that $\phi_1((F_1)_d) \subseteq (F_0)_d$. Recall that

$$\phi_1 : \quad F_1 = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{3} S(-\lambda_j) \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & f_3 \end{bmatrix}} F_0 = S$$
$$(m_1, m_2, m_3) \to m_1 f_1 + m_2 f_2 + m_3 f_3.$$

If $(m_1, m_2, m_3) \in (F_1)_d = S_{d-\lambda_1} \oplus S_{d-\lambda_2} \oplus S_{d-\lambda_3}$ for $d \in \mathbb{N}W$, then we have

$$\deg(m_j f_j) = (d - \lambda_j) + \deg(f_j) = d, \text{ since } \lambda_j = \deg(f_j) \text{ for all } j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Hence, ϕ_1 is graded. Consider now the following map.

$$\phi_2 : \qquad F_2 = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2} S(-\sigma_j) \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ A_1 & f_3/x_1 \\ A_2 & -f_2/x_1 \end{bmatrix}} F_1 = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{3} S(-\lambda_j)$$
$$(m_1, m_2) \to (x_1 m_1 + 0 m_2, A_1 m_1 + m_2 f_3/x_1, A_2 m_1 - m_2 f_2/x_1)$$

So as to show that $\phi_2((F_2)_d) \subseteq (F_1)_d$, we take $(m_1, m_2) \in (F_2)_d = S_{d-\sigma_1} \oplus S_{d-\sigma_2}$.

By the definition of the map ϕ_2, we have

$$\deg(x_1 m_1) = \deg(x_1) + \deg(m_1) = 1 + d - ((q-1)ab + q + b + 1) = d - \lambda_1.$$

Also,

$$\deg(A_1 m_1) = \deg(m_2 f_3/x_1) = qa + d - (qb + qa + 1) = d - (qb + 1) = d - \lambda_2.$$

And similarly, we have

$$\deg(A_2 m_2) = \deg(-m_2 f_2/x_1) = qb + d - (qa + qb + 1) = d - (qa + 1) = d - \lambda_3.$$

Therefore we get,

$$\phi_2((F_2)_d) = \phi_2(S_{d-\sigma_1} \oplus S_{d-\sigma_2}) \subseteq S_{d-\lambda_1} \oplus S_{d-\lambda_2} \oplus S_{d-\lambda_3} = (F_1)_d$$

This proves ϕ_2 is graded completing the proof. □

Proposition 3.5. *The formula of the Hilbert series of* $Y = \mathbb{P}(1,a,b)(\mathbb{F}_q)$ *is given as follows.*

$$HS_Y(t) = \frac{1 - t^{qa+1} - t^{qb+1} - t^{(q-1)ab+a+b} + t^{qa+qb+1} + t^{(q-1)ab+a+b+1}}{(1-t)(1-t^a)(1-t^b)}.$$

As a direct consequence of Proposition 3.5, we get the following result.

Corollary 3.6. *The formula of the Hilbert series of* $Y = \mathbb{P}(1,1,b)(\mathbb{F}_q)$ *is given as follows.*

$$HS_Y(t) = \frac{1 - t^{q+1} - 2t^{qb+1} + t^{qb+2} + t^{qb+q+1}}{(1-t)(1-t)(1-t^b)}. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof of Proposition 3.5 It follows from Theorem 2.5 and the graded free resolution given in Theorem 3.3 that we have

$$\mathcal{K}_M(t) = \sum_{d \in \mathbb{N}W} (-1)^0 \beta_{0,d}(M) t^d + \sum_{d \in \mathbb{N}W} (-1)^1 \beta_{1,d}(M) t^d + \sum_{d \in \mathbb{N}W} (-1)^2 \beta_{2,d}(M) t^d,$$

where, $\beta_{0,0}(F_0) = 1$, $\beta_{1,\lambda_1}(F_1) = 1$, $\beta_{1,\lambda_2}(F_1) = 1$, $\beta_{1,\lambda_3}(F_1) = 1$ and $\beta_{2,\sigma_1}(F_2) = 1$, $\beta_{2,\sigma_2}(F_2) = 1$. Therefore, we get

$$\mathcal{K}_M(t) = 1 - t^{qa+1} - t^{qb+1} - t^{(q-1)ab+a+b} + t^{qa+qb+1} + t^{(q-1)ab+a+b+1}.$$

So, we have the following rational function as a result of the Theorem 2.5,

$$HS_M(t) = \frac{1 - t^{qa+1} - t^{qb+1} - t^{(q-1)ab+a+b} + t^{qa+qb+1} + t^{(q-1)ab+a+b+1}}{(1-t)(1-t^a)(1-t^b)}. \tag{3.3}$$

which completes the proof. □

Consider the formula of the Hilbert series of $\mathbb{P}(1,1,b)(\mathbb{F}_q)$ given in Corollary 3.6. Multiplying the sum $1 - t^{q+1} - 2t^{qb+1} + t^{qb+2} + t^{qb+q+1}$ by $1/(1-t)$ twice we get the following polynomial $p(t)$,

$$1 + 2t + \cdots + qt^{q-1} + (q+1)t^q + \cdots + (q+1)t^{qb} + (q-1)t^{qb+1} + \cdots + 2t^{qb+q-2} + t^{qb+q-1}.$$

Multiplying this latter sum by $1/(1-t^b)$ we get the following Hilbert series $HS_M(t)$.

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t^{ib} p(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t^{ib} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{q} (j+1)t^j + \sum_{k=q+1}^{qb} (q+1)t^k + \sum_{s=1}^{q-1} (q-s)t^{qb+s} \right). \tag{3.4}$$

Since the Hilbert series is of the form

$$\sum_{d \in \mathbb{N}W} H_Y(d) t^d = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t^{ib} p(t), \tag{3.5}$$

the value $H_Y(d)$ is the coefficient of t^{d-ib} in the polynomial $p(t)$. So, we denote this coefficient by $C_i(d)$ and carefully implement this idea to get the following result. Notice that the coefficient of t^j in $p(t)$ is

$$\begin{cases} j+1, & \text{if } 0 \leq j \leq q-1 \\ q+1, & \text{if } q \leq j \leq qb \\ qb+q-j, & \text{if } qb+1 \leq j \leq qb+q-1. \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

Theorem 3.7. *Let $d = d_0 b + r_0$ where $0 \leq r_0 < b$. If $q \leq b$ then the Hilbert function of $Y = \mathbb{P}(1,1,b)(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is as follows*

$$H_Y(d) = \begin{cases} d_0(q+1) + r_0 + 1, & \textit{if } 0 \leq d_0 \leq q-1 \textit{ and } 0 \leq r_0 \leq q-1 \\ (d_0+1)(q+1), & \textit{if } 0 \leq d_0 \leq q-1 \textit{ and } q \leq r_0 < b \\ q(q+1), & \textit{if } d_0 \geq q \textit{ and } 0 < r_0 < b \\ q(q+1)+1, & \textit{if } d_0 \geq q \textit{ and } r_0 = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. Recall that $H_Y(d)$ is the coefficient of t^d in the infinite sum given in (3.4). So, we concentrate on the coefficient $C_i(d)$ of t^{d-ib} in the polynomial

$$p(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{q}(j+1)t^j + \sum_{k=q+1}^{qb}(q+1)t^k + \sum_{s=1}^{q-1}(q-s)t^{qb+s} = \sum_{i=0}^{d_0} C_i(d)t^{d-ib}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d_0$. Thus, $H_Y(d) = \sum_{i=0}^{d_0} C_i(d)$. Recall that $d - ib = (d_0 - i)b + r_0$.

