SIMPLE MODULES FOR UNTWISTED AFFINE LIE ALGEBRAS INDUCED FROM NILPOTENT LOOP SUBALGEBRAS

VOLODYMYR MAZORCHUK

Abstract. We construct large families of simple modules for untwisted affine Lie algebras using induction from one-dimensional modules over nilpotent loop subalgebras. We also show that the vector space of the first self-extensions for these module has uncountable dimension and that generic tensor products of these modules are simple.

1. Introduction and description of the results

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a semi-simple complex finite dimensional Lie algebra with a fixed triangular decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+.$$

As defined in [Ko78], a *Whittaker* $\mathfrak{g}$-module is a module that is generated by a one-dimensional $\mathfrak{n}_+$-submodule. Various classes of simple Whittaker modules in the above sense were studied and classified by several authors, see [Ko78, Mc85, Mc93, MS97, Ba97].

Analogues of Whittaker modules can be defined and studied in many similar situations, see [OW09, Chr08, LWZ10, LG13, BCW14, ALZ16, HY19, Che21, AT23, CCM22] and references therein. A general setup of *Whittaker pairs* in which one can define analogues of Whittaker modules was proposed in [BM11], see also [MZ14]. A Whittaker pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{n})$ consists of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and a quasi-nilpotent subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that the action of $\mathfrak{n}$ on the adjoint $\mathfrak{n}$-module $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n}$ is locally nilpotent.

Various types of Whittaker modules were studied for infinite-dimensional Kac-Moody Lie algebras, see [Chr08, ALZ16, AT23, CF23, GZ13]. In this paper we are interested in Whittaker modules for the Whittaker pair $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, L(\mathfrak{n}))$, where $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the untwisted affine Lie algebra associated to a simple finite dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and $L(\mathfrak{n})$ is the loop algebra of the nilpotent part $\mathfrak{n}$ in a fixed parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Note that $L(\mathfrak{n})$ is nilpotent and the adjoint action of $L(\mathfrak{n})$ on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}/L(\mathfrak{n})$ is locally nilpotent. Hence $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, L(\mathfrak{n}))$ is a Whittaker pair in the sense of [BM11].

The main contribution of this paper is an explicit construction of a large family of new simple $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules. These modules are simple Whittaker modules for the above Whittaker pair and they are indexed by certain (collections of) elements in the dual space to a vector space of countable dimension. In particular, as a vector space, the set of our parameters has uncountable dimension. We note that construction of new classes of simple modules over affine Lie algebras is a very popular subject, see [Cha86, CP86, CP87, Fu97, FT01, FK18, CTZ20, G-S23] and references therein.

The main result of the paper is Theorem 4 which asserts that, under some mild assumptions on the input parameters, the induced Whittaker module for Whittaker pair $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, L(\mathfrak{n}))$ is simple. This result and its proof can be found in Section 3. Additionally, the latter section contains Corollary 5 that establishes the fact that the space of first self-extensions for the modules we construct has uncountable dimension. In Section 4 we prove a fairly unexpected result that, generically, finite tensor products of modules constructed in Theorem 4 are again simple, see Theorem 6. Section 2 contains necessary preliminaries and Section 5 a number of concrete examples.

Acknowledgements. This research is partially supported by the Swedish Research Council. The author thanks Rekha Biswal for stimulating discussions, especially for asking the question whether tensor products of Whittaker modules are simple or not.

2. Setup and preliminaries

2.1. **Combinatorics of linear duals for vector spaces of countable dimension.** In what follows, we work over $\mathbb{C}$, in particular, $\otimes$ means $\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Let V be a vector space with basis $\mathbf{v} := \{v_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then the dual space V^* can be naturally identified with $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, where $\mathbf{a} := (a_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ corresponds to $\varphi_{\mathbf{a}} : V \to \mathbb{C}$ which sends v_i to a_i, for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The group $\mathbb{Z}$ acts both on V and on V^* by shifting the indices: $n \cdot v_i := v_{i+n}$ and $n \cdot (a_i) := (a_{i+n})$, for $n, i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We will use the notation $x^{(n)}$ to denote the n-translate $n \cdot x$ of x.

The space $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ has a $\mathbb{Z}$-invariant subspace $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}_{\mathrm{fin}}$ consisting of all $\mathbf{a}$ in which only finitely many coefficients are non-zero. Note that $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}_{\mathrm{fin}}$ is exactly the linear span of the *dual basis* $\{v_i^* : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ which is, indeed, a basis in this subspace.

An element $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ will be called

- **generic** provided that the multiset of all $\mathbb{Z}$-translates of $\mathbf{a}$ is linearly independent;
- and **strongly generic** provided that the union of the multiset of all $\mathbb{Z}$-translates of $\mathbf{a}$ with the element $(ia_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is linearly independent.

An alternative point of view is that $\mathbf{a}$ is not generic if and only if there exists a non-zero $v \in V$ such that $\mathbf{a}$ vanishes on all $\mathbb{Z}$-translates of V.

