COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON WEIGHTED L^p SPACES OF A TREE

HAN XU AND XIAOYAN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the boundedness, compactness and Schatten class membership of composition operators on the weighted L^p-space of a tree $L^p_\lambda(T)$ with $1 \le p < \infty$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let S be a non-empty set and X be a Banach space consisting of complex-valued functions on S, given a self map φ of S, we define a linear operator C_φ by

$$C_\varphi f(x) = f(\varphi(x))$$

for all $x \in S$ and $f \in X$, then this linear operator is called a composition operator with the symbol φ on X.

Composition operators have been well-studied in classical spaces of analytic functions, and many books and articles relevant to this subject are available. In [15], composition operators on the Bergman space A^p and the Hardy space H^p of the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ on the complex plane have been discussed in detail . Recently, there are many relevant researches of composition operators on spaces derived from these classical function spaces, such as the study of composition operators on Fock-type space in [1] and composition operators on weighted Dirichlet spaces D^p_α in [2]. Also, there are excellent books about composition operators which interested readers can refer to [3, 4, 6].

In recent years, the study of composition operators has got into a wider realm. In [8], motivated by the interest in the study of operators on discrete structures, Colonna and Easley firstly defined the Lipschitz spaces of an infinite rooted tree, which can be viewed as a complete metric space with respect to the edge-countable metric. As defined, this space is the natural discrete analogue of the Bloch space, and Colonna et al. studied composition operators on this space in [5]. Operators on this space have been furthered studied and detailed information can be founded in [7]. More recently, Muthukumar and Ponnusamy defined a discrete analogue of generalized Hardy space on homogenous trees in [9] and carried out the study of composition operators on this discrete analogue of generalized Hardy space in [10].

Besides the discrete analogue of Bloch space and generalized Hardy space, there are other natural spaces of functions on an infinite tree. The first is the space of bounded functions, denoted L^∞, which is a Banach space and can be viewed as the discrete analogue to the space of bounded analytic functions H^∞. The second is the space of functions on trees which is p-summable $(1 \le p < \infty)$, denoted L^p. This space is also a Banach space and can be regarded as the discrete analogue of Bergman space A^p on the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$. Both two spaces can be extended into weighted Banach spaces, denoted by L^∞_λ and L^p_λ , respectively. For the weighted Banach spaces L^∞_λ, multiplication operators and composition operators have been studied in [11] and [12], respectively. In [14], shift operators on weighted L^p spaces of directed trees has been studied, which can be viewed as special composition operators on a discrete structure.

In this paper, we mainly investigate the elementary properties of composition operators on a given weighted L^p space of a tree (denoted by $L^p_\lambda(T)$). We associate the properties of composition operators to the properties of weight function and the symbol. These properties, such as boundedness, compactness, or being an isometry, ect, occupy an important position in operator theory, we conclude that these properties are

---

Date: March 21, 2023.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B33, 05C05.

Key words and phrases. composition operators; weighted L^p spaces; tree.

determined by the interaction of the weight λ and the symbol φ. In Section 2, we include some definitions and lemmas, which are needed for our further research. In Section 3, we consider the boundedness of the composition operator C_φ on $L^p_\lambda(T)$, and establish a necessary and sufficient condition for C_φ to be an isometry. In Section 4, we discuss the compactness of composition operators and obtain an equivalent condition for C_φ to be compact. In the end, we study the Schatten p-class of the Hilbert space $L^2_\lambda(T)$. We will explore this problem in three cases: $1 \le p < 2$, $p = 2$ and $p > 2$, and give some necessary conditions and sufficient conditions on the symbol φ and the weight $\{\lambda(v)\}_{v\in T}$ such that C_φ belongs to S_p in each case.

2. PRELIMINARY AND LEMMAS

Before we begin, we need to give the elementary definitions and notations about graphs, we refer interested readers to [13] for more detailed information. A graph $G = (V, E)$ contains a countably-infinite set V and a subset E of $V \times V$, which are called the set of vertices of G and the set of edges of G, respectively. In usual, we do not distinguish between a graph and its vertices, we write $v \in G$ instead of $v \in V$. Two vertices in a graph are neighbors if $(u, v) \in E$ or $(v, u) \in E$ (denoted by $u \sim v$ or $v \sim u$), and the number of neighbours of a vertex u is called the degree of u, which is denoted by $deg(u)$. We say a graph is locally finite if $deg(u) < \infty$ for each $u \in G$.

Let u, v be two vertices in G, if there exist $(n+1)$ distinct vertices satisfying $u = u_0 \sim u_1 \sim u_2 \sim \cdots \sim u_n = v$, then we call this finite vertices a path of length n joining u and v. If this path containing an additional edge (v, u), then it is called a cycle. A graph G is connected if there exists a path between any two of its vertices. A tree T is a locally finite and connected graph without cycles. That is to say, for each pair of vertices of T, we can find a unique path connecting them. The number of elements of the set $\{v \in T : (v, u) \in E\}$ is called the indegree of the vertex u. If $u \in T$ and its indegree is zero, we say it is a root of the tree T and denote it by o. A tree T having a fixed root is called a rooted tree.

Let T be a rooted tree, we denote the length of the unique path joining two vertices $u, v \in T$ by $d(u, v)$, and we use the notation $|v|$ to denote the distance between the vertex v and the root o. It is easy to see that a tree T is a metric space with this length. A terminal of T is a vertex of T which has only one neighbour. In this article, all the trees we discuss will be rooted at o and have no terminal vertices. Without special instruction, all the tree in the following context are of this form.

When we mention a function defined on a tree T, we mean it is a function defined on its vertices which maps vertices of the tree T into the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. Recall the definitions of L^p space and the weighted L^p space of a tree given in [14, Definitions 2.5], we give the definitions of the spaces we are dealing with.