Case I: Let $0 \leq d_0 \leq q-1$.
For $i = d_0$, we have $d - ib = r_0$ and get the coefficient of t^{r_0} in $p(t)$ to be

$$C_i(d) = \begin{cases} r_0 + 1, & \text{if } 0 \leq r_0 \leq q-1 \\ q+1, & \text{if } q \leq r_0 < b. \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

Whenever $0 \leq i \leq d_0 - 1$, we have $q \leq b \leq (d_0 - i)b + r_0 \leq (d_0+1)b \leq qb$. So, $d - ib = (d_0 - i)b + r_0$ is between q and qb, and thus $C_i(d) = q+1$, for all $0 \leq i \leq d_0 - 1$, by (3.6). Hence,

$$H_Y(d) = \sum_{i=0}^{d_0} C_i(d) = d_0(q+1) + \begin{cases} r_0 + 1, & \text{if } 0 \leq r_0 \leq q-1 \\ q+1, & \text{if } q \leq r_0 < b. \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

Case II: Let $d_0 = q$. So, $d = qb + r_0$ and $d - ib = (q-i)b + r_0$.
Firstly, let us consider the case where $i = 0$. Then we get the following formula.

$$C_i(d) = \begin{cases} q+1 & \text{if } r_0 = 0, \\ q - r_0 & \text{if } 0 < r_0 \leq q-1, \\ 0 & \text{if } q \leq r_0 < b. \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

Let us now consider the cases where $1 \leq i \leq q-1$. Then,

$$q \leq b \leq (q-i)b \leq (q-1)b \Rightarrow q \leq (q-i)b + r_0 \leq (q-1)b + r_0 < qb \Rightarrow C_i(d) = q+1.$$

For the case $i = q$, we get the following formula.

$$C_i(d) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r_0 = 0, \\ r_0 + 1 & \text{if } 0 < r_0 \leq q-1, \\ q+1 & \text{if } q \leq r_0 < b. \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

Thus, summing all these, we obtain

$$H_Y(d) = \begin{cases} q(q+1)+1 & \text{if } r_0 = 0, \\ q(q+1) & \text{if } 0 < r_0 \leq q-1, \\ q(q+1) & \text{if } q \leq r_0 < b. \end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

Case III: Let $d_0 > q$. So, $d_0 - q > 0$.
For the cases $0 \leq i < d_0 - q$, we have $C_i(d) = 0$, since

$$q + 1 \leq d_0 - i \Rightarrow (q+1)b \leq (d_0 - i)b \Rightarrow qb + (q-1) < qb + b \leq (d_0 - i)b \leq d - ib.$$

For the cases where $d_0 - q \leq i < d_0$, we have

$$0 < d_0 - i \leq q \Rightarrow q \leq b \leq (d_0 - i)b \leq qb.$$

So, the interval in which $d - ib = (d_0 - i)b + r_0$ lies depends on r_0.
If $r_0 = 0$, we get $C_i(d) = q + 1$ as $d - ib = (d_0 - i)b$, for all $d_0 - q \leq i \leq d_0 - 1$.
If $r_0 > 0$ and $i = d_0 - q$, we get $d - ib = qb + r_0$ and so

$$C_i(d) = \begin{cases} q - r_0 & \text{if } 1 \leq r_0 \leq q-1, \\ 0 & \text{if } q \leq r_0 < b. \end{cases} \tag{3.13}$$

If $r_0 > 0$ and $i > d_0 - q$, we get $d_0 - i < q \Rightarrow d_0 - i \leq q - 1$ and so

$$d - ib = (d_0 - i)b + r_0 \leq (q-1)b + r_0 = qb - b + r_0 < qb.$$

So, we have $C_i(d) = q + 1$, for all $d_0 - (q-1) \leq i \leq d_0 - 1$.
Finally, for the case $i = d_0$, we have $d - ib = r_0$ and so

$$C_i(d) = \begin{cases} r_0 + 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq r_0 \leq q-1, \\ q + 1 & \text{if } q \leq r_0 < b. \end{cases} \tag{3.14}$$

Summarizing, we have $C_i(d) = q + 1$, for all $d_0 - (q-1) \leq i \leq d_0 - 1$ and that

$$C_{d_0 - q}(d) + C_{d_0}(d) = \begin{cases} (q+1) + 1 & \text{if } r_0 = 0, \\ q + 1 & \text{if } 0 < r_0 < b. \end{cases} \tag{3.15}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$H_Y(d) = \begin{cases} q(q+1) + 1 & \text{if } r_0 = 0, \\ q(q+1) & \text{if } 0 < r_0 < b, \end{cases} \tag{3.16}$$

completing the proof. □

Theorem 3.8. *Let $d = d_0 b + r_0$ with $0 \leq r_0 < b$. When $d > q$, we also let $d = q + kb + r$ with $0 \leq r < b$. If $q > b$ then the Hilbert function of $Y = \mathbb{P}(1,1,b)(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is as follows*

$$H_Y(d) = \begin{cases} d + 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq d \leq b-1, \\ (d_0+1)(d+1-bd_0/2) & \text{if } b \leq d \leq q, \\ (d_0 - k)(d - (d_0+k+1)b/2) + q(k+1) + 1 & \text{if } q+1 \leq d \leq qb \\ (k+q)(k-q+1)b/2 + q(k+d+1) - dk - bd_0 + \kappa & \text{if } d > qb \text{ and } k < q, \\ q^2 + r_0 + \kappa & \text{if } d > qb \text{ and } k \geq q, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\kappa = \begin{cases} q - r_0, & \text{if } 0 < r_0 < q, \\ q + 1 & \text{if } r_0 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $d = d_0 b + r_0$ for $0 \leq r_0 < b < q$. Recall that the Hilbert series in (3.5) is

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t^{ib} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{q} (j+1)t^j + \sum_{k=q+1}^{qb} (q+1)t^k + \sum_{s=1}^{q-1} (q-s)t^{qb+s} \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t^{ib} p(t) \tag{3.17}$$

and that $C_i(d)$ is the coefficient of t^{d-ib} in the polynomial $p(t)$ for $0 \leq i \leq d_0$ as in the previous proof. Putting $j = d - ib$ in (3.6), we get

$$C_i(d) = \begin{cases} d - ib + 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq d - ib \leq q, \\ q + 1 & \text{if } q + 1 \leq d - ib \leq qb, \\ q + (qb - d + ib) & \text{if } qb + 1 \leq d - ib \leq qb + q - 1. \end{cases} \tag{3.18}$$

Case I: Let $0 \leq d \leq b-1$. So, we have $d_0 = 0$. Then $i = 0$. Hence, $H_Y(d) = d+1$.

Case II: Let $b \leq d \leq q$. It is clear that $d_0 > 0$. And then $d - ib \leq q$. So, $C_i = d - ib + 1$. Hence,

$$H_Y(d) = \sum_{i=0}^{d_0}(d-ib+1) = (d_0+1)(d+1)-b\sum_{i=0}^{d_0} i = (d_0+1)(d+1)-b\left(\frac{d_0(d_0+1)}{2}\right).$$

Case III: Let $q + 1 \leq d < qb$ and also $d = q + kb + r$ with $0 \leq r < b$. Since $d > q$ we have $d - q = kb + r$. Firstly, let $k = 0$. If $i = 0$ we get $C_i = q + 1$. Let $i > 0$. So, $d - d_0 b < \cdots < d - 2b < d - b < q$. And then we get $C_i = d - ib + 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d_0$. Hence, $H_Y(d) = q + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{d_0}(d - ib + 1)$.

If $i = 0$ we get $C_i = q + 1$. Let $1 \leq i \leq k$. It is clear that $q \leq d - b < (q - 1)a$ so we have $q \leq d - kb \leq d - ib \leq d \leq qb$. Then, we get $C_i = q + 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let $k + 1 \leq i \leq d_0$. Since $-i \leq -k - 1$ we have $d - ib \leq d - kb - b$. Also since $d = q + kb + r$ and $r < b$ we get $d - ib \leq d - kb - b \leq q + r - b < q$. Hence, $H_Y(d) = (k + 1)(q + 1) + \sum_{i=k+1}^{d_0}(d - ib + 1)$. If we arrange the equality we get,

$$H_Y(d) = (k + 1)(q + 1) + (d_0 - k)(d + 1) - b\left(\frac{d_0(d_0 + 1)}{2} - \frac{k(k + 1)}{2}\right).$$

Case IV: Let $qb < d$ and $k < q$. Since $d = d_0 b + r_0$ we have $d_0 \geq q$.