Example 1. Any constant element in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, that is any element in which all coefficients are the same, is not generic. The element $(\delta_{i,0})_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$, where δ is the Kronecker symbol, is generic but not strongly generic.

Lemma 2. *All non-zero elements in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}_{\mathrm{fin}}$ are generic.*

Proof. The zero element is, clearly, not generic. On the other hand, if $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}_{\mathrm{fin}}$ is not zero and $v \in V$ is not zero, we can find a $\mathbb{Z}$-translate w of v for which there is a unique coordinate $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the corresponding coefficients both in $\mathbf{a}$ and in w are non-zero. The evaluation of $\mathbf{a}$ at this w is, clearly, non-zero. Hence such $\mathbf{a}$ is generic. $\square$

Let us also make the following observation: for a non-zero $v = \sum_i c_i v_i \in V$, where all $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and only finitely many of them are non-zero, set

$$l(v) = \min\{i : c_i \neq 0\}, \qquad r(v) = \max\{i : c_i \neq 0\}$$

and $\omega(v) := r(v) - l(v) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. If $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is not generic and vanishes on all $\mathbb{Z}$-translates of the above $v \in V$, then $\mathbf{a}$ is uniquely determined by $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\omega(v)-1}$. Indeed, if $\omega(v) = 0$, then $\mathbf{a}$ is the zero element. If $\omega(v) > 0$ and $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\omega(v)-1}$ are fixed, we take the $\mathbb{Z}$-translate v' of v for which $l(v')=0$ and thus $r(v')=\omega(v)$. The condition that $\mathbf{a}$ vanishes on v' determines $a_{\omega(v)}$ uniquely. Translating v' to the right, we similarly determine all a_i, for $i>\omega(v)$. Translating v' to the left, we similarly determine all a_i, for $i<0$.

We will use the notation $\langle \_,\_\rangle$ to denote the evaluation of an element of V^* at an element of V. Expressed using the coordinates with respect to the standard bases, this is given by the formula $\sum_i x_i y_i$. We note that the latter expression is well-defined provided that either (x_i) or (y_i) has only finitely many non-zero coefficients. For simplicity, our convention is that $\langle \_,\_\rangle$ is symmetric.

For $\mathbf{a}\in\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, denote by $\mathbf{a}^{\perp}$ the set of all $v\in V$ such that $\langle \mathbf{a}, v^{(i)}\rangle = 0$, for all $i\in\mathbb{Z}$. Clearly, $\mathbf{a}^{\perp}$ is a subspace of V. If $\mathbf{a}$ is zero, then the corresponding $\mathbf{a}^{\perp}$ is the whole V. If $\mathbf{a}$ is generic, then the corresponding $\mathbf{a}^{\perp}$ is the zero subspace of V.

The following lemma is inspired by the notion of *size* of a non-homogeneous ideal in [MZ18, Page 221].

Lemma 3. *If $\mathbf{a}$ is not generic, then $\mathbf{a}^{\perp}$ is a subspace of V of finite codimension m. Moreover, this number m is the minimal non-negative integer for which there is $v\in V$ whose all $\mathbb{Z}$-translates are annihilated by $\mathbf{a}$ and for which $\omega(v)=m$. Additionally, the collection of all $\mathbb{Z}$-translates of this v forms a basis in $\mathbf{a}^{\perp}$ and this basis can be extended to a basis of V using $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{\omega(v)-1}$ (note that this latter set is empty if $\omega(v)=0$).*

Proof. Define m as the minimal non-negative integer for which there is $v\in V$ whose all $\mathbb{Z}$-translates are annihilated by $\mathbf{a}$ and for which $\omega(v)=m$. Let $v'\in\mathbf{a}^{\perp}$ be non-zero. Assume $\omega(v')>\omega(v)$. Then we can take a $\mathbb{Z}$-translate v'' of v' such that $l(v)=l(v'')$. Subtracting from v'' a non-zero multiple of v we can eliminate the $l(v'')$-component and create a new vector v''' with $\omega(v''')<\omega(v')$.

If $\omega(v')=\omega(v)$, then a similar manipulation must result in 0, due to the minimality of m. This implies that v' is a linear combination of $\mathbb{Z}$-translates of v. All claims of the lemma follow. $\square$

For a non-generic $\mathbf{a}$, we will call the number m in Lemma 3 the **size** of $\mathbf{a}$.

A set Q of elements from $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ will be called

- **generic** provided that the multiset of all $\mathbb{Z}$-translates of all elements in Q is linearly independent;
- and **strongly generic** provided that the union of the multiset of all $\mathbb{Z}$-translates of all elements in Q with the set of all elements of the form $(ia_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$, where $\mathbf{a}\in Q$, is linearly independent.

The word “multiset” used in the definition above means that no translates of different elements in Q may coincide.

Since $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ has uncountable dimension, finite, or even countable, subsets of elements in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ are almost always strongly generic.