Definition 2.1. *Let $1 \le p < \infty$ and T be a tree. We denote by $L^p(T)$ the space of complex-valued functions $f : V \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying*

$$\sum_{v\in T} |f(v)|^p < \infty.$$

In contrast to the Bergman space A^p defined on the unit disk of complex plane, $L^p(T)$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|f\|_p = \Big(\sum\limits_{v\in T} |f(v)|^p\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Given a tree T, suppose λ is a nonnegative function on T, then we can regard the countable set $\{\lambda(v) \in \mathbb{R}_+ : v \in T\}$, indexed by T, as a sequence and denote λ by $\{\lambda(v)\}_{v\in T}$. We generalize $L^p(T)$ space into the weighed L^p $(1 \le p < \infty)$ space of a tree in the next definition.

Definition 2.2. *Let $1 \le p < \infty$ and $\{\lambda(v)\}_{v\in T}$ be a nonnegative function of a tree T, the weighted L^p space on T, denoted by $L^p_\lambda(T)$, is defined as the collection of functions f on T satisfying*

$$\sum_{v\in T} |f(v)|^p \lambda(v) < \infty.$$

Let $f \in L^p_\lambda(T)$, we can endow it with the norm

$$\|f\|_p = \Big[\sum_{v\in T} |f(v)|^p \lambda(v)\Big]^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

it turns out that $L^p_\lambda(T)$ is also a Banach space with this norm. In the case of $p = 2$, $L^2_\lambda(T)$ is a separable Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle f, g\rangle = \sum_{v\in T} f(v)g(v)\lambda(v)$$

for any $f, g \in L^2_\lambda(T)$. Clearly, if the nonnegative function $\{\lambda(v)\}_{v\in T}$ is a constant, then $L^p_\lambda(T)$ is identical to $L^p(T)$.

There are several properties of $L^p_\lambda(T)$, we give them as the following lemmas, which are needed in the following sections.

Lemma 2.3. *For a fixed vertex v in T, the normalized characterized function $f_v(w) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(v)}\chi_v(w)$ is a unit vector of $L^p_\lambda(T)$. Moreover, let $\{v_n\}$ be a sequence of vertices in T such that $|v_n| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ and $f_n(w) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(v_n)}\chi_{v_n}(w)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence of functions which is bounded and converges to zero pointwisely.*

Proof. By the definition of the norm on $L^p_\lambda(T)$, we have

$$\|f_v\|_p^p = \sum_{w\in T} |f_v(w)|^p \lambda(w) = \sum_{w\in T} \left|\frac{\chi_v(w)}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(v)}\right|^p \lambda(w) = \sum_{w\in T} \frac{\chi_v(w)}{\lambda(v)} \cdot \lambda(w) = 1,$$

thus $\|f_v\|_p = 1$ and it is a unit vector in $L^p_\lambda(T)$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\|f_n\|_p = 1$, then $\{f_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in $L^p_\lambda(T)$. Let v be a fixed vertex in T, we need to show that the sequence $\{f_n(v)\}$ converges to zero. Suppose $v \neq v_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $f_n(v) = 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, clearly, $\{f_n(v)\}$ converges to zero. We may assume $v = v_j$ for some positive integer j, then for all $n > j$, we have $f_n(v) = 0$, which implies $\{f_n(v)\}$ converges to zero in these vertices. Hence f_n is a bounded sequence converging to zero pointwisely. □

The following lemma implies that point evaluation functionals on $L^p_\lambda(T)$ are bounded.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $1 \le p < \infty$, suppose that v is a fixed vertex of T and K_v is a functional on $L^p_\lambda(T)$ defined by $K_v(f) = f(v)$ for each $f \in L^p_\lambda(T)$. Then K_v is bounded and $\|K_v\| \le \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(v)}$.*

Proof. Noting that

$$\|f\|_p^p = \sum_{w\in T} |f(w)|^p \lambda(w) \ge |f(v)|^p \lambda(v) = |K_v(f)|^p \lambda(v),$$

thus $|K_v(f)| \le \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(v)}\|f\|_p$ for $f \in L^p_\lambda(T)$, it follows that K_v is bounded and $\|K_v\| \le \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(v)}$, as desired. □

We will discuss the Schatten p-class of $L^p_\lambda(T)$ in the final part. It is necessary to introduce elementary definitions and lemmas about it, which can be seen in chapter 1 of [15]. Let H be a separable Hilbert space and A be a compact operator of H, then we can find two orthonormal sets $\{e_n\}$ and $\{\sigma_n\}$ in H so that

$$Ax = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n \langle x, e_n\rangle \sigma_n, \ x \in H.$$

The coefficient μ_n is determined by the compact operator A and we call it the n-th singular value of A.

Let $1 \le p < \infty$, the Schatten p-class of H (denoted by $S_p(H)$ or simply S_p), is defined to be the collection of all compact operators A on H such that $\sum\limits_{n=1}^{+\infty} |\mu_n|^p < \infty$, *i.e.*, its singular value sequence $\{\mu_n\} \in l^p(\mathbb{N})$. It can be seen that the space S_p is a closed subspace of $l^p(\mathbb{N})$ and actually is a Banach space when it endows with the norm

$$\|A\|_{S_p} = \Big[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu_n|^p\Big]^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where $\{\mu_n\}$ is the singular value sequence of A.

We point out, in usual, the space S_1 is called the trace class and S_2 is called the Hilbert-Schmidt class. More details are available in [15].