Let $0 \leq i < d_0 - q$. If we consider $i < d_0 - q$, we get $d - ib > qb$. So, we get,

$$C_i = q + qb - d + ib.$$

Let $d_0 - q < i \leq d_0 - k$. If we consider $d_0 - q < i$, using the argument in Case V, we get $d-ib < qb$. And also if we consider $i \leq d_0 - k$, we have $d-ib \geq d-d_0 b+kb = r_0 + kb > kb = d - q - r > qb - q - r > qb - 2q = q(b - 2)$. Since $b \geq 2$ we have $d - ib > q(b - 2) \geq q$. Then we get,

$$C_i = q + 1.$$

Let $d_0 - k < i \leq k$. If we consider $d_0 - k < i$ we have $d - ib \leq d - d_0 b + kb - b = r_0 - b + kb < qb + r_0 - b$. Since $r_0 < b$ we get $d - ib < qb$. And if we consider $i \leq k$ we have $d - ib \geq d - kb = q + r > q$. So we get,

$$C_i = q + 1.$$

Let $k + 1 \leq i \leq d_0$. Using the argument in Case V, we get,

$$C_i = d - ib + 1.$$

Let consider $i = d_0 - q$. If $i = d_0 - q$ we have $d - ib = d - d_0 b + qb = r_0 + qb$. Since $0 < r_0 < q$ we have $qb < d - ib \leq qb + q - 1$. So, we get

$$C_i = q - r_0 \text{ for } 0 < r_0 < q.$$

Also, if we consider $r_0 = 0$ we get $d - ib = d - d_0 b + qb = r_0 + qb = qb$. So,

$$C_i = q + 1 \text{ for } r_0 = 0.$$

Therefore we get,

$$H_Y(d) = \sum_{i=0}^{d_0-q-1} (q + qb - d + ib) + (q + k - d_0)(q + 1) + \sum_{i=k+1}^{d_0} (d + 1 - ib) + \kappa$$

where

$$\kappa = \begin{cases} q - r_0, & \text{if } 0 < r_0 < q, \\ q + 1 & \text{if } r_0 = 0. \end{cases}$$

If we arrange all equalities for $d > qb$ and $k < q$ we get,

$$\begin{aligned} H_Y(d) &= (d_0 - q)(q + qb - d) + \frac{b}{2}\left((d_0 - q - 1)(d_0 - q)\right) + (q + k - d_0)(q + 1) \\ &\quad + (d + 1)(d_0 - k) - \frac{b}{2}\left(d_0(d_0 + 1) - k(k + 1)\right) + \kappa. \\ &= qd - \frac{q^2 b}{2} - bd_0 + \frac{bq}{2} + q + kq - dk + \frac{bk^2}{2} + \frac{bk}{2} + \kappa \\ &= \frac{b}{2}\left((k + q)(k - q + 1)\right) + q(d + 1 + k) - bd_0 - kd + \kappa \begin{cases} q - r_0, & \text{if } r_0 < q, \\ q + 1 & \text{if } r_0 = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Case V: Let $qb < d$ and $k \geq q$. Recall that $d = d_0 b + r_0$ and we have $d_0 \geq q$.

Let $0 \leq i \leq k - q$. Then, we get $d - ib \geq d - kb + qb = q + r + qb \geq qb + q > qb + q - 1$. Since $d - ib > qb + q - 1$ we get

$$C_i = 0.$$

Let $k - q < i < d_0 - q$. Firstly if we consider $i < d_0 - q$ we get $-i > q - d_0 \Rightarrow d - ib > d + qb - d_0 b = r_0 + qb > qb$. Also, if we consider $i > k - q$ we get $-i \leq -k + q - 1 \Rightarrow d - ib \leq d - kb + qb - b$. Since $d = q + kb + r$ and $r < b$ we get $d - ib \leq d - kb + qb - b \leq q + r + qb - b \leq qb + q - 1$. So, we get $qb < d - ib \leq qb + q - 1$ and then we get

$$C_i = q + qb - d + ib.$$

Let $d_0 - q < i \leq k$. Then since $d = kb + q + r$ we have $d - ia \geq d - kb = q + r \geq q$. Also, we have $i \geq d_0 - q + 1 \Rightarrow -i \leq q - 1 - d_0 \Rightarrow d - ib \leq d + qb - b - d_0 b = qb + r_0 - b$. Since $r_0 < b$ we get $d - ib < qb$. So,

$$C_i = q + 1.$$

Let $k + 1 \leq i \leq d_0$. Using the argument in Case IV, we get

$$C_i = d - ib + 1.$$

Let $i = d_0 - q$ as in Case IV. Then, we have $d - ib = d - d_0 b + qb = r_0 + qb$. Since $0 < r_0 < q$ we have $qb < d - ib \leq qb + q - 1$. So, we get

$$C_i = q - r_0 \text{ for } 0 < r_0 < q.$$

Also, if we consider $r_0 = 0$ we get $d - ib = d - d_0 b + qb = r_0 + qb = qb$. So,

$$C_i = q + 1 \text{ for } r_0 = 0.$$

Therefore if we arrange all equalities for $d > qb$ and $k \geq q$ we get the desired statement. □

Based on Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 3.8, we describe the regularity set of the $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points $Y = \mathbb{P}(1,1,b)(\mathbb{F}_q)$ in the next consequence.

Corollary 3.9. *Let b be a positive integer. The regularity set of $Y = \mathbb{P}(1,1,b)(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is given as follows.*

$$\operatorname{reg}(Y) = \{d_0 b \in \mathbb{N} : d_0 \geq (q + \lfloor (q-1)/b \rfloor)\} = (q + \lfloor (q-1)/b \rfloor)b + \mathbb{N}b.$$

Proof. $d = d_0 b + r_0$ with $0 \leq r_0 < b$ as before.

Case A: Firstly let $q \leq b$. For this case, it is sufficient to show the following equality since $\lfloor (q-1)/b \rfloor = 0$.

$$\operatorname{reg}(Y) = \{d \in \mathbb{N} : d = d_0 b \text{ with } d_0 \geq q\} = qb + \mathbb{N}b.$$

Case A.I: Let $0 \leq d_0 \leq q-1$ and $0 \leq r_0 \leq q-1$. If we consider the result given in 3.7 we get the value of Hilbert function as $H_Y(d) = d_0(q+1) + r_0 + 1$. So,

$$H_Y(d) \leq (q-1)(q+1) + q = q^2 - 1 + q < q^2 + q + 1.$$

Therefore we have $d \notin \operatorname{reg}(Y)$ for $0 \leq d_0 \leq q-1$ and $0 \leq r_0 \leq q-1$.

Case A.II: Let $0 \leq d_0$ and $q \leq r_0 < b$. Similarly, if we consider the result given in 3.7 we get $H_Y(d) = (d_0+1)(q+1) \leq q(q+1)$. Then it is clear that $H_Y(d) < q^2 + q + 1$. So, we get $d \notin \operatorname{reg}(Y)$.

Case A.III: Let $d_0 \geq q$ and $0 < r_0 < b$. We get $H_Y(d) = q(q+1)$ from the result given in 3.7. It is clear that $H_Y(d) < q^2+q+1$. Therefore, we get $d \notin \operatorname{reg}(Y)$ for $d_0 \geq q$ and $0 < r_0 < b$.

Case A.IV: Let $d_0 \geq q$ and $r_0 = 0$. Since the result given in 3.7 we know that $H_Y(d) = q^2 + q + 1$ for $d_0 \geq q$ and $r_0 = 0$. So, $d = d_0 b \in \operatorname{reg}(Y)$ in this case.

Since, only one of the situations above is possible, it follows that we have

$$\operatorname{reg}(Y) = \{d \in \mathbb{N} : d = d_0 b \text{ with } d_0 \geq q\} = qb + \mathbb{N}b.$$

Case B: Let $q > b$.

Case B.I: Let $0 \leq d \leq b-1$. We get $H_Y(d) = d+1$ from the result given in 3.8. It is clear that $H_Y(d) < q^2+q+1$. Therefore, we get $d \notin \operatorname{reg}(Y)$ for $0 \leq d \leq b-1$.

Case B.II: Let $b \leq d \leq q$. We have $d_0 \geq 1$, as otherwise we get the contradiction $d = d_0 b + r_0 = r_0 < b$. Then, we get the following inequality,

$$H_Y(d) = (d_0+1)(d+1) - b\left(\frac{d_0(d_0+1)}{2}\right) < (d_0+1)(d+1) \leq q(q+1).$$

Therefore we get $H_Y(d) < q^2 + q + 1$. So, we get $d \notin \operatorname{reg}(Y)$ for $b \leq d \leq q$.