2.2. **Injective modules over the polynomial algebra.** For V as in the previous subsection, consider the free commutative algebra $\mathbb{C}[V]$ on V, that is the quotient of the free tensor algebra on V by the ideal generated by $v_i\otimes v_j - v_j\otimes v_i$, for all $i,j\in\mathbb{Z}$. The algebra $\mathbb{C}[V]$ is the polynomial algebra in the variables v_i, where $i\in\mathbb{Z}$. Note that $\mathbb{C}[V]$ has a natural (positive) $\mathbb{Z}$-grading by monomial degree.

Consider the (left) regular $\mathbb{C}[V]$-module ${}_{\mathbb{C}[V]}\mathbb{C}[V]$. It is free and hence projective in $\mathbb{C}[V]$-Mod. As a graded module, we have

$$\mathbb{C}[V] = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} \mathbb{C}[V]_i.$$

Note that $\mathbb{C}[V]_i$ is infinite dimensional, for $i \neq 0$. Now we can consider the restricted dual space

$$\mathbb{C}[V]^{\star} = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} \mathbb{C}[V]_i^{*},$$

where $*$, as usual, denotes $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}({}_-,\mathbb{C})$. The module $\mathbb{C}[V]^{\star}$ is a $\mathbb{C}[V]$-submodule of the dual module $\mathbb{C}[V]^{*}$. In fact, directly from the construction it follows that $\mathbb{C}[V]^{\star}$ is the indecomposable injective envelope of the (unique) simple graded $\mathbb{C}[V]$-module. In particular, the vector space of the first self-extensions of this (unique) simple graded $\mathbb{C}[V]$-module is naturally isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[V]_1^{*}$ and thus has uncountable dimension.

For each $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, we have an algebra automorphism $\alpha_{\mathbf{a}}$ of $\mathbb{C}[V]$ which sends v_i to $v_i - a_i$, for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Applying twisting by $\alpha_{\mathbf{a}}$ to the above grading, produces a new grading on $\mathbb{C}[V]$ and gives a new indecomposable injective object ${}^{\alpha_{\mathbf{a}}}\mathbb{C}[V]^{\star}$ which now lives in the corresponding category of graded modules. Consequently, the space of the first self-extensions of any simple finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}[V]$-module has uncountable dimension.

2.3. **Untwisted affine Lie algebras.**

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a semi-simple finite dimensional Lie algebra with a fixed triangular decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+.$$

Consider the loop algebra $L(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, defined as $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]$, where the Lie bracket is given by

$$[x\otimes t^i, y\otimes t^j] = [x,y]\otimes t^{i+j}.$$

The associated *untwisted affine Lie algebra* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is defined as $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{C}d \oplus \mathbb{C}c$, where d is the derivation on $L(\mathfrak{g})$ given by $[d, x\otimes t^i] = i(x\otimes t^i)$ and c is a central element involved in the universal central extension of the loop algebra given by

$$[x\otimes t^i, y\otimes t^j] = [x,y]\otimes t^{i+j} + \delta_{i,-j}(x,y)c,$$

where $({}_-,{}_-)$ is the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a fixed parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ containing the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathfrak{n}_+$ and different from $\mathfrak{g}$. We can write

$$\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n},$$

where $\mathfrak{n}$ is the nilradical of $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{l}$ is a (reductive) Levi subalgebra. We can extend this to a decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n},$$

where $\mathfrak{m}$ is the negative counterpart of $\mathfrak{n}$.

The loop algebra $L(\mathfrak{n})$ of $\mathfrak{n}$ is nilpotent and the adjoint action of $L(\mathfrak{n})$ on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is locally nilpotent. Hence $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, L(\mathfrak{n}))$ is a Whittaker pair in the sense of [BM11].

Let Φ be the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$. Denote by $\Phi_{\mathfrak{n}}$ the subset of Φ consisting of all roots that appear in $\mathfrak{n}$. We further write $\Phi_{\mathfrak{n}} = \Phi_{\mathfrak{n}}^0 \cup \Phi_{\mathfrak{n}}^1$, where $\Phi_{\mathfrak{n}}^1$ is the subset of $\Phi_{\mathfrak{n}}$ consisting of all roots that appear in $[\mathfrak{n},\mathfrak{n}]$ and $\Phi_{\mathfrak{n}}^0 := \Phi_{\mathfrak{n}} \setminus \Phi_{\mathfrak{n}}^1$. The condition that $\mathfrak{p}$ is different from $\mathfrak{g}$ means that $\Phi_{\mathfrak{n}} \neq \varnothing$. As $\mathfrak{n}$ is nilpotent, it follows that $\Phi_{\mathfrak{n}}^0 \neq \varnothing$.

Finally, for each root $\alpha \in \Phi$ we fix a non-zero element X_α in the corresponding root subspace $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ in $\mathfrak{g}$.