Here, we list several lemmas which are used in Section 4. The following lemma comes from [15, Theorem 1.22] and gives an equivalent condition for a compact operator $A \in S_2$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let H be a separable Hilbert space and A be a compact operator with singular values $\{\mu_n\}$, then for any orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}$ of H,*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu_n|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|Ae_n\|^2 = \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} |\langle Ae_n, e_m\rangle|^2.$$

The following lemma derived from [15, Theorem 1.24], it gives a necessary condition for a compact operator A belongs to S_1 and will be used in the Section 4.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $A \in S_1$ and $\{e_n\}$ be any orthonormal basis of H, then the series $\sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle Ae_k, e_k\rangle$ converges absolutely and the sum is independent of the choices of the orthonormal basis.*

The next two lemmas are from [15, Proposition 1.29] and [15, Theorem 1.33]. We will apply them to give a sufficient condition for $C_\varphi \in S_p$ $(1 \le p < 2)$ and a necessary condition for $C_\varphi \in S_p$ $(p \ge 2)$.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $1 \le p \le 2$ and A be a compact operator on a separable Hilbert space H. Then*

$$\|A\|_{S_p}^p \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\langle Ae_n, e_k\rangle|^p$$

for any orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}$ of H.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $p \ge 2$ and A be a compact operator on H. Then A is in S_p if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|Te_n\|^p < +\infty$$

for all orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}$ in H. Moreover,

$$\|A\|_p = \sup\Big\{\Big[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|Ae_n\|^p\Big]^{\frac{1}{p}} : \{e_n\} \text{ is the orthonormal basis}\Big\}.$$

3. BOUNDEDNESS, OPERATOR NORM AND ISOMETRY

Let T be a tree and φ be a self-map of T. we define

$$\beta_\varphi = \sup_{v\in T} \frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))}.$$

The quantity β_φ reveals the interaction between the weight λ and the symbol φ, and it will be used in characterizing the boundedness of C_φ. In this section, beginning with the boundedness of composition operators, we give an equivalent condition for C_φ to be bounded when φ is injective and determine its operator norm, and then we proceed to explore a necessary and sufficient condition for $\mathbb{C}_\varphi$ to be an isometry.

Theorem 3.1. *Let T be a tree and φ be an injective self-map on T. Then C_φ is bounded on $L^p_\lambda(T)$ if and only if β_φ is finite. Furthermore, $\|C_\varphi\| = \beta_\varphi^{\frac{1}{p}}$.*

Proof. Assume that C_φ is bounded. Given a vertex w_0 in $\varphi(T)$, we define a function f_{w_0} by $f_{w_0}(v) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(w_0)}\chi_{w_0}(v)$ for v in T, then $f_{w_0} \in L^p_\lambda(T)$ and $\|f_{w_0}\|_p = 1$ by Lemma 2.3. Since the self-map φ is injective, there is a unique vertex v_0 in T such that $\varphi(v_o) = w_0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|C_\varphi f_{w_0}\|_p^p &= \sum_{v\in T} |f_{w_0}(\varphi(v))|^p\lambda(v)\\ &= \sum_{v\in T} \left|\frac{\chi_{w_0}(\varphi(v))}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(w_0)}\right|^p\lambda(v)\\ &= \sum_{v\in T}\frac{\chi_{w_0}(\varphi(v))\lambda(v)}{\lambda(w_0)}\\ &= \sum_{\varphi(v)=w_0}\frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(w_0)}\\ &= \frac{\lambda(v_0)}{\lambda(\varphi(v_0))},\end{aligned}$$

it follows that $\|C_\varphi\|^p \geq \|C_\varphi f_{w_0}\|_p^p = \frac{\lambda(v_0)}{\lambda(\varphi(v_0))}$ for arbitrary of $v_0 \in T$, we obtain that

$$\beta_\varphi = \sup_{v\in T}\frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))} \leq \|C_\varphi\|^p < \infty,$$

thus β_φ is finite and $\|C_\varphi\| \geq \beta_\varphi^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

Conversely, we assume that β_φ is finite. For any vector $f \in L^p_\lambda(T)$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\|C_\varphi f\|_p^p &= \sum_{v\in T}|f(\varphi(v))|^p\lambda(v)\\ &= \sum_{v\in T}|f(\varphi(v))|^p\lambda(\varphi(v))\frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))}\\ &\leq \sup_{v\in T}\frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))}\sum_{v\in T}|f(\varphi(v))|^p\lambda(\varphi(v))\\ &\leq \sup_{v\in T}\frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))}\sum_{w\in T}|f(w))|^p\lambda(w)\\ &= \beta_\varphi\|f\|_p^p,\end{aligned}$$

then we have C_φ is bounded and $\|C_\varphi\|^p \leq \beta_\varphi$. We conclude that C_φ is bounded if and only if β_φ is finite when the symbol φ is injective, moreover, $\|C_\varphi\| = \beta_\varphi^{\frac{1}{p}}$. ☐

Using Theorem 3.1, we immediately get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose T is a tree and the weight λ is a constant. Then the composition operator C_φ induced by injective self-map φ is bounded on $L^p(T)$. Moreover, $\|C_\varphi\| = 1$.*

Motivated by the preceding corollary, one may question whether every injective self-map induces a bounded composition operator. Before continuing our research, we consider the following example.

Example 3.3. *Suppose the weighted function λ is defined by $\lambda(v) = \frac{1}{1+|v|}$. It is evident that λ tends to zero as $|v|$ tend to infinity. We define an injective self-map φ mapping a vertex v to a vertex with length $|v|^2$, this can be done since the number of elements in the subset $\{w \in T : |w| = |v|^2\}$ is larger than the subset $\{w \in T : |w| = |v|\}$. Choose a sequence of vertex $\{v_n\}$ satisfying that $|v_n|$ tends to infinity as n*

tends to infinity. Since $\frac{\lambda(v_n)}{\lambda(\varphi(v_n))} = \frac{1+|\varphi(v_n)|}{1+|v_n|} = \frac{1+|v_n|^2}{1+|v_n|} \to \infty$ *as* $n \to \infty$, *thus* C_φ *is not bounded by Theorem 3.1.*

If we impose some condition on the weight function λ, then the result will be valid. In actual, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *Let* T *be a tree and* φ *be any self-map on it. Then every composition operator* C_φ *induced by injective self-map* φ *is bounded if and only if the weight function* λ *is bounded and bounded away from zero, i.e., there are two positive numbers* m *and* M *such that* $m \leq \lambda(v) \leq M$ *for all* $v \in T$.

Proof. Firstly, we assume that there are two positive constants m and M such that $m \leq \lambda(v) \leq M$ for any $v \in T$. Then for any injective self-map φ on T, we have

$$\beta_\varphi = \sum_{v\in T} \frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))} \leq \frac{M}{m}.$$

It follows that β_φ is finite and C_φ is bounded by Theorem 3.1.