Case B.III: Let $q+1 \leq d \leq qb$. Consider the following sum:

$$H_Y(d) = (k+1)(q+1) + (d_0 - k)(d+1) - b \sum_{i=k+1}^{d_0} i.$$

If we arrange this sum we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
&= kq + q + 1 + d_0 d + d_0 - kd - \frac{bd_0^2}{2} - \frac{bd_0}{2} + \frac{bk^2}{2} + \frac{bk}{2} \\
&= kq + q + 1 + bd_0^2 + d_0 r_0 + d_0 - kd - \frac{bd_0^2}{2} - \frac{bd_0}{2} + \frac{k^2 b}{2} + \frac{kb}{2} \\
&< kq + q + 1 + \frac{bd_0^2}{2} + \frac{bd_0}{2} + d_0 - kd + \frac{k^2 b}{2} + \frac{kb}{2} \\
&= kq + q + 1 + \frac{bd_0^2}{2} + \frac{bd_0}{2} + d_0 - kq - k^2 b - kr + \frac{k^2 b}{2} + \frac{kb}{2} \\
&= q + 1 + \frac{bd_0^2}{2} + \frac{bd_0}{2} + d_0 - \frac{k^2 b}{2} - kr + \frac{kb}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $k > 1 \Rightarrow -k < -1$, $d = q + kb + r \leq qb \Rightarrow k \leq q - \frac{q+r}{b}$, we get the following inequalities.

$$\begin{aligned}
&< q + 1 + \frac{bd_0^2}{2} + \frac{bd_0}{2} + d_0 + \frac{b}{2} - r + \frac{kb}{2} \\
&= q + 1 + d_0 + \frac{b}{2}\left(d_0(d_0+1)\right) + \frac{b}{2}(k+1) - r \\
&\leq q + 1 + d_0 + \frac{bd_0}{2}(d_0+1) + \frac{b}{2}\left(q - \frac{q+r}{b} + 1\right) - r \\
&= q + 1 + d_0 + \frac{bd_0}{2}(d_0+1) + \frac{qb}{2} - \frac{qb}{2b} - \frac{rb}{2b} + \frac{b}{2} - r \\
&= \frac{q}{2} + 1 + d_0 + \frac{bd_0}{2}(d_0+1) + \frac{qb}{2} + \frac{b}{2} - \frac{3r}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

As we know that $q + 1 \leq d = d_0 b + r_0$, we have $q \leq d_0 b + r_0 - 1$. And also,

$$d_0 \leq q \leq d_0 b + r_0 - 1 \Rightarrow d_0 \leq d_0 b + r_0 - 1 \Rightarrow d_0 \leq \frac{r_0 - 1}{1 - b}.$$

If we use all these inequalities we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{bd_0 + r_0}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{r_0 - 1}{1 - b} + \frac{bd_0}{2}\left(\frac{r_0 - 1 + 1 - b}{1 - b}\right) + \frac{qb}{2} + \frac{b}{2} - \frac{3r}{2} \\
&< \frac{bd_0}{2} + b + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{b-1}{1-b} + \frac{qb}{2} - \frac{3r}{2} \\
&= \frac{bd_0}{2} + b - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{qb}{2} - \frac{3r}{2} \\
&\leq qb + b - \frac{(3r+1)}{2} \\
&< q^2 + q < q^2 + q + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get $H_Y(d) < q^2 + q + 1$. So, $d \notin \operatorname{reg}(Y)$ for $q + 1 \leq d \leq qb$.

Case B.IV: Let $d > qb$ and $k < q$. Consider the following sum:

$$H_Y(d) = \frac{b}{2}\left((k+q)(k-q+1)\right) + q(k+d+1) - dk - bd_0 + \kappa.$$

The formula above for $H_Y(d)$ depends on the value of k in the formula $d = q+kb+r$. When $k = q-1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} H_Y(d) &= \frac{b}{2}\left((q-1+q)(q-1-q+1)\right) + q(q-1+d+1) - qd + d - bd_0 + \kappa \\ &= q^2 + d - bd_0 + \kappa = q^2 + r_0 + \kappa. \end{aligned}$$

- If $0 < r_0 < q$, then $\kappa = q - r_0$ and so $H_Y(d) = q^2 + q < q^2 + q + 1$. Thus, we get $d \notin \operatorname{reg}(Y)$ for $d > qb$, $k = q-1$ and $0 < r_0 < q$.
- Let $r_0 = 0$. Then, $\kappa = q+1$ and so $H_Y(d) = q^2 + q + 1$. Therefore, $d \in \operatorname{reg}(Y)$ for $d > qb$, $k = q-1$ and $r_0 = 0$.

Since, $H_Y(q+kb+r) < H_Y(q+(q-1)b+r)$ for $k < q-1$,it follows that $d \notin \operatorname{reg}(Y)$ if $k < q-1$.

Case B.V: Let $d > qb$ and $k \geq q$.

- Let $0 < r_0 < q$. So, $\kappa = q - r_0$. Then, we have
  $$H_Y(d) = q^2 + d - bd_0 + \kappa = q^2 + q < q^2 + q + 1.$$
  So, we get $d \notin \operatorname{reg}(Y)$ for $d > qb, k \geq q$ and $0 < r_0 < q$.
- Let $r_0 = 0$. So, $\kappa = q+1$. Then, we have
  $$H_Y(d) = q^2 + d - bd_0 + \kappa = q^2 + q + 1.$$
  So, $d \in \operatorname{reg}(Y)$ in this case.

All these cases reveal that $d \in \operatorname{reg}(Y)$ if and only if $d = d_0 b$, $d_0 > q$ and $k \geq q-1$. In order to determine the smallest element in $\operatorname{reg}(Y)$, we take $k = q-1$ and look for the value of r for which $d = d_0 b \in \operatorname{reg}(Y)$. Since $0 \leq r \leq b-1$, we have

$$q + (q-1)b \leq q + kb + r = d \leq q + qb - b + b - 1 = qb + q - 1.$$

Thus, we get $qb + q - b \leq d = d_0 b \leq qb + q - 1$. As there are b integers in this interval, the unique integer in the following interval

$$q + (q-b)/b \leq d_0 \leq q + (q-1)/b$$

is $d_0 = q + \lfloor q - 1/b \rfloor$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{reg}(Y) &= \{d \in \mathbb{N} : d = d_0 b = q + kb + r \text{ with } d_0 \leq q + (q-1)/b, d_0 > q \text{ and } k \geq q-1\} \\ &= (q + \lfloor q - 1/b \rfloor)b + \mathbb{N}b \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.10. [5, Theorem 4.3.5] *Let R be a positively graded $\mathbb{K}$-algebra and $M \neq 0$ a finite(a.k.a. finitely generated) graded R-module. Then,*

- *i. there exist a uniquely determined quasi-polynomial P_M with $H_M(d) = P_M(d)$ for all $d \gg 0$.*
- *ii. one has $\deg\left(HS_M(t)\right) = \max\{d : H_M(d) \neq P_M(d)\}$*

Here, $\deg(H_M(t))$ denotes the degree of the rational function $HS_M(t)$ and is also known as the a-invariant of M denoted $a(M)$.

Remark 3.11. *It follows from Theorem 3.10 that the Hilbert function of Y agrees with the Hilbert **quasi-polynomial** P_Y, for all $d > a(Y)$, i.e. there exist a positive integer g (period) and the polynomials $P_0, \ldots, P_{g-1}$ such that $H_Y(d) = P_i(d)$ for $d > a(Y)$ and $d \equiv i \mod g$.*

As a direct consequence of the above results, we give now the Hilbert quasi-polynomial of Y.

Corollary 3.12. *Let $Y = \mathbb{P}(1,1,b)(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Then the Hilbert quasi-polynomial of Y is given by*

$$P_Y(d_0b + r_0) = \begin{cases} q(q+1)+1 & \text{if } d_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } r_0 = 0 \\ q(q+1) & \text{if } d_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } 1 \leq r_0 \leq b-1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We need to prove that $H_Y(d) = P_Y(d)$ for all

$$d \geq a(Y) + 1 = (q-1)(b+1) + 1 = (q-1)b + q = qb + q - b.$$

The proof of Corollary 3.9 reveals that $H_Y(d) < q(q+1)$ for all $d \leq a(Y)$, and $H_Y(d) = q(q+1)$ if and only if $d = (q-1)b + r_0$ with $q \leq r_0 < b$ or $d = d_0b + r_0$ with $d_0 \geq q$ and $0 < r_0 < b$, in Case A where we have $q \leq b$. Finally, if $d = d_0b$ with $d_0 \geq q$, $H_Y(d) = q(q+1)+1$, proving the assertion for Case A. As for Case B where we have $q > b$, we get $d \geq a(Y) + 1 = qb + q - b > qb$. Thus, $H_Y(d) = q^2 + q$ as the proof of the Corollary 3.9 shows, when $0 < r_0 < q$ and $d > qb$. Similarly, $H_Y(d_0b) = q(q+1) + 1$ if $d_0 > q$. Therefore, we have $H_Y(d) = P_Y(d)$ in this case also as desired. ☐

Since the relation between the dimension of codes over Y and the Hilbert function of Y given in (1.2) we get the following result.