3. Simple induced Whittaker modules for $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, L(\mathfrak{n}))$

3.1. **Setup.** For $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$, consider the quotient U_θ of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ by the two-sided ideal generated by $c - \theta$. Note that U_θ is a simple algebra if $\theta \neq 0$, see [BS21].

For a map Λ from $\Phi^0_{\mathfrak{n}}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, we can consider the corresponding one-dimensional $L(\mathfrak{n})$-module $\mathbb{C}_\Lambda$. This module is annihilated by $[L(\mathfrak{n}), L(\mathfrak{n})]$ and, for $\alpha \in \Phi^0_{\mathfrak{n}}$, the element $X_\alpha \otimes t^j$ acts on it as a_j, where $\mathbf{a} = (a_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} = \Lambda(\alpha)$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

3.2. **The main result.**

Theorem 4. *Assume that Λ and θ are as above and that the image of Λ (considered as a multiset) is strongly generic. Then the module*

$$M(\Lambda, \theta) = U_\theta \bigotimes_{U(L(\mathfrak{n}))} \mathbb{C}_\Lambda$$

is simple.

The fact that we consider the image of Λ as a multiset means that, in order to be strongly generic, $\Lambda(\alpha)$ can coincide neither with any $\mathbb{Z}$-translate of $\Lambda(\beta)$ nor with $(ia_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$, where $\Lambda(\beta) = (a_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$, for any two different elements $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi^0_{\mathfrak{n}}$.

Clearly, $M(\Lambda, \theta) \cong M(\Lambda', \theta')$ implies $\Lambda = \Lambda'$ and $\theta = \theta'$. Therefore Theorem 4 produces a huge family of pairwise non-isomorphic simple $U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$-modules.

3.3. **Proof of the main result.** Let Λ and θ be as above and assume that the image of Λ (considered as a multiset) is strongly generic. We are going to prove that $1 \otimes 1$ is the only element of $M(\Lambda, \theta)$ (up to scalars) on which all elements of $L(\mathfrak{n})$ act as scalars. Since the action of $L(\mathfrak{n})$ on $M(\Lambda, \theta)$ is locally finite and $1 \otimes 1$ generates $M(\Lambda, \theta)$, this immediately implies simplicity of $M(\Lambda, \theta)$.

Consider the lower central series

$$\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}_0 \supset \mathfrak{n}_1 \supset \mathfrak{n}_2 \supset \dots$$

where $\mathfrak{n}_i = [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}_{i-1}]$, and let X_i be the subset of Φ consisting of all those roots of $\mathfrak{n}_i$ that are not roots of $\mathfrak{n}_{i+1}$. Note that $X_0 = \Phi^0_{\mathfrak{n}}$ and $X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \dots = \Phi^1_{\mathfrak{n}}$. As $\mathfrak{n}$ is nilpotent, $X_i = \varnothing$, for $i \gg 0$. Let k be maximal such that $X_k \neq \varnothing$.

Fix some basis for the root system of the semi-simple part of $\mathfrak{l}$. This defines the standard partial order on the set of all roots of $\mathfrak{l}$, that is $\alpha \leq \beta$ provided that $\beta - \alpha$ is a linear combination of positive roots with non-negative integer coefficients. Fix a linear order on $(\Phi \cup \{0\}) \setminus \Phi_{\mathfrak{n}}$ such that

- the elements in $-X_k$ are all smaller than the elements in $-X_{k-1}$ which, in turn, are all smaller that the element in $-X_{k-2}$ and then all the way up with the roots of $\mathfrak{l}$ and 0 coming last;
- within each $-X_i$ and within the roots of $\mathfrak{l}$ and 0, one element is greater than the other if their difference is a linear combination of positive roots of $\mathfrak{l}$ with non-negative integer coefficients.

Now define a linear order on all elements of the form d, $X_\alpha \otimes t^j$, where $\alpha \in \Phi$, and $H_\alpha \otimes t^j$, where α is a simple root, by

- first comparing the corresponding roots with respect to the above order;
- then comparing the corresponding exponents of t;

- and, finally, letting d be greater than all $H_\alpha \otimes t^j$.

By the PBW Theorem, the module $M(\Lambda,\theta)$ has a basis given by standard monomials in the above elements. Consider the lexicographic order on the set of these monomials. Here 1 is the maximum element, it corresponds to $1\otimes 1 \in M(\Lambda,\theta)$ and this element has the property that the action of all elements from $L(\mathfrak{n})$ on it is scalar.

Now let ω be an element of $M(\Lambda,\theta)$ that is not a scalar multiple of $1\otimes 1$. Let $\mathbf{l}(\omega)$ be the **leading term** of ω, that is the minimum element in the set of all monomials that appear in ω with non-negative coefficients. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the coefficient at $\mathbf{l}(\omega)$ for ω is 1.

In order to prove our theorem, we want to prove that the $L(\mathfrak{n})$-submodule generated by ω differs from $\mathbb{C}\omega$. For this it is enough to show that there is $\alpha \in \Phi^0_{\mathfrak{n}}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the action of the element $(X_\alpha \otimes t^j) - a_j$, where $\Lambda(\alpha) = (a_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$, on ω is not zero.