Next, we suppose β_φ is finite for every injective self-map φ, we need to show that $\lambda(v)$ is both bounded and bounded away from zero for all $v \in T$. Assume that λ is unbounded, then exist a sequence $\{v_n\}$ of vertices such that $\lambda(v_n) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Extract a subsequence of $\{v_n\}$, say $\{w_n\}$, satisfying $\lambda(w_n) > \lambda^2(v_n)$ for all positive integer n. By the countability of those sequences, we can define an injection ψ_1 on T with $\psi_1(w_n) = v_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\frac{\lambda(w_n)}{\lambda(\psi_1(w_n))} = \frac{\lambda(w_n)}{\lambda(v_n)} > \lambda(v_n) \to \infty$$

as $n \to \infty$. This implies that β_{ψ_1} must be infinite, the contradiction shows that $\lambda(v)$ is bounded for all $v \in T$. And then we suppose λ is not bounded away from zero, then we can choose a sequence $\{v'_n\}$ of vertices such that $\lambda(v'_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Similarly, we can select a subsequence of $\{v'_n\}$, which we denote $\{u_n\}$, such that $\lambda(u_n) < \lambda^2(v'_n)$ for all positive integer n. We define an injection map ψ_2 on T with $\psi_2(v'_n) = u_n$. Observe that

$$\frac{\lambda(v'_n)}{\lambda(\psi_2(v'_n))} = \frac{\lambda(v'_n)}{\lambda(u_n)} > \frac{\lambda(v'_n)}{\lambda^2(v'_n)} = \frac{1}{\lambda(v'_n)} \to \infty$$

as $n \to \infty$, which contradicts to our assumption that $\beta_{\psi_2} = \sup\limits_{v\in T} \frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))}$ is finite, thus λ is bounded away from zero. This completes our proof of the theorem. □

We denote the number of element in a set A by $|A|$. In fact, we can reduce the requirement that φ is injection with $|\{\varphi^{-1}(v)\}| \leq M$ for all $v \in \varphi(T)$. In Theorem 3.1, we show that the conclusion is still valid in this case. In spite of it, we can not determine the norm of C_φ as before, but only give an estimate of its norm when β_φ is finite.

Theorem 3.5. *Let* T *be a tree and* φ *be a self-map of* T *with* $|\{\varphi^{-1}(v)\}| \leq M$ *for every* $v \in \varphi(T)$. *Then the composition operator* C_φ *induced by the symbol* φ *is bounded on* $L^p_\lambda(T)$ $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ *if and only if* β_φ *is finite. Moreover,* $\|C_\varphi\| \leq (M\beta_\varphi)^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

Proof. Suppose C_φ is bounded. For any $w \in \varphi(T)$, we define $f(v) = \frac{\chi_w(v)}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(w)}$, then $f \in L^p_\lambda(T)$ and $\|f\|_p = 1$ by Lemma 2.3. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|C_\varphi f\|_p^p &= \sum_{v\in T} |f(\varphi(v))|^p \lambda(v)\\ &= \sum_{v\in T} \left|\frac{\chi_w(\varphi(v))}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(w)}\right|^p \lambda(v)\\ &= \sum_{v\in T} \frac{\chi_w(\varphi(v))\lambda(v)}{\lambda(w)}\\ &= \sum_{\varphi(v)=w} \frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(w)} \geq \frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))}\end{aligned}$$

for any $v \in \varphi^{-1}(w)$. It follows that $\|C_\varphi\| = \sup_{\|f\|_p=1} \{\|C_\varphi f\|_p : f \in L^p_\lambda(T)\} \geq \big(\frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))}\big)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ for $v \in \varphi^{-1}(w)$. Notice that as w run over $\varphi(T)$, $\varphi^{-1}(w)$ run over the tree T, thus $\|C_\varphi\| \geq \big(\frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))}\big)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ for all $v \in T$, which implies $\beta_\varphi = \sup_{v\in T} \frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))}$ is finite if C_φ is bounded on $L^p_\lambda(T)$.

Conversely, assume β_φ is finite. For any $f \in L^p_\lambda(T)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|C_\varphi f\|_p^p &= \sum_{v\in T} |f(\varphi(v))|^p \lambda(v)\\ &= \sum_{v\in T} |f(\varphi(v))|^p \lambda(\varphi(v)) \frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))}\\ &\leq \beta_\varphi \sum_{v\in T} |f(\varphi(v))|^p \lambda(\varphi(v)) \leq M\beta_\varphi \|f\|_p^p,\end{aligned}$$

thus $\|C_\varphi\| \leq (M\beta_\varphi)^{\frac{1}{p}}$, *i.e.*, C_φ is bounded if β_φ is finite. This completes our proof. ☐

In the rest of this section, we investigate the isometric properties for composition operators on $L^p_\lambda(T)$. We give an equivalent condition such that C_φ to be an isometry by applying Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.6. *Let T be a tree and φ be an injective self-map of T. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, C_φ is an isometry on $L^p_\lambda(T)$ if and only if φ is surjective and $\frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))} = 1$ for any $v \in T$.*

Proof. Assume C_φ is an isometry on $L^p_\lambda(T)$, thus $\|C_\varphi\| = 1$. Firstly, we show that $\frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))} = 1$ for any $v \in T$. By Theorem 3.1, we have $\beta_\varphi = \sup_{v\in T} \frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))} = \|C_\varphi\|^p = 1$. Suppose there exist some $u \in T$ such that $\frac{\lambda(u)}{\lambda(\varphi(u))} < 1$, we define a function $f(v) = \frac{\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(u)}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(v)} \chi_{\varphi(u)}(v)$, then

$$\|f\|_p^p = \sum_{v\in T} \left|\frac{\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(u)}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(v)} \chi_{\varphi(u)}(v)\right|^p \lambda(v) = \sum_{v\in T} \lambda(u)\chi_{\varphi(u)}(v) = \lambda(u).$$