Corollary 3.13. *Let $d = d_0b + r_0$ where $0 \leq r_0 < b$ and $Y = \mathbb{P}(1,1,b)(\mathbb{F}_q)$. If $q \leq b$, then we get the dimension of the code $C_{d,Y}$ as follows.*
(3.19)

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C_{d,Y}) = \begin{cases} d_0(q+1) + r_0 + 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq d_0 \leq q-1 \text{ and } 0 \leq r_0 \leq q-1 \\ (d_0+1)(q+1) & \text{if } 0 \leq d_0 \leq q-1 \text{ and } q \leq r_0 < b \\ q(q+1) & \text{if } d_0 \geq q \text{ and } 0 < r_0 < b \\ q(q+1)+1 & \text{if } d_0 \geq q \text{ and } r_0 = 0 \end{cases}$$

Corollary 3.14. *Let $d = d_0b + r_0$ with $0 \leq r_0 < b$ for all the cases and $d = q + kb + r$ with $0 \leq r < b$ for the cases where $d > q$. If $q > b$ then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C_{d,Y}) = \begin{cases} d+1 & \text{if } 0 \leq d \leq b-1, \\ (d_0+1)(d+1-bd_0/2) & \text{if } b \leq d \leq q, \\ (d_0-k)(d-(d_0+k+1)b/2) + q(k+1) + 1 & \text{if } q+1 \leq d \leq qb \\ (k+q)(k-q+1)b/2 + q(k+d+1) - dk - bd_0 + \kappa & \text{if } d > qb,\ k < q, \\ q^2 + r_0 + \kappa & \text{if } d > qb,\ k \geq q, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\kappa = \begin{cases} q - r_0, & \text{if } 0 < r_0 < q, \\ q+1 & \text{if } r_0 = 0. \end{cases}$$

3.1. **Examples for Regularity Set and Dimension.** In this part, the values of the Hilbert function of $Y = \mathbb{P}(1,1,b)(\mathbb{F}_q)$ which is one of the main results given in this article will be presented. In the tables given in this part, we emphasize the first element of the regularity set which is the set of degrees in which the Hilbert function reaches its maximum value.

Example 3.15. *Let $q = 5$, $X = \mathbb{P}(1,1,b)$ and $Y = X(\mathbb{F}_q)$. We will give the values of the Hilbert Function of Y in the following tables for $b = 2,5,7$, respectively. And by Corollary 3.13 and Corollary 3.14 these will be the dimensions of codes over Y. We note that these values were calculated using Macaulay2* [10].

TABLE 1. The values of Hilbert Function of $\mathbb{P}(1,1,2)$ over $\mathbb{F}_5$

d	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
$H_Y(d)$	1	2	4	6	9	12	15	18	21	24	27	28	30
d	13	**14**	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
$H_Y(d)$	30	31	30	31	30	31	30	31	30	31	30	31	30

TABLE 2. The values of Hilbert Function of $\mathbb{P}(1,1,5)$ over $\mathbb{F}_5$

d	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
$H_Y(d)$	1	2	3	4	5	7	8	9	10	11	13	14	15
d	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	**25**
$H_Y(d)$	16	17	19	20	21	22	23	25	26	27	28	29	31
d	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38
$H_Y(d)$	30	30	30	30	31	30	30	30	30	31	30	30	30

TABLE 3. The values of Hilbert Function of $\mathbb{P}(1,1,7)$ over $\mathbb{F}_5$

d	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
$H_Y(d)$	1	2	3	4	5	6	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
d	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
$H_Y(d)$	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	18	19	20	21	22	23
d	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	**35**	36	37	38
$H_Y(d)$	24	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	30	31	30	30	30
d	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51
$H_Y(d)$	30	30	30	31	30	30	30	30	30	30	31	30	30

Remark 3.16. *We note that in these tables, we emphasize the first element d of the regularity set in boldface red.*

4. MINIMUM DISTANCE

Recall that $Y = \{[1 : y_2 : y_3] : y_2, y_3 \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{[0 : y_2 : 1] : y_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{[0 : 1 : 0]\}$ is the set of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points of the weighted projective space $X = \mathbb{P}(1,1,b)$ over the algebraically closed field $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$, where b is a positive integer.

Lemma 4.1. *If $0 < d < b$ and then $\delta(C_{d,Y}) \geq q$.*

Proof. Let $F \in S_d \setminus \{0\}$. Since $\deg(x_3) = b$ and $d < b$, it is clear that $F \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, x_2]$. So, we assume that $F = x_1^\ell F'(x_1, x_2)$ where $F' \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, x_2]$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d - \ell$, not divisible by x_1. Thus, $F'(x_1, x_2) = x_1 F_1(x_1, x_2) + F_2(x_2)$ where F_1 and F_2 are homogeneous polynomials of degrees $d - \ell - 1$ and $d - \ell$, respectively. So, $F_2(x_2) = c x_2^{d-\ell}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Therefore,

$$F(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^\ell [x_1 F_1(x_1, x_2) + c x_2^{d-\ell}]. \tag{4.1}$$

Case I: Let $\ell > 0$. Then, F has $q + 1$ roots $[y_1 : y_2 : y_3]$ with $y_1 = 0$. Since $f(x_2) := F(1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_2] \setminus \{0\}$ is univariate, it can have at most q roots $y_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$. If f has q roots then F vanishes at $q^2 + q + 1$ points in Y and hence $F \in I(Y)$. Therefore, the codeword $\operatorname{ev}_{d,Y}(F) = 0$. Thus, in order to get a non-trivial codeword f may have at most $q - 1$ roots and then F has at most $(q-1)q$ roots with $y_1 = 1$, $y_2 \in V(f) \subset \mathbb{F}_q$ and $y_3 \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Hence, F can have at most $q + 1 + (q-1)q = q^2 + 1$ roots in Y.

Case II: Let $\ell = 0$. If $F(0, y_2, y_3) = 0$ we get $y_2 = 0$ from (4.1). So, F has only one root $[0 : 0 : 1]$ in Y with $y_1 = 0$.

In order to study the roots where $y_1 = 1$, we consider the univariate polynomial $f(x_2) = F(1, x_2, x_3)$ again. Since $f(x_2)$ has at most q roots $y_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$, F can have at most q^2 roots $[1 : y_2 : y_3]$ in Y. In total F can have at most $q^2 + 1$ roots in Y.

Therefore, a codeword $\mathrm{ev}_{d,Y}(F) = (F(P_1), \ldots, F(P_N))$, where $N = q^2 + q + 1$, can have at least $q = N - (q^2 + 1)$ non-zero components in both cases, yielding $\delta(C_{d,Y}) \geq q$. □

Theorem 4.2. *The minimum distance of the code $C_{d,Y}$ is given by*

$$\delta = \begin{cases} q & \text{if } q \leq d < b \\ q(q-d+1) & \text{if } d < q \leq b \\ q(q-d+1) & \text{if } d < b < q \\ q(q-d+1) & \text{if } b \leq d < q \\ q-k & \text{if } b \leq q \leq d, d = q+kb+r \text{ with } 0 \leq r < b \text{ and } 0 \leq k \leq q-2 \\ q-k & \text{if } q < b \leq d, d = q+kb+r \text{ with } 0 \leq r < b \text{ and } 0 \leq k \leq q-2 \\ 1 & \text{if } d = q+kb+r \text{ with } 0 \leq r < b \text{ and } k \geq q-1 \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. **Case I: $\mathbf{q \leq d < b}$** : The polynomial

$$F_0 = x_1 x_2^{d-q} \prod_{y_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} (x_2 - y_2 x_1) \in S_d,$$

have $q + 1$ roots $[y_1 : y_2 : y_3]$ with $y_1 = 0$ and $q(q-1)$ roots with $y_1 = 1$ in X. In total, F has $q^2 + 1$ roots in Y. We know that $N = q^2 + q + 1$. Therefore, we get $\delta \leq N - (q^2 + 1) = q$. So, we get $\delta = q$ by Lemma 4.1.

Case II: $\mathbf{d < q \leq b}$: For a non-zero $F \in S_d$, we set $F = x_1^\ell F'(x_1, x_2)$ where F' is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d - \ell$ of the form $F'(x_1, x_2) = x_1 F_1' + c x_2^{d-\ell}$ with $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, as in the proof of Lemma 4.1. Notice that the univariate polynomial $f(x_2) = F(1, x_2)$ has degree $d - \ell$ and can have at most $d - \ell$ roots $y_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

If $\ell > 0$, then F will have $q+1$ roots with $y_1 = 0$ and have at most $q(d-\ell)$ roots with $y_1 = 1$. Altogether, F can have at most $qd + 1 + q(1 - \ell) \leq qd + 1$ roots, since $\ell \geq 1$.

If $\ell = 0$, then F will have 1 root with $y_1 = 0$ and have at most qd roots with $y_1 = 1$. Altogether, F can have at most $qd + 1$ roots.