Assume $\mathbf{l}(\omega) = u_1u_2\dots u_m$, where each u_i is a basis element as above (i.e. either d or $X_\alpha\otimes t^j$ or $H_\alpha \otimes t^j$). We will consider several cases depending on what u_m is.

Case 1. Assume that u_m corresponds to $\beta \in -X_i$, for $i > 0$. Then $\beta = \gamma - \alpha$, for some $\gamma \in -X_{i-1}$ and some $\alpha \in X_0 = \Phi^0_{\mathfrak{n}}$. Let $\Lambda(\alpha) = (a_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and let us apply $(X_\alpha \otimes t^j) - a_j$, for some chosen j.

Due to the Leibniz rule, the effect of this application on any monomial $v_1v_2\dots v_p$ is given by the sum of the monomials of the form $v_1v_2\dots v_{s-1}[X_\alpha\otimes t^j, v_s]v_{s+1}\dots v_p$. For simplicity, we can choose j small enough such that c never appears in the computation of the commutators. Consequently, up to a non-zero scalar, the monomial $u_1u_2\dots u_{m-1}[X_\alpha\otimes t^j, u_m]$ will be the leading term of the outcome. In particular, this outcome is non-zero.

Case 2. Assume that u_m corresponds to $\beta \in -X_0$. Here the argument is the same as in Case 1, with the only difference that $\gamma = 0$.

Case 3. Assume that u_m corresponds to β which is either 0 or a weight of $\mathfrak{l}$. This is the most complicated situation. The problem is that in this case $[X_\alpha\otimes t^j, u_m]$ belongs to $\mathfrak{n}$ and hence its action on $1\otimes 1$ is scalar. Therefore we expect $u_1u_2\dots u_{m-1}$ to be the leading term of the new element. Unfortunately, any monomial of the form $u_1u_2\dots u_{m-1}u$, where $u\in\mathfrak{l}$, may contribute to this new leading term. Therefore we need to show that we can choose α and j such that all these contributions do not cancel each other.

It is clear that $u_1u_2\dots u_{m-1}$ does not really play any role in the argument anymore. So, we are essentially looking at the situation when $\omega \in L(\mathfrak{l})\oplus\mathbb{C}d$, so we take this ω, apply $[X_\alpha\otimes t^j, \omega]$ and then apply the linear functional given by Λ. This defines a linear map from $L(\mathfrak{l})\oplus\mathbb{C}d$ to $\mathbb{C}$. We want to prove that the intersection of the kernels of all these maps, taken over all $\alpha\in\Phi^0_{\mathfrak{n}}$ and over all $j\in\mathbb{Z}$ is zero. This is where we will use the assumption that the image of Λ (considered as a multiset) is strongly generic.

To start with, we choose α such that $\beta+\alpha$ is a root. Now choose a basis in $\mathfrak{h}$ by starting with a basis of the codimension one subspace which annihilates α and then extending it by one element to a basis of $\mathfrak{h}$. Denote that last element by X_0 (similarly to X_α, where α was a root). The remaining basis elements of the Cartan commute with X_α and hence play no role. To consider all potential cancellations, we need to look at all γ, which are roots of $\mathfrak{l}$ or 0, such that $\gamma+\alpha$ is a root. The cancellations will potentially come from $[X_\gamma\otimes t^s, X_\alpha\otimes t^j]$ as well as from $[d, X_\alpha\otimes t^j]$.

For γ as above, let $\mathbf{b}^\gamma = (b_i^\gamma)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be the corresponding vector of coefficients for ω. Note that this vector is finitary, that is, only finitely many coefficients are non-zero. Similarly we define b^d as the coefficient for d. Denote $\Lambda(\alpha)$ by $\mathbf{a}$. For a fixed exponent j, the commutator $[X_\gamma \otimes t^s, X_\alpha \otimes t^j]$, which we can sum up over all s, produces, up to a non-zero scalar, the coefficient $\langle \mathbf{b}^\gamma, \mathbf{a}^{(j)}\rangle$. Similarly, the commutator $[d, X_\alpha \otimes t^j]$ produced the coefficient ja_jb^d. The condition that all this should sum up to zero, for each j, is equivalent to saying that the set of all $\mathbf{a}^{(j)}$, where $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, together with the vector (ia_i) is linearly dependent. This contradicts our assumption and completes the proof.

3.4. $U(L(\mathfrak{n}))$-**socle of** $M(\Lambda,\theta)$**.** Our proof of Theorem 4 shows that the locally finite $U(L(\mathfrak{n}))$-module $M(\Lambda,\theta)$ has simple socle. This module, however, is not the injective hull of its simple socle in the category of locally finite $U(L(\mathfrak{n}))$-modules. Indeed, $M(\Lambda,\theta)$ has countable dimension while the injective hull of its simple socle does not, see Subsection 2.2.