Since φ is injective and there only exists one vertex v such that $v = \varphi(u)$. Applying Theorem 3.1, we have $\lambda(u)$ is bounded, hence $f \in L^p_\lambda(T)$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\|C_\varphi f\|_p^p &= \sum_{v\in T} |f(\varphi(v))|^p \lambda(v)\\ &= \sum_{v\in T} \left| \frac{\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(u)}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(\varphi(v))} \chi_{\varphi(u)}(\varphi(v))\right|^p \lambda(v)\\ &= \sum_{v\in T} \frac{\lambda(u)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))} \chi_{\varphi(u)}(\varphi(v))\lambda(v)\\ &= \frac{\lambda(u)}{\lambda(\varphi(u))}\lambda(u) < \lambda(u).\end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds for the reason that φ is injective and $\varphi(u) = \varphi(v)$ if and only if $u = v$. This contradicts that C_φ is an isometry, thus $\frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))} = 1$ for all $v \in T$.

If φ is not surjective, then we can find a vertex $w \notin \varphi(T)$. For this w, we define $g(v) = \frac{\chi_w(v)}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(w)}$, then $g \in L^p_\lambda(T)$ and $\|g\|_p = 1$ by Lemma 2.3. By the assumption that C_φ is isometric, we have $\|C_\varphi g\| = \|g\|_p = 1$. On the other hand, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\|C_\varphi g\|_p^p &= \sum_{v\in T} |g(\varphi(v))|^p \lambda(v)\\ &= \sum_{v\in T} \left| \frac{\chi_w(\varphi(v))}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(w)}\right|^p \lambda(v)\\ &= \sum_{v\in T} \frac{\chi_w(\varphi(v))\lambda(v)}{\lambda(w)} = 0,\end{aligned}$$

since there is no vertex v such that $w = \varphi(v)$. This is a contradiction, hence φ is surjection.

Conversely, if φ is surjection and $\frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))} = 1$ for all $v \in T$, then φ is a bijection of T. For any $f \in L^p_\lambda(T)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|C_\varphi f\|_p^p &= \sum_{v\in T} |f(\varphi(v))|^p \lambda(v)\\ &= \sum_{v\in T} |f(\varphi(v))|^p \lambda(\varphi(v)) \frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))}\\ &= \sum_{v\in T} |f(\varphi(v))|^p \lambda(\varphi(v))\\ &= \sum_{w\in T} |f(w)|^p \lambda(w) = \|f\|_p^p.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that C_φ is an isometry on $L^p_\lambda(T)$, which completes the proof. □

As a result of Theorem 3.6, we immediately get that C_φ is an isometry on $L^p(T)$ if and only if φ is a bijection on T.

4. COMPACTNESS AND SCHATTEN CLASS COMPOSITION OPERATOR ON $L^2_\lambda(T)$

In this section, we investigate the compactness of composition operators C_φ on $L^p_\lambda(T)$ $(1 \le p < \infty)$, and give an equivalent condition for C_φ to be compact. In the case of $p = 2$, $L^2_\lambda(T)$ is a Hilbert space, we further study the Schatten p-class composition operators on $L^2_\lambda(T)$ when C_φ is compact on $L^2_\lambda(T)$.

Let X, Y be two Banach spaces and $A : X \to Y$ be a linear bounded operator from X to Y. If for any bounded sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in X, then $\{Ax_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ has a subsequence converges in Y, we say A is a compact operator. In our case, X and Y are both the Banach space $L^p_\lambda(T)$ and A is a composition operator C_φ with the symbol φ. In order to characterize the compactness of C_φ, we define a function $f^{(n)} \in L^p_\lambda(T)$ by

$$f^{(n)}(v) = \begin{cases} f(v), & \text{if} \quad |v| \le n, \\ 0, & \text{if} \quad |v| > n. \end{cases}$$

And then we define a linear operator A_n by $A_n f = f^{(n)}$ for each $f \in L^p_\lambda(T)$. Clearly, each A_n can be regarded as a finite rank projection on $L^p_\lambda(T)$ and its range $A_n L^p_\lambda(T)$ is a closed subspace of $L^p_\lambda(T)$, which can be represented by linearly independent unit vectors $\left\{\frac{\chi_v}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(v)} : |v| \le n\right\}$. For more properties of the sequence of operators $\{A_n\}$, we give it as a lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the operator A_n given above, we have A_n is compact on $L^p_\lambda(T)$. Moreover, $\|A_n\| \le 1$ and $\|I - A_n\| \le 1$.*

Proof. Let $f \in L^p_\lambda(T)$, then $\sum\limits_{v \in T} |f(v)|^p \lambda(v) = \|f\|_p^p < \infty$. Observe that

$$\|A_n f\|_p^p = \sum_{|v| \le n} |f(v)|^p \lambda(v) \le \sum_{v \in T} |f(v)|^p \lambda(v) = \|f\|_p^p$$

and

$$\|(I - A_n) f\|_p^p = \sum_{|v| > n} |f(v)|^p \lambda(v) \le \sum_{v \in T} |f(v)|^p \lambda(v) = \|f\|_p^p,$$

we immediately obtain that $\|A_n\| \le 1$ and $\|I - A_n\| \le 1$.