The polynomial

$$F_0 = x_1 \prod_{y_2=1}^{d-1} (x_2 - y_2 x_1) \in S_d,$$

have $q + 1$ roots $[y_1 : y_2 : y_3]$ with $y_1 = 0$ and $q(d-1)$ roots with $y_1 = 1$ in X. Thus, it has $qd + 1$ roots in Y. Therefore, $\delta = q^2 + q + 1 - (qd + 1) = q(q + 1 - d)$.

Case III: $\mathbf{d < b < q}$: The argument used in the proof of the previous situation applies here and we get $\delta = q(q - d + 1)$.

Case IV: $\mathbf{b \leq d < q}$: Let $d = d_0 b + r_0$ where $0 \leq r_0 < b$. For a non-zero $F \in S_d$, we consider the following key subset

$$J = \{y_3 \in \mathbb{F}_q : y_3 x_1^b - x_3 \text{ divides } F\}.$$

It is clear that $|J| \leq d_0$. It follows that $F(1, y_2, y_3) = 0$ whenever $y_3 \in J$ and there are $q|J|$ such points in Y with $y_1 = 1$.

On the other hand, the polynomial $f(x_2) = F(1, x_2, y_3) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_2]\backslash\{0\}$ if $y_3 \notin J$. This is because, in general, we have $F(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (y_3x_1^b - x_3)H + r(x_1, x_2)$ for some $H \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ and non-zero homogeneous polynomial

$$r(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} r_i x_1^i x_2^{d-i}$$

of degree d. So, if $f \equiv 0$, then $r(1, x_2) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} r_i x_2^{d-i}$ is a zero polynomial, i.e. $r_i = 0$ for all i, meaning that $r = 0$ as a polynomial, a contradiction. So, if $y_3 \notin J$ then f has at most $\deg_{x_2}(F) = \deg(f)$ many roots. Therefore F has $|\mathbb{F}_q\backslash J| \deg_{x_2}(F) = (q - |J|)\deg_{x_2}(F)$ many such roots at most. Thus, we have

$$|V_Y(F) \cap U_1| \leq q|J| + (q - |J|)\deg_{x_2}(F), \tag{4.3}$$

where $V_Y(F) = \{P \in Y : F(P) = 0\}$ and $U_1 = \{[x_1 : x_2 : x_3] \in Y : x_1 = 1\}$.

Consider now the following general description of a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d = d_0b + r_0$, where $0 \leq r_0 < b$:

$$F(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^\ell \prod_{y_3 \in J} (y_3x_1^b - x_3)F'(x_1, x_2, x_3) \text{ where } F' = x_1F_1 + F_2,$$

and $F_2(x_2, x_3)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d - \ell - |J|b$ with $x_1 \nmid F_2$. Let us estimate the roots of F with $x_1 = 0$.

If $\ell > 0$, then there are $q + 1$ roots of F with $x_1 = 0$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |V_Y(F)| &\leq q + 1 + q|J| + (q - |J|)\deg_{x_2}(F) \\ &\leq q + 1 + q|J| + (q - |J|)(d - \ell - |J|b). \\ &= q + 1 + q(d - \ell) + |J|(q - qb - d + \ell + |J|b) \\ &\leq q + 1 + q(d - \ell) \leq qd + 1, \end{aligned}$$

since as we prove now $q - qb - d + \ell + |J|b \leq 0$ is satisfied: if $b = 1$, then we have

$$q - qb - d + \ell + |J|b = \ell - d + |J| \leq 0;$$

and if $b \geq 2$, then $q + \ell \leq 2q \leq qb$ yielding the following

$$q - qb - d + \ell + |J|b \leq q - qb - d + \ell + d_0b \leq q - qb + \ell \leq 0.$$

If $\ell = 0$, then $F(0, y_2, 1) = 0$ implies $F'(0, y_2, 1) = 0$, i.e. $F' \in I([0 : y_2 : 1])$. By [19, Proposition 3.4], we have

$$x_1F_1 + F_2 = F' \in I([0 : y_2 : 1]) = \langle x_1, x_2^b - y_2^b x_3\rangle$$

and thus $x_2^b - y_2^b x_3$ is a factor of F_2, when $y_2 \neq 0$. Furthermore, F_2 can have at most $d_0 - |J|$ such factors, since there are at most d_0b in d, and $\deg_{x_2}(F_2) = d - |J|b$. Hence, there are at most $d_0 - |J|$ roots of the form $[0 : y_2 : 1]$. In this case, we have

$$F(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \prod_{y_3 \in J} (y_3x_1^b - x_3)[x_1F_1 + x_2^{r_0} \prod_{y_2=1}^{d_0-|J|} (x_2^b - y_2^b x_3)].$$

But $I([0 : 0 : 1]) = \langle x_1, x_2\rangle$ and when $r_0 > 0$, $F'(0, 0, 1) = 0$.
When $J \neq \emptyset$, the point $[0 : 1 : 0]$ is also a root since $y_3x_1^b - x_3$ is a factor of F.

Altogether, we have at most $2 + d_0 - |J|$ roots with $x_1 = 0$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} |V_Y(F)| & \leq & 1 + d_0 + 1 - |J| + q|J| + (q - |J|)\deg_{x_2}(F) \\ & \leq & 1 + d_0 + q|J| + (q - |J|)(d - |J|b) \\ & = & 1 + d_0 + qd + |J|(q - qb - d + |J|b) \\ & \leq & 1 + qd + |J|(d_0 + q - qb - d + |J|b) \\ & \leq & qd + 1, \end{array}$$

since $b > 1$ implies $d_0 + q \leq qb$ and so we have $d_0 + q - qb - d + |J|b \leq 0$.
Let $J = \emptyset$. Since $\deg_{x_2}(F) \leq d$, F can have at most qd roots of type $[1 : y_2 : y_3]$ by (4.3). Next, we count the number of roots with $x_1 = 0$. If $\deg_{x_2}(F) = d$, then x_2^d would appear in F so $F \notin I(0, 1, 0) = \langle x_1, x_3\rangle$. Hence, $F(0, 1, 0) \neq 0$. By the same reason F can not be in $I(0, y_2, 1) = \langle x_1, y_2^b x_3 - x_2^b\rangle$ for any $y_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Therefore, the only root of F could be $[0 : 0 : 1]$ when $x_1 = 0$. All together F can have at most $qd + 1$ roots. If $\deg_{x_2}(F) \leq d - 1$, then by (4.3), F can have at most $q(d - 1)$ roots with $x_1 = 1$. Since F can have at most $q + 1$ roots with $x_1 = 0$, we have

$$|V_Y(F)| \leq q(d - 1) + q + 1 = qd + 1.$$

Hence, in any case we get $|V_Y(F)| \leq qd + 1$ for $\ell = 0$.

Consider now the polynomial

$$F_0(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \prod_{y_2=1}^{d} (x_2 - y_2 x_1) \in S_d.$$

F_0 vanishes at $[1 : y_2 : y_3]$ for d of $y_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $y_3 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and therefore it has qd roots. Also, $F_0(0, x_2, y_3) = x_2^d = 0$ implies $x_2 = 0$, i.e. it has only one root $[0 : 0 : 1]$ when $x_1 = 0$. Thus, F_0 has exactly $qd + 1$ roots. Therefore, we get finally the following equality,

$$\delta = N - (qd + 1) = q^2 + q + 1 - qd - 1 = q(q - d + 1),$$

as desired.

Case V: $\mathbf{b \leq q \leq d}$: Set $d = q + kb + r$ with $0 \leq r < b$. A non-zero $F \in S_d$, is of the form

$$F = x_1^\ell \prod_{y_3 \in J} (y_3 x_1^b - x_3) F'(x_1, x_2, x_3) \tag{4.4}$$

for a subset $J \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$ as in Case IV and for a homogeneous polynomial F' of degree $\mu = d - \ell - |J|b$.