In the classical situation of semi-simple finite dimensional Lie algebras, generic Whittaker modules coincide with the injective hulls of their socles in the category of locally finite $U(\mathfrak{n}_+)$-modules.

The results of the next subsection are inspired by this fundamental differences between our and the classical situations. The results of the next section have no analogues in the classical case due to elementary dimension counting arguments.

3.5. **First self-extensions.**

Corollary 5. *Let Λ and θ be as in Theorem 4. Then the vector space*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})}(M(\Lambda,\theta), M(\Lambda,\theta))$$

has uncountable dimension.

Proof. By adjunction, the vector space in question is isomorphic to

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{L(\mathfrak{n})}(\mathbb{C}_\Lambda, \mathrm{Res}^{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}_{L(\mathfrak{n})}(M(\Lambda,\theta))).$$

In the proof of Theorem 4 we showed that the $L(\mathfrak{n})$-module $\mathrm{Res}^{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}_{L(\mathfrak{n})}(M(\Lambda,\theta))$ has simple socle.

Applying $\mathrm{Hom}_{L(\mathfrak{n})}(\mathbb{C}_\Lambda, {}_-)$ to the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{C}_\Lambda \to \mathrm{Res}^{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}_{L(\mathfrak{n})}(M(\Lambda,\theta)) \to \mathrm{Coker} \to 0,$$

gives rise to an exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{L(\mathfrak{n})}(\mathbb{C}_\Lambda, \mathrm{Coker}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{L(\mathfrak{n})}(\mathbb{C}_\Lambda, \mathbb{C}_\Lambda) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{L(\mathfrak{n})}(\mathbb{C}_\Lambda, \mathrm{Res}^{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}_{L(\mathfrak{n})}(M(\Lambda,\theta))). \tag{1}$$

Since $M(\Lambda,\theta)$ has countable dimension, so does Coker. Hence $\mathrm{Hom}_{L(\mathfrak{n})}(\mathbb{C}_\Lambda, \mathrm{Coker})$ has countable dimension as well.

In Subsection 2.2 we noticed that the vector space

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{L(\mathfrak{n})}(\mathbb{C}_\Lambda, \mathbb{C}_\Lambda)$$

has uncountable dimension. The exactness of (1) implies that the rightmost term in (1) has uncountable dimension. The claim follows. □

3.6. **The case of loop algebra.** We remark that, for the loop algebra $L(\mathfrak{g})$, an analogue of Theorem 4 holds, with a similar proof, under a weaker assumption that the image of Λ (considered as a multiset) is generic.

4. TENSOR PRODUCTS OF SIMPLE WHITTAKER MODULES

4.1. **The result.**

Theorem 6. *Assume that* Λ, Λ', θ *and* θ' *are as above and that the union of the images of* Λ *and* Λ' *(considered as a multiset) is strongly generic. Then we have*

$$M(\Lambda,\theta)\bigotimes_{\mathbb{C}} M(\Lambda',\theta')\cong M(\Lambda+\Lambda',\theta+\theta'),$$

in particular, the tensor product on the left hand side is a simple module.

Of course, not all tensor products of modules of the form $M(\Lambda,\theta)$ are simple. For example, it is easy to check that the module $M(\Lambda,\theta)\bigotimes_{\mathbb{C}} M(-\Lambda,-\theta)$ is not simple.

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 6.** We start by observing that we have an isomorphism $\mathbb{C}_\Lambda\bigotimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{C}_{\Lambda'}\cong\mathbb{C}_{\Lambda+\Lambda'}$ of $L(\mathfrak{n})$-modules. Consequently, $L(\mathfrak{n})$ acts locally finitely on the module $M(\Lambda,\theta)\bigotimes_{\mathbb{C}} M(\Lambda',\theta')$ with unique eigenvalues of the element of $L(\mathfrak{n})$ given by $\Lambda+\Lambda'$. It is also clear that c acts on $M(\Lambda,\theta)\bigotimes_{\mathbb{C}} M(\Lambda',\theta')$ as $\theta+\theta'$.

We denote by v and w the canonical generators of $M(\Lambda,\theta)$ and $M(\Lambda',\theta')$, respectively. Just like in our proof of Theorem 4, it is enough to show that the only element of $M(\Lambda,\theta)\bigotimes_{\mathbb{C}} M(\Lambda',\theta')$ on which $L(\mathfrak{n})$ acts via scalars is $v\otimes w$, up to scalars. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 4, we take some other element in $M(\Lambda,\theta)\bigotimes_{\mathbb{C}} M(\Lambda',\theta')$, and show that there is an element in $L(\mathfrak{n})$ whose application, minus the corresponding scalar, gives a non-zero outcome.

We have a natural basis of $M(\Lambda,\theta)\bigotimes_{\mathbb{C}} M(\Lambda',\theta')$ given by pairs of monomials as in the proof of Theorem 4. We order them lexicographically, by comparing first the left components and then the right components. Now the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 4 work, except that we have to consider one extra case.