Let $\{f_k\}$ be a sequence of bounded vectors in $L^p_\lambda(T)$, then $\{A_n f_k\}$ is also bounded on $L^p_\lambda(T)$, hence it is bounded on $A_n L^p_\lambda(T)$. Since $A_n L^p_\lambda(T)$ is closed and finite dimensional, we can choose a sequence of $\{A_n f_k\}$ which converges to a vector in $A_n L^p_\lambda(T)$ by the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem, which implies A_n is compact on $L^p_\lambda(T)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. ☐

Let A be a linear bounded operator on a Banach space X. If A can be approximated by compact operators in the operator norm, then A is also compact. This result can be found in a standard functional text. Based on this conclusion, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *Let T be a tree and φ be an injective self-map on T such that C_φ is bounded on $L^p_\lambda(T)$. If $\lim\limits_{N \to \infty} \sup\limits_{|\varphi(v)| \ge N} \frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))} = 0$, then C_φ is compact.*

Proof. Since φ is an injective map of the tree T, the range of φ is infinite. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have A_n is compact by Lemma 4.1, then $C_\varphi A_n$ is also compact by the assumption C_φ is bounded. Fix a positive integer N, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|C_\varphi - C_\varphi A_n\|^p &= \sup_{\|f\|_p\le 1} \|(C_\varphi - C_\varphi A_n)f\|_p^p \\
&= \sup_{\|f\|_p\le 1} \sum_{v\in T} |C_\varphi(I-A_n)f(v)|^p \lambda(v) \\
&= \sup_{\|f\|_p\le 1} \sum_{v\in T} |C_\varphi(I-A_n)f(v)|^p \lambda(\varphi(v)) \frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))} \\
&\le \sup_{\|f\|_p\le 1} \sum_{|\varphi(v)|\ge N} |C_\varphi(I-A_n)f(v)|^p \lambda(\varphi(v)) \frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))} \\
&+ \sup_{\|f\|_p\le 1} \sum_{|\varphi(v)|< N} |C_\varphi(I-A_n)f(v)|^p \lambda(\varphi(v)) \frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))} \\
&\le \sup_{|\varphi(v)|\ge N} \frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))} \sup_{\|f\|_p\le 1} \sum_{|\varphi(v)|\ge N} |C_\varphi(I-A_n)f(v)|^p \lambda(\varphi(v)) \\
&+ \sup_{|\varphi(v)|< N} \frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))} \sup_{\|f\|_p\le 1} \sum_{|\varphi(v)|< N} |C_\varphi(I-A_n)f(v)|^p \lambda(\varphi(v)).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{\|f\|_p\le 1} \sum_{|\varphi(v)|\ge N} |C_\varphi(I-A_n)f(v)|^p \lambda(\varphi(v)) = \sup_{\|f\|_p\le 1} \sum_{|w|\ge N} |(I-A_n)f(w)|^p \lambda(w) \\
&\le \sup_{\|f\|_p\le 1} \sum_{w\in T} |(I-A_n)f(w)|^p \lambda(w) = \|I-A_n\| \le 1
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{|\varphi(v)|<N} |C_\varphi(I-A_n)f(v)|^p \lambda(\varphi(v)) = \sum_{|w|<N} |(I-A_n)f(w)|^p \lambda(w) = 0$$

if $n > N$, since $(I-A_n)f(v) = 0$ if $|v| < N$ and $n > N$. Thus for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\|C_\varphi - C_\varphi A_n\|^p \le \sup_{|\varphi(v)|\ge N} \frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))}$$

if each $n > N$. If $\lim\limits_{N\to\infty} \sup\limits_{|\varphi(v)|\ge N} \frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))} = 0$, then C_φ can be approximated by a sequence of compact operators $\{C_\varphi A_n\}$, hence C_φ is compact. It finishes our proof. □

In order to get converse result, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Let T be a tree and A be a compact operator on $L^p_\lambda(T)$. Given a bounded sequence $\{f_n\}$ in $L^p_\lambda(T)$ such that $f_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ pointwisely, then the sequence $\{Af_n\}$ converges to zero in the norm of $L^p_\lambda(T)$.*

Proof. Suppose not, then exists an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and a subsequence of $\{f_n\}$, say $\{f_{n_k}\}$, such that $\|Af_{n_k}\| \ge \varepsilon_0$ for all $k = 1, 2, \cdots$. Since A is compact and $\{f_{n_k}\}$ is bounded, we can find a subsequence $\{f_{n_{k_j}}\}$ of $\{f_{n_k}\}$ and $f \in L^p_\lambda(T)$ such that $\|Af_{n_{k_j}} - f\|_p \to 0$ as $j \to 0$. By assumption, $\{f_n\}$ converges to zero pointwisely, then $\{f_n\}$ converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of T for the reason that T is a metric space, it follows that $\{f_{n_{k_j}}\}$ converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of T. Since A is compact and thus it is continuous, we have $\{Af_{n_{k_j}}\}$ converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of T. Since every point evaluation functions on $L^p_\lambda(T)$ is bounded by Lemma 2.4, therefore for any $v \in T$,

$$|Af_{n_{k_j}}(v) - f(v)| \le C\|Af_{n_{k_j}} - f\|_p$$

for some positive constant $C \ge 0$. Since $\|Af_{n_{k_j}} - f\|_p \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$, we have $\{Af_{n_{k_j}}\}$ converges to f pointwisely. Note that $\{Af_{n_{k_j}}\}$ converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of T, it yields that $f = 0$, which contradicts to the assumption $\|Af_{n_{k_j}}\| \ge \varepsilon_0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence the sequence $\{Af_n\}$ converges to zero in the norm of $L^p_\lambda(T)$. ☐

Actually, the lemma has been characterized in relevant articles, see [11, Lemma 2.5] and [12, Lemma 4.1].

Theorem 4.4. *Let T be a tree and φ be an injective self-map on T such that C_φ is bounded. If C_φ is compact on $L^p_\lambda(T)$, then* $\lim\limits_{N\to\infty} \sup\limits_{|\varphi(v)|\ge N} \frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))} = 0$.