Let $0 \leq |J| \leq k$. Using the facts that $f_3 = x_1^q x_2 - x_1 x_2^q \in I(Y)$ and $|V_Y(F)| = |V_Y(\bar{F})|$ whenever $F - \bar{F} \in I(Y)$, we can replace $x_1 x_2^q$ with $x_1^q x_2$ in F and assume that F has no term divisible by $x_1 x_2^q$. If $\ell > 0$, x_1 divides F and so $\deg_{x_2}(F) < q$ yielding that the univariate polynomial $f(x_2) := F(1, x_2, y_3) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_2] \setminus \{0\}$ has at most $q - 1$ roots, for each $y_3 \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus J$. If $\ell = 0$ and $f(x_2)$ has q roots $y_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$, then $x_2 - y_2$ divides f, meaning that $\deg_{x_2}(F) \geq q$ since $x_2 - y_2$ could have been obtained from $x_2 - y_2 x_1$ or $y_3 x_2^b - y_2^b x_3$ dividing F. Writing $F' = x_1 F_1 + F_2$ with $x_1 \nmid F_2$, we observe that $F_1 = 0$ as $x_2 - y_2$ divides f for all $y_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and F has no term divisible by $x_1 x_2^q$. So $x_2 - y_2 x_1$ can not divide $F' = F_2$ when $y_2 \neq 0$ implying that $y_3 x_2^b - y_2^b x_3$ divides F' for all $y_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Hence, we get

$$d - |J|b = \deg_{x_2}(F) = \deg_{x_2}(F') \geq (q - 1)(q - |J|)b,$$

since there are $q-|J|$ such $y_3 \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus J$. It follows from $k \leq q-2$ and $r<b$ that

$$\begin{aligned} q+(q-1)b > d = q+kb+r &\geq |J|b+(q-1)(q-|J|)b \\ &= 2|J|b+q^2b-q|J|b-qb \\ &= (2|J|+q^2-q|J|-q)b \\ &= (2-q)|J|b+q(q-1)b. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have $q>(2-q)|J|b+(q(q-1)-(q-1))b=(2-q)|J|b+(q-1)^2b$. Thus, $q+(q-2)|J|b>(q-1)^2b$. Since $0 \leq |J| \leq k \leq q-2$, we have

$$q+(q-2)^2b>(q-1)^2b \Rightarrow q>(q-1)^2b-(q-2)^2b=(2q-3)b$$

As $b \geq 2$, we get $q>(2q-3)b \geq 4q-6$ leading to the contradiction that $2>q$. Thus, f can have at most $q-1$ roots. Therefore, if $0 \leq |J| \leq k$, then we get the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} |V_Y(F)| &\leq q+1+q|J|+(q-|J|)(q-1) \\ &\leq q+1+q|J|+q^2-q-q|J|+|J| \\ &\leq q^2+k+1. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $|J|>k$ then we can write $|J|=k+j_0$ with $j_0 \geq 1$. Let us consider the case $\ell>0$ first. In this case, $|J|<q$, for if $|J|=q$ we have $F \in I(Y)$ since the following equality holds

$$|V_Y(F)|=q+1+q|J|+(q-|J|)\deg_{x_2}(F)=q^2+q+1.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d-\ell-b|J| &= d-\ell-bk-b(j_0-1+1) \\ &= q+r-b-b(j_0-1)-\ell \quad (\text{ as } d-bk=q+r) \\ &\leq q-1-\ell-b(j_0-1) \quad (\text{ as } r-b \leq -1) \\ &\leq q-2-b(j_0-1) \quad (\text{ as } -\ell \leq -1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have,

$$\begin{aligned} |V_Y(F)| &\leq q+1+q|J|+(q-|J|)(d-\ell-|J|b) \\ &\leq q+1+q|J|+(q-|J|)(q-2-b(j_0-1)) \\ &= q+1+q|J|+q^2-2q-qbj_0+qb-q|J|+2|J|+bj_0|J|-b|J| \\ &= q+1+q^2-2q-qb(j_0-1)+2|J|+b|J|(j_0-1) \\ &= q^2+1-q+(j_0-1)b(|J|-q)+2|J|+k-k \\ &= q^2+k+1+(j_0-1)b(|J|-q)+|J|-k+|J|-q \\ &\leq q^2+k+1+(j_0-1)b(-1)+j_0-1 \quad (\text{ as } |J|-q \leq -1.) \\ &= q^2+k+1-(j_0-1)(b+1) \\ &\leq q^2+k+1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $|J| > k$ and $\ell = 0$. As $|J| = k + j_0$ where $j_0 \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d - bj &= d - bk - bj_0 \\ &= q + r - b(j_0 + 1 - 1) \\ &= q + r - b - b(j_0 - 1) \\ &\leq q - 1 - b(j_0 - 1) \end{aligned}$$

in this case which yields

$$\begin{aligned} |V_Y(F)| &\leq q + 1 + q|J| + (q - |J|)(q - 1 - b(j_0 - 1)) \\ &= q + 1 + q|J| + q^2 - q - q|J| + |J| + (|J| - q)b(j_0 - 1) + k - k \\ &= q^2 + k + 1 + (|J| - q)b(j_0 - 1) + |J| - k \\ &\leq q^2 + k + 1 - b(j_0 - 1) + j_0 \quad (\text{ if } |J| - q \leq -1) \\ &\leq q^2 + k + 1 \quad (\text{ if } j_0 \geq 2 \text{ as } b \geq 2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence it remains to prove the same inequality when $j_0 = 1$ or $|J| = q$. Let $j_0 = 1$ so we have $|J| = k + 1$. Recall that the number of roots of the form $[0 : y_2 : 1]$ with $y_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ is at most $d_0 - |J| = d_0 - k - 1 < q - 1$ since $d_0 < k + q$. Including $[0 : 1 : 0]$ and $[0 : 0 : 1]$, there are at most q roots with $x_1 = 0$. So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |V_Y(F)| &\leq q + q(k + 1) + (q - (k + 1))(d - b(k + 1)) \\ &\leq q + qk + q + (q - k - 1)(q - 1) \quad (\text{ as } q + r - b \leq q - 1) \\ &\leq q + qk + q + q^2 - q - qk + k - q + 1 = q^2 + k + 1. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $|J| = q$, then clearly we have

$$\begin{aligned} |V_Y(F)| &\leq 2 + d_0 - |J| + q|J| + (q - |J|)(d - |J|b) \\ &= 2 + d_0 - q + q^2 \\ &\leq q^2 + k + 1 \quad (\text{ as } d_0 < k + q) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for all ℓ and for all $|J|$ we have $|V_Y(F)| \leq q^2 + k + 1$. Consider now the following polynomial of degree $d = q + kb + r$ with $0 \leq r < b$,

$$F_0(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^{r+1} \prod_{y_3=1}^{k} (y_3 x_1^b - x_3) \prod_{y_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} (x_2 - y_2 x_1) \in S_d.$$

F_0 vanishes at $[1 : y_2 : y_3]$ for k of y_3 and for all $y_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ as well as it vanishes at $[1 : y_2 : y_3]$ for the remaining $q - k$ of y_3 and for all $y_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. So it has $qk + (q - 1)(q - k) = q^2 - q + k$ roots. In addition, since $r + 1 \geq 1$, F_0 vanishes at $[0 : y_2 : 1]$ for all $y_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and at $[0 : 1 : 0]$. In total, F_0 has exactly $q^2 + k + 1$ roots.

Therefore, we get finally the following equality,

$$\delta = N - (q^2 + k + 1) = q^2 + q + 1 - q^2 - k - 1 = q - k,$$

as desired.

Case VI: $\mathbf{q < b \leq d}$: The argument used in the proof of the previous situation applies here since the proof is independent of whether q is greater or less than b and we get $\delta = q - k$, as desired.

Case VII: $\mathbf{d = q + kb + r}$ with $\mathbf{0 \leq r < b}$ and $\mathbf{k \geq q - 1}$:

$$F_0 = x_1^{\ell_0} \prod_{y_3 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} (y_3 x_1^b - x_3) \prod_{y_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} (y_2 x_1 - x_2) \in S_d$$

vanishes exactly at $q^2 + q = q + 1 + (q-1)q + (q-1)$ points, since the power $l_0 := d - q - (q-1)b + 1 \geq r + 1 \geq 1$. This means that the corresponding codeword $ev_Y(F_0)$ will have weight 1. $\square$

Remark 4.3. *Conjecture 2.3 of* [2] *states an upper bound on* $|V_Y(F)|$ *for the set* $Y = \mathbb{P}(1, w_1, \ldots, w_n)(\mathbb{F}_q)$ *and for any homogeneous polynomial of degree* d *which is a multiple of* $\operatorname{lcm}(w_1, \ldots, w_n)$ *proving it in the case where* $m = 2$ *in Theorem 2.4. This is done in Theorem 4.2 in Case* 4 *with* $r_0 = 0$.

4.1. **Examples for the Main Parameters.** In this subsection, we present tables showing the main parameters of the codes over the weighted projective spaces $X = \mathbb{P}(1, 1, b)$. In this part our aim is to illustrate the work done throughout this article and to provide an opportunity to compare the obtained codes with the records at http://codetables.de/ (see [9]). The degrees shown in red in the tables show the first elements of the regularity sets. The degrees indicated in blue in the tables show that the size of a code at every b degree is equal to the length of the code.