Extra Case. Assume that we have an element of the form $((u_1u_2\dots u_m)\cdot v)\otimes w$ and that u_m corresponds to β which is either 0 or a weight of $\mathfrak{l}$. Then the application of $L(\mathfrak{n})$ produces a scalar multiple of $((u_1u_2\dots u_{m-1})\cdot v)\otimes w$. The latter can also appear after the application of $L(\mathfrak{n})$ to $((u_1u_2\dots u_{m-1})\cdot v)\otimes(u\cdot w)$, where u corresponds to β which is either 0 or a weight of $\mathfrak{l}$. Therefore we have to argue that one can avoid cancellation of the coefficient at $((u_1u_2\dots u_{m-1})\cdot v)\otimes w$. This is done by the same argument as in the last case of the proof of Theorem 4, since we have assumed that the union of the images of Λ and Λ' (considered as a multiset) is strongly generic. The claim of the theorem follows.

5. EXAMPLES

5.1. **Construction of (strongly) generic sets.** It is not too difficult to produce large examples of generic and strongly generic sets in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. For instance, for $j\in\mathbb{R}_{>1}$, define $\mathbf{a}(j)=(a(j)_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ as follows:

$$a(j)_i:=\begin{cases}0, & i\leq 0;\\ j^i, & i>0.\end{cases}$$

Proposition 7. *The set* $\{\mathbf{a}(j)\,:\,j\in\mathbb{R}_{>1}\}$ *is strongly generic.*

Proof. Consider some non-trivial linear combination of all these vectors, their $\mathbb{Z}$-shifts and (ia_i)-modifications and assume that this linear combination is zero. Let j be maximal such that the corresponding $(a(j)_i)$ or $(ia(j)_i)$ appears in this linear combination with a non-zero coefficient.

Assume first that the coefficient at $(ia(j)_i)$ is non-zero. Note that $ia(j)_i$ growth strictly faster than all other functions that appear with non-zero coefficient. This implies that it outgrowth all other terms of the linear combination for $i \gg 0$ and hence the corresponding terms will be non-zero. This is a contradiction which means that this case cannot occur.

Consequently, some $\mathbb{Z}$-shifts of $(a(j)_i)$ appear in our linear combination with non-zero coefficients. Since the linear combination of these terms outgrowth the rest, for $i \gg 0$, already the linear combination of these terms must be zero. But in such linear combination we have the smallest $\mathbb{Z}$-shift which appears with a non-zero coefficient. Since $(a(j)_i)$ is zero up to the zero term and is non-zero after that, that first non-zero term for that smallest $\mathbb{Z}$-shift cannot be cancelled by any other shift. The obtained contradiction completes the proof. ☐

5.2. **$\mathfrak{sl}_2$-example.** Let $\{e,h,f\}$ be the standard basis for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, where e corresponds to the positive root α. For the algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$, we have a unique choice of $\mathfrak{n}$, namely $\mathbb{C}e$. Then $L(\mathbb{C}e)$ is a commutative algebra. Taking any strongly generic $\mathbf{a}$ as $\Lambda(\alpha)$, for example, any $\mathbf{a}(j)$ from the previous subsection, and any θ, produces a simple $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$-module $M(\mathbf{a},\theta)$.

5.3. **$\mathfrak{sl}_3$-example.** Let $\{\alpha,\beta,\alpha+\beta\}$ be the set of positive roots for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$. We have two essentially different cases for our construction.

Case 1. We can take $\mathfrak{n}=\mathfrak{n}_+$ in which case $\Phi^0_{\mathfrak{n}}=\{\alpha,\beta\}$. In this case $L(\mathfrak{n})$ is nilpotent of degree two, but not abelian. Choosing a strongly generic pair consisting of $\mathbf{a}$ (as $\Lambda(\alpha)$) and $\mathbf{b}$ (as $\Lambda(\beta)$), and any θ, we get the corresponding simple $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_3$-module $M(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b},\theta)$. For example, we can take as $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ any two different elements constructed in the previous subsection.

Case 2. We can take $\mathfrak{n}=\mathbb{C}\{X_\alpha,X_{\alpha+\beta}\}$ in which case $\Phi^0_{\mathfrak{n}}=\{\alpha,\alpha+\beta\}$. Note that $L(\mathfrak{n})$ is abelian in this case. Now, choosing a strongly generic pair consisting of $\mathbf{a}$ (as $\Lambda(\alpha)$) and $\mathbf{c}$ (as $\Lambda(\alpha+\beta)$), and any θ, we get the corresponding simple $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_3$-module $M(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{c},\theta)$. For example, we can take as $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{c}$ any two different elements constructed in the previous subsection.

References

[ALZ16] Adamović, D.; Lü, R.; Zhao, K. Whittaker modules for the affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$. Adv. Math. **289** (2016), 438–479.