Proof. Assume that C_φ is compact. If $\lim\limits_{N\to\infty} \sup\limits_{|\varphi(v)|\ge N} \frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))} > 0$, then there is a positive constant s so that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \sup_{|\varphi(v)|\ge N} \frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))} > s.$$

Then we can find a sequence of vertices $\{v_n\}$ with $|\varphi(v_n)| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ such that $\limsup\limits_{n\to\infty} \frac{\lambda(v_n)}{\lambda(\varphi(v_n))} > s$. Let $f_n(v) = \frac{\chi_{\varphi(v_n)}(v)}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(\varphi(v_n))}$, then $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence of unit vectors in $L^p_\lambda(T)$ which converges to zero pointwisely by Lemma 2.3. Applying Lemma 4.3, we obtain that $\|C_\varphi f_n\|_p \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ since C_φ is compact, then

$$\begin{aligned} s \ge \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|C_\varphi f_n\|_p^p &= \limsup_{n\to\infty} \sum_{v\in T} |f_n(\varphi(v))|^p \lambda(v) \\ &= \limsup_{n\to\infty} \left(\sum_{v\in T} \left| \frac{\chi_{\varphi(v_n)}(\varphi(v))}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}(\varphi(v_n))} \right|^p \lambda(v) \right) \\ &= \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\lambda(v_n)}{\lambda(\varphi(v_n))} > s, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence we must have $\lim\limits_{N\to\infty} \sup\limits_{|\varphi(v)|\ge N} \frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))} = 0$ if C_φ is compact on $L^p_\lambda(T)$. ☐

Combining Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.4, we give an equivalent condition for C_φ to be compact. Let φ is an injective self-map of a tree T and C_φ is bounded, then C_φ is compact on $L^p_\lambda(T)$ if and only if $\lim\limits_{N\to\infty} \sup\limits_{|\varphi(v)|\ge N} \frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(\varphi(v))} = 0$. Using this result, it is clear that the Banach space $L^p(T)$ has no compact composition operator C_φ induced by injective self-map φ of a tree T.

In the following part, we further consider the Schatten p-class for the Hilbert space $L^2_\lambda(T)$. Let $p \ge 1$ and S_p be the Schatten p-class of $L^2_\lambda(T)$. In a natural way, we want to search necessary and sufficient conditions on φ such that the composition operator C_φ belongs to S_p. We will discuss it in three cases: $1 \le p < 2$, $p = 2$ and $p > 2$.

Obviously, the vertices of a tree T are countable, we define a sequence of functions $\{f_u\}_{u\in T}$ by

$$f_u(v) = \frac{\chi_u(v)}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(u)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(u)}, & \text{if} \quad v = u, \\ 0, & \text{if} \quad v \ne u. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that $\{f_u\}_{u\in T}$ forms an orthonormal basis of $L^2_\lambda(T)$. We firstly consider the case of $p = 2$. Here we get an equivalent condition for the composition operator C_φ belongs to S_2, which is usually called the Hilbert-Schmidt class.

Theorem 4.5. *Let φ be an injective self-map of a tree T, and the composition operator C_φ be a compact operator on $L^2_\lambda(T)$. Then C_φ is Hilbert-Schmidt operator on $L^2_\lambda(T)$ if and only if $\sum\limits_{u\in T}\frac{\lambda(\varphi^{-1}(u))}{\lambda(u)} < \infty$. Moreover, we have*

$$\|C_\varphi\|_{S_2} = \Big[\sum_{u\in T}\frac{\lambda(\varphi^{-1}(u))}{\lambda(u)}\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof. By the definition of the norm and Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|C_\varphi\|^2_{S_2} &= \sum_{u\in T}\|C_\varphi f_u\|_2^2 = \sum_{u\in T}\sum_{v\in T}|C_\varphi f_u(v)|^2\lambda(v)\\ &= \sum_{u\in T}\sum_{v\in T}|f_u(\varphi(v))|^2\lambda(v)\\ &= \sum_{u\in T}\sum_{v\in T}\left|\frac{\chi_u(\varphi(v))}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(u)}\right|^2\lambda(v).\end{aligned}$$

Since φ is injective, there exists at most one vertex v such that $\varphi(v) = u$, thus

$$\|C_\varphi\|^2_{S_2} = \sum_{u\in T}\Big(\sum_{\varphi(v)=u}\frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(u)}\Big) = \sum_{u\in T}\frac{\lambda(\varphi^{-1}(u))}{\lambda(u)}.$$

Therefore, C_φ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on $L^2_\lambda(T)$ if and only if $\sum\limits_{u\in T}\frac{\lambda(\varphi^{-1}(u))}{\lambda(u)} < \infty$. Moreover, we have $\|C_\varphi\|_{S_2} = \Big[\sum\limits_{u\in T}\frac{\lambda(\varphi^{-1}(u))}{\lambda(u)}\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}}$. This completes the proof. □

For the case of $p \neq 2$, it is difficult to establish an equivalent condition for $C_\varphi \in S_p$. In spite of this, we obtain a necessary condition for $C_\varphi \in S_p$ if $p > 2$, and a sufficient condition for $C_\varphi \in S_p$ if $0 < p < 2$, respectively.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $p > 2$ and φ be an injective self-map of the tree T such that C_φ is compact on $L^2_\lambda(T)$. If $C_\varphi \in S_p$, then*

$$\sum_{u\in T}\Big[\frac{\lambda(\varphi^{-1}(u))}{\lambda(u)}\Big]^{\frac{p}{2}} < \infty.$$

Proof. Suppose $C_\varphi \in S_p$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{u\in T}\|C_\varphi f_u\|_2^p &= \sum_{u\in T}\Big[\sum_{v\in T}|f_u(\varphi(v))|^2\lambda(v)\Big]^{\frac{p}{2}}\\ &= \sum_{u\in T}\Big[\sum_{v\in T}\left|\frac{\chi_u(\varphi(v))}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(u)}\right|^2\lambda(v)\Big]^{\frac{p}{2}}\\ &= \sum_{u\in T}\Big[\sum_{v\in T}\chi_u(\varphi(v))\frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(u)}\Big]^{\frac{p}{2}}\\ &= \sum_{u\in T}\Big[\sum_{\varphi(v)=u}\frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(u)}\Big]^{\frac{p}{2}} = \sum_{u\in T}\Big[\frac{\lambda(\varphi^{-1}(u))}{\lambda(u)}\Big]^{\frac{p}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.8 and $C_\varphi \in S_p$, we have $\sum\limits_{u\in T}\|C_\varphi f_u\|_2^p = \sum\limits_{u\in T}\Big[\frac{\lambda(\varphi^{-1}(u))}{\lambda(u)}\Big]^{\frac{p}{2}} < \infty$. As desired. □

Theorem 4.7. *Suppose $1 \le p < 2$ and let φ be an injective self-map of the tree T such that C_φ is compact on $L^2_\lambda(T)$. If*

$$\sum_{u\in T}\Big[\frac{\lambda(\varphi^{-1}(u))}{\lambda(u)}\Big]^{\frac{p}{2}} < \infty,$$

then $C_\varphi \in S_p$.