TABLE 4. The Main Parameters of the codes on $Y = \mathbb{P}(1, 1, b)(\mathbb{F}_2)$

b	**Degree**	**N**	**K**	δ	**b**	**Degree**	**N**	**K**	δ	**b**	**Degree**	**N**	**K**	δ
$b=2$	$d=2$	7	4	2	$b=5$	$d=2$	7	3	2	$b=7$	$d=2$	7	3	2
	$d=3$	7	5	2		$d=3$	7	3	2		$d=3$	7	3	2
	$d=4$	7	7	1		$d=4$	7	3	2		$d=4$	7	3	2
	$d=5$	7	6	1		$d=5$	7	4	2		$d=5$	7	3	2
	$d=6$	7	7	1		$d=6$	7	5	1		$d=6$	7	3	2
	$d=7$	7	6	1		$d=7$	7	6	1		$d=7$	7	4	1
	$d=8$	7	7	1		$d=8$	7	6	1		$d=8$	7	5	1
	$d=9$	7	6	1		$d=9$	7	6	1		$d=9$	7	6	1
	$d=10$	7	7	1		$d=10$	7	7	1		$d=10$	7	6	1
	$d=11$	7	6	1		$d=11$	7	6	1		$d=11$	7	6	1
	$d=12$	7	7	1		$d=12$	7	6	1		$d=12$	7	6	1
	$d=13$	7	6	1		$d=13$	7	6	1		$d=13$	7	6	1
	$d=14$	7	7	1		$d=14$	7	6	1		$d=14$	7	7	1
	$d=15$	7	6	1		$d=15$	7	7	1		$d=15$	7	6	1

Table 5. The Main Parameters of the codes on $Y = \mathbb{P}(1,1,b)(\mathbb{F}_5)$

b	**Degree**	**N**	**K**	δ	**b**	**Degree**	**N**	**K**	δ	**b**	**Degree**	**N**	**K**	δ
$b=2$	$d=2$	31	4	20	$b=5$	$d=2$	31	3	20	$b=7$	$d=2$	31	3	20
	$d=3$	31	6	15		$d=3$	31	4	15		$d=3$	31	4	15
	$d=4$	31	9	10		$d=4$	31	5	10		$d=4$	31	5	10
	$d=5$	31	12	5		$d=5$	31	7	5		$d=5$	31	6	5
	$d=6$	31	15	5		$d=6$	31	8	5		$d=6$	31	6	5
	$d=7$	31	18	4		$d=7$	31	9	5		$d=7$	31	7	5
	$d=8$	31	21	4		$d=8$	31	10	5		$d=8$	31	8	5
	$d=9$	31	24	3		$d=9$	31	11	5		$d=9$	31	9	5
	$d=10$	31	27	3		$d=10$	31	13	4		$d=10$	31	10	5
	$d=11$	31	28	2		$d=11$	31	14	4		$d=11$	31	11	5
	$d=12$	31	30	2		$d=12$	31	15	4		$d=12$	31	12	4
	$d=13$	31	30	1		$d=13$	31	16	4		$d=13$	31	12	4
	$d=14$	31	31	1		$d=14$	31	17	4		$d=14$	31	13	4
	$d=15$	31	30	1		$d=15$	31	19	3		$d=15$	31	14	4
	$d=16$	31	31	1		$d=16$	31	20	3		$d=16$	31	15	4
	$d=17$	31	30	1		$d=17$	31	21	3		$d=17$	31	16	4
	$d=18$	31	31	1		$d=18$	31	22	3		$d=18$	31	17	4
	$d=19$	31	30	1		$d=19$	31	23	3		$d=19$	31	18	3
	$d=20$	31	31	1		$d=20$	31	25	2		$d=20$	31	18	3
	$d=21$	31	30	1		$d=21$	31	26	2		$d=21$	31	19	3
	$d=22$	31	31	1		$d=22$	31	27	2		$d=22$	31	20	3
	$d=23$	31	30	1		$d=23$	31	28	2		$d=23$	31	21	3
	$d=24$	31	31	1		$d=24$	31	29	2		$d=24$	31	22	3
	$d=25$	31	30	1		$d=25$	31	31	1		$d=25$	31	23	3
	$d=26$	31	31	1		$d=26$	31	30	1		$d=26$	31	24	2
	$d=27$	31	30	1		$d=27$	31	30	1		$d=27$	31	24	2
	$d=28$	31	31	1		$d=28$	31	30	1		$d=28$	31	25	2
	$d=29$	31	30	1		$d=29$	31	30	1		$d=29$	31	26	2
	$d=30$	31	31	1		$d=30$	31	31	1		$d=30$	31	27	2
	$d=31$	31	30	1		$d=31$	31	30	1		$d=31$	31	28	2
	$d=32$	31	31	1		$d=32$	31	30	1		$d=32$	31	29	2
	$d=33$	31	30	1		$d=33$	31	30	1		$d=33$	31	30	1
	$d=34$	31	31	1		$d=34$	31	30	1		$d=34$	31	30	1
	$d=35$	31	30	1		$d=35$	31	31	1		$d=35$	31	31	1

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Jade Nardi for her useful comments on the manuscript. The authors are supported by the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TÜBİTAK) under Project No: 119F177. The first author is supported by TÜBİTAK-2211/A. This article is part of the first author's Ph.D. thesis under the supervision of the second author.

References

[1] Yves Aubry. Reed-Muller codes associated to projective algebraic varieties. In *Coding theory and algebraic geometry (Luminy, 1991)*, volume 1518 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 4–17. Springer, Berlin, 1992.

[2] Yves Aubry, Wouter Castryck, Sudhir R. Ghorpade, Gilles Lachaud, Michael E. O'Sullivan, and Samrith Ram. Hypersurfaces in weighted projective spaces over finite fields with applications to coding theory. In *Algebraic geometry for coding theory and cryptography*, volume 9 of *Assoc. Women Math. Ser.*, pages 25–61. Springer, Cham, 2017.

[3] Daniele Bartoli, Adnen Sboui, and Leo Storme. Bounds on the number of rational points of algebraic hypersurfaces over finite fields, with applications to projective Reed-Muller codes. *Adv. Math. Commun.*, 10(2):355–365, 2016.

[4] Mauro Beltrametti and Lorenzo Robbiano. Introduction to the theory of weighted projective spaces. *Exposition. Math.*, 4(2):111–162, 1986.

[5] Winfried Bruns and Jürgen Herzog. *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, volume 39 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.

[6] David A Buchsbaum and David Eisenbud. What makes a complex exact? *Journal of Algebra*, 25(2):259–268, 1973.

[7] Eduardo Dias and Jorge Neves. Codes over a weighted torus. *Finite Fields Appl.*, 33:66–79, 2015.

[8] Olav Geil and Casper Thomsen. Weighted Reed-Muller codes revisited. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 66(1-3):195–220, 2013.

[9] Markus Grassl. Bounds on the minimum distance of linear codes and quantum codes. Online available at `http://www.codetables.de`, 2007. Accessed on 2023-06-12.

[10] Daniel R. Grayson and Michael E. Stillman. Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry.

[11] Gilles Lachaud. Projective Reed-Muller codes. In *Coding theory and applications (Cachan, 1986)*, volume 311 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 125–129. Springer, Berlin, 1988.

[12] Gilles Lachaud. The parameters of projective Reed-Muller codes. *Discrete Math.*, 81(2):217–221, 1990.

[13] Ezra Miller and Bernd Sturmfels. *Combinatorial commutative algebra*, volume 227 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.

[14] Jade Nardi. Algebraic geometric codes on minimal Hirzebruch surfaces. *J. Algebra*, 535:556–597, 2019.

[15] Jade Nardi. Projective toric codes. *Int. J. Number Theory*, 18(1):179–204, 2022.

[16] Anders Bjært Sørensen. Projective Reed-Muller codes. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 37(6):1567–1576, 1991.

[17] Anders Bjært Sørensen. Weighted Reed-Muller codes and algebraic-geometric codes. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 38(6):1821–1826, 1992.

[18] Mesut Şahin. Toric codes and lattice ideals. *Finite Fields Appl.*, 52:243–260, 2018.

[19] Mesut Şahin. Computing vanishing ideals for toric codes, 2022, https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.01061.

Department of Mathematics, Hacettepe University, Ankara, TURKEY
Email address: `yagmur.cakiroglu@hacettepe.edu.tr`

Department of Mathematics, Hacettepe University, Ankara, TURKEY
Email address: `mesut.sahin@hacettepe.edu.tr`