[AT23] Adamović, D.; Tomić, V. Whittaker modules for $\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}$-modules which are not tensor products. Lett. Math. Phys. **113** (2023), no. 2, Paper No. 39, 32 pp.

[Ba97] Backelin, E. Representation of the category $\mathcal{O}$ in Whittaker categories. Internat. Math. Res. Notices **1997** (1997), no. 4, 153–172.

[BCW14] Bagci, I.; Christodoulopoulou, K.; Wiesner, E. Whittaker categories and Whittaker modules for Lie superalgebras. Comm. Algebra **42** (2014), no. 11, 4932–4947.

[BM11] Batra, P.; Mazorchuk, V. Blocks and modules for Whittaker pairs. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **215** (2011), no. 7, 1552–1568.

[BS21] Biswal, R.; Sierra, S. Ideals in enveloping algebras of affine Kac-Moody algebras Preprint arXiv:2112.08334.

[CTZ20] Cai, Y.-A.; Tan, H.; Zhao, K. New representations of affine Kac-Moody algebras. J. Algebra **547** (2020), 95–115.

[CF23] Cardoso, M.; Futorny, V. Affine Lie algebra representations induced from Whittaker modules. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **151** (2023), no. 3, 1041–1053.

[Cha86] Chari, V. Integrable representations of affine Lie-algebras. Invent. Math. **85** (1986), no. 2, 317–335.

[CP86] Chari, V.; Pressley, A. New unitary representations of loop groups. Math. Ann. **275** (1986), no. 1, 87–104.

[CP87] Chari, V.; Pressley, A. A new family of irreducible, integrable modules for affine Lie algebras. Math. Ann. **277** (1987), no. 3, 543–562.

[Che21] Chen, C.-W. Whittaker modules for classical Lie superalgebras. Comm. Math. Phys. **388** (2021), no. 1, 351–383.

[CCM22] Chen, C.-W.; Cheng, S.-J.; Mazorchuk, V. Whittaker categories, properly stratified categories and Fock space categorification for Lie superalgebras. Comm. Math. Phys. **401** (2023), no. 1, 717–768.

[Chr08] Christodoulopoulou, K. Whittaker modules for Heisenberg algebras and imaginary Whittaker modules for affine Lie algebras. J. Algebra **320** (2008), no. 7, 2871–2890.

[Di96] Dixmier, J. Enveloping algebras. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **11**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.

[Fu97] Futorny, V. Verma type modules of level zero for affine Lie algebras. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **349** (1997), no. 7, 2663–2685.

[FK18] Futorny, V.; Kashuba, I. Structure of parabolically induced modules for affine Kac-Moody algebras. J. Algebra **500** (2018), 362–374.

[FT01] Futorny, V.; Tsylke, A. Classification of irreducible nonzero level modules with finite-dimensional weight spaces for affine Lie algebras. J. Algebra **238** (2001), no. 2, 426–441.

[G-S23] Guerrini, M.; Kashuba, I.; Morales, O.; de Oliveira, A.; Santos, F. Generalized imaginary Verma and Wakimoto modules. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **227** (2023), no. 7, Paper No. 107332, 18 pp.

[GZ13] Guo, X.; Zhao, K. Irreducible representations of untwisted affine Kac-Moody algebras. Preprint arXiv:1305.4059v2 (2013).

[HY19] Hartwig, J.; Yu, N. Simple Whittaker modules over free bosonic orbifold vertex operator algebras. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **147** (2019), no. 8, 3259–3272.

[Ko78] Kostant, B. On Whittaker vectors and representation theory. Invent. Math. **48** (1978), no. 2, 101–184.

[LG13] Liu, X.; Guo, X. Whittaker modules over loop Virasoro algebra. Front. Math. China **8** (2013), no. 2, 393–410.

[LWZ10] Liu, D.; Wu, Y.; Zhu, L. Whittaker modules for the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra. J. Math. Phys. **51** (2010), no. 2, 023524, 12 pp.

[MZ14] Mazorchuk, V.; Zhao, K. Simple Virasoro modules which are locally finite over a positive part. Selecta Math. (N.S.) **20** (2014), no. 3, 839–854.

[MZ18] Mazorchuk, V.; Zhao, K. Graded simple Lie algebras and graded simple representations. Manuscripta Math. **156** (2018), no. 1-2, 215–240.

[Mc85] McDowell, E. On modules induced from Whittaker modules. J. Algebra **96** (1985), no. 1, 161–177.

[Mc93] McDowell, E. A module induced from a Whittaker module. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** (1993), no. 2, 349–354.

[MS97] Miličić, D.; Soergel, W. The composition series of modules induced from Whittaker modules. Comment. Math. Helv. **72** (1997), no. 4, 503–520.

[OW09] Ondrus, M.; Wiesner, E. Whittaker modules for the Virasoro algebra. J. Algebra Appl. **8** (2009), no. 3, 363–377.

V. M.: Department of Mathematics, Uppsala University, Box. 480, SE-75106, Uppsala, SWEDEN, email: `mazor@math.uu.se`