Proof. Assume $\sum\limits_{u\in T}\Big[\frac{\lambda(\varphi^{-1}(u))}{\lambda(u)}\Big]^{\frac{p}{2}} < \infty$. Applying Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|C_\varphi\|^p_{S_p} &\le \sum_{u\in T}\sum_{w\in T}\big|\langle C_\varphi f_u, f_w\rangle\big|^p \\
&= \sum_{u\in T}\sum_{w\in T}\Big|\sum_{v\in T} f_u(\varphi(v))f_w(v)\lambda(v)\Big|^p \\
&= \sum_{u\in T}\sum_{w\in T}\Big|\sum_{v\in T}\frac{\chi_u(\varphi(v))}{\lambda^{\frac12}(u)}\cdot\frac{\chi_w(v)}{\lambda^{\frac12}(w)}\lambda(v)\Big|^p \\
&= \sum_{u\in T}\sum_{w\in T}\Big[\frac{\chi_u(\varphi(w))}{\lambda^{\frac12}(u)}\lambda^{\frac12}(w)\Big]^p \\
&= \sum_{u\in T}\sum_{\varphi(w)=u}\Big[\frac{\lambda(w)}{\lambda(u)}\Big]^{\frac{p}{2}} = \sum_{u\in T}\Big[\frac{\lambda(\varphi^{-1}(u))}{\lambda(u)}\Big]^{\frac{p}{2}} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

This gives that $C_\varphi \in S_p$ for $1 \le p < 2$. □

In the final, we give a necessary condition for C_φ to be a trace operator.

Corollary 4.8. *Let φ be an injective self-map of a tree T and C_φ be a compact operator on $L^2_\lambda(T)$. If $C_\varphi \in S_1$, then φ has a finite number of fixed points, i.e.,*

$$|\{u \in T : \varphi(u) = u\}| < \infty.$$

Proof. Let $C_\varphi \in S_1$, we have by Lemma 2.6 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{u\in T}\langle C_\varphi f_u, f_u\rangle &= \sum_{u\in T}\Big(\sum_{v\in T} f_u(\varphi(v))f_u(v)\lambda(v)\Big) \\
&= \sum_{u\in T}\sum_{v\in T}\frac{\chi_u(\varphi(v))}{\lambda^{\frac12}(u)}\cdot\frac{\chi_u(v)}{\lambda^{\frac12}(u)}\lambda(v) \\
&= \sum_{u\in T}\sum_{v\in T}\chi_u(\varphi(v))\chi_u(v)\frac{\lambda(v)}{\lambda(u)} \\
&= \sum_{u\in T}\chi_u(\varphi(u)) = |\{u \in T : \varphi(u) = u\}| < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. □

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to Professor Xianfeng Zhao (Chongqing University) for many useful discussions and suggestions. This work was partially supported by the Chongqing Natural Science Foundation (No. cstc2019jcyj-msxmX0337) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (grant numbers: 2020CDJQY-A039, 2020CDJ-LHSS-003).

REFERENCES

[1] P. T. Tien, L. H. Khoi, Weighted composition operators between different Fock spaces, arXiv: 1704. 03752.
[2] I. Chalender, E. A. Gallardo-Gutiérrez, J. R. Partington, Weighted composition operators on the Dirichlet space: boundedness and spectral properties. *Math. Ann,* 363 (2015) 1265-1279.
[3] C. C. Cowen, B. D. Maccluer, Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions, *Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida.* 1995.
[4] J. H. Shapiro, Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory, *Springer, New York.* 1993.
[5] R. F. Allen, F. Colonna, G. R. Easley, Composition operators on the Lipschitz space of a tree, *Mediterr. J. Math,* 11 (2014) 97-108.
[6] R. K. Singh, J. S. Manhas, Composition Operators on Function Spaces, *North Holland,* 1993.
[7] R. F. Allen, F. Colonna, G. R. Easley, Multiplication operators on the iterated logarithmic Lipschitz spaces of a tree. *Mediterr. J. Math.*, 9 (2012) 575-600.
[8] F. Colonna, G. R. Easley, Multiplication operators on the Lipschitz space of a tree, *Integral. Equations. Operator. Theory* 68 (2010) 391-411.
[9] P. Muthukumar, S. Ponnusamy, Discrete analogue of generalized Hardy spaces and multiplication operators on homogenous trees, *Anal. Math. Phys.* doi: 10. 1007/s13324-016-0141-9 (2016).
[10] P. Muthukumar, S. Ponnusamy, Composition operators on the discrete analogue of generalized Hardy space on homogenous trees, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc,* doi: 10. 1007/s40840-016-0419-y (2016).
[11] R. F. Allen, I. M. Craig, Multiplication operators on weighted Banach spaces of a tree, arXiv: 1511. 07914.
[12] R. F. Allen, M. A. Pons, Composition operators on weighted Banach space of a tree, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.*, 41 (2018) no. 4, 1805-1818.
[13] R. Diestel, Graph Theory, 4th edition, Springer, New York, 2010.
[14] R. A. Martínez-Avendaño, Hypercyclicty of shifts on weighted L^p spaces of directed trees, *J. Math. Anal. Appl,* 446, (2017) 823-842.
[15] K. H. Zhu, Operator Theory in Function Spaces, second edition, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 138, American. Mathematical. Society,* (2007) 18-26.

[1] COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CHONGQING UNIVERSITY, CHONGQING, 401331, P. R. CHINA
Email address: `xuh2022@cqu.edu.cn`

[2] COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CHONGQING UNIVERSITY, CHONGQING, 401331, P. R. CHINA
Email address: `15282233835@163.com`