Effective inter-band coupling in MgB_2 due to anharmonic phonons.

M. Acquarone[1,2] and L. Romanó[2]

[1]*IMEM-CNR, Parco Area delle Scienze, 43100 Parma (Italy);* [2] *Unità INFM e Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Parma, Parco Area delle Scienze, 43100 Parma (Italy).*

(May 5, 2019)

Typeset using REVTEX

Abstract

We investigate the origin of the inter-band coupling in MgB_2 by focusing on its unusual phononic features, namely, the strong anharmonicity of the phonons and the presence of both linear and quadratic electron-phonon interactions of the Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) type. The bare electronic Hamiltonian has two bands with intra- and inter-band hopping, which lead to two decoupled hybridized bands. The phonon Hamiltonian including the anharmonic terms is diagonalized approximately by a squeezing transformation, which causes the softening of the phonon frequency. The linear SSH coupling amplitude is reduced, consistently with the estimates from first-principle calculations. Additionally, the quadratic coupling generates an effective phonon-induced interaction between the hybridized bands, which is non-vanishing even in the limit of vanishing inter-bare-band hopping amplitude.

PACS:74.20-z, 74.70-b

I. INTRODUCTION.

The electronic structure of the 40 K superconductor MgB_2 is characterized by the presence at the Fermi level of two hybrid bands (σ and π) of very different character [1]. This feature reflects itself in the experimental evidence of two gaps, which, in the absence of magnetic fields, have a common critical temperature [2]. This implies an interaction between the σ and π bands contributing to the Fermi surface [3]. The microscopic origin of this interaction is, to the best of our knowledge, not yet clarified. The present work suggests that it might be traced to the unusual phononic structure of MgB_2. The dominant electron-phonon interaction is of the Su-Schrieffer- Heeger (SSH) type, namely a modulation of the hopping due to the vibration of the Boron ions along the inter-site bond [4], [5]. The unusual features are the presence of anharmonic contributions (up to fourth order in the displacements) to the phononic Hamiltonian, and of both a linear and a quadratic term in the SSH interaction [5] [6] [7]. Our aim is to give a qualitative picture of the issue by discussing a physically transparent model, but with no ambition of giving detailed quantitative results. However, we also show that our model is quantitatively consistent with the numerical results of Refs. [5] [6] [7]

II. THE ELECTRONIC HAMILTONIAN.

Our model of the electronic structure of MgB_2 by a Hamiltonian has two bands, labelled c and d, which hybridize through an inter-site hopping term. Then, in the real space representation, the bare electronic Hamiltonian reads:

$$H_{el} = \varepsilon^c \sum_{l\sigma} n^c_{l\sigma} + \varepsilon^d \sum_{l\sigma} n^d_{l\sigma} + \sum_{l\langle j\rangle\sigma} \left[t^{cc}_{lj} c^\dagger_{l\sigma} c_{j\sigma} + t^{dd}_{lj} d^\dagger_{l\sigma} d_{j\sigma} \right] + \sum_{l\langle j\rangle\sigma} t^{cd}_{lj} \left(c^\dagger_{l\sigma} d_{j\sigma} + d^\dagger_{j\sigma} c_{l\sigma} \right) \tag{1}$$

where $\sum_{l\langle j\rangle}$ means summing on the z nearest neigbors j of a given site l, and then on l. In MgB_2 one expects that $t^{cc}_{lj}, t^{dd}_{lj} \gg t^{cd}_{lj}$ [1], [4]. The electron-phonon coupling parameters in the SSH scenario are derivatives of the hopping amplitudes with respect to the inter-site distance. By using $c^\dagger_{l\sigma} = N^{-1/2} \sum_k c^\dagger_{k\sigma} \exp(ikR_l)$ we pass to the reciprocal space representation, yielding :

$$H_{el} = \sum_{k\sigma} \left(\varepsilon^c + z t^{cc}_k \right) n^c_{k\sigma} + \sum_{k\sigma} \left(\varepsilon^d + z t^{dd}_k \right) n^d_{k\sigma} + \sum_{k\sigma} z t^{cd}_k \left(c^\dagger_{k\sigma} d_{k\sigma} + d^\dagger_{k\sigma} c_{k\sigma} \right) \tag{2}$$

where $t^{xy}_k = z^{-1} \sum_{\langle j\rangle} t^{xy}_{lj} \exp\left[ik\left(R_l - R_j\right)\right]$ with $x, y = c, d$. To diagonalize H_{el} we express the bare operators $c^\dagger_{k\sigma}, d^\dagger_{k\sigma}$ through the hybridized operators $\alpha^\dagger_{k\sigma}, \beta^\dagger_{k\sigma}$ according to:

$$c^\dagger_{k\sigma} = \alpha^\dagger_{k\sigma} \cos\varphi_k + \beta^\dagger_{k\sigma} \sin\varphi_k \qquad d^\dagger_{k\sigma} = -\alpha^\dagger_{k\sigma} \sin\varphi_k + \beta^\dagger_{k\sigma} \cos\varphi_k \tag{3}$$

By choosing

$$\tan(2\varphi_k) = -\frac{2 z t^{cd}_k}{\varepsilon^c_k - \varepsilon^d_k + z\left(t^{cc}_k - t^{dd}_k\right)} \tag{4}$$

H_{el} is brought to diagonal form $H_{el} = \sum_{k\sigma} \left(E_k^\alpha n_{k\sigma}^\alpha + E_k^\beta n_{k\sigma}^\beta \right)$, with the particle energies in the hybridized bands given by:

$$E_k^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \left[\varepsilon^c + \varepsilon^d + z \left(t_k^{cc} + t_k^{dd} \right) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{ \left[\varepsilon^c - \varepsilon^d + z \left(t_k^{cc} - t_k^{dd} \right) \right]^2 + \left(2 z t_k^{cd} \right)^2 } \qquad (5)$$

$$E_k^\beta = \frac{1}{2} \left[\varepsilon^c + \varepsilon^d + z \left(t_k^{cc} + t_k^{dd} \right) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{ \left[\varepsilon^c - \varepsilon^d + z \left(t_k^{cc} - t_k^{dd} \right) \right]^2 + \left(2 z t_k^{cd} \right)^2 } \qquad (6)$$

III. THE PHONONIC HAMILTONIAN WITH ANHARMONIC TERMS.

Following [6], [7] we assume that the purely phononic Hamiltonian H_{ph} for MgB_2 has to include, apart from the usual harmonic term, also a non-negligible quartic contribution. It takes the form:

$$H_{ph} = \sum_q \frac{P_q P_{-q}}{2M} + \frac{M}{2} \sum_q \Omega_q^2 u_q u_{-q} + \frac{M^2}{4} \sum_{qp} x_{qp} \Omega_q^2 \Omega_p^2 u_q u_{-q} u_p u_{-p} \qquad (7)$$

where M is the Boron mass and Ω_q is the frequency, at the wavevector q along the $\Gamma - A$ line, of the optical mode of E_{2g} symmetry. The parameter x_{qp} expresses the strength of the quartic term involving the wavevectors $\pm q$ and $\pm p$. In MgB_2, from Ref. [6], one can estimate $x_{qp} \approx 7.8$ eV^{-1}.

By quantizing the phonon field according to the usual relations:

$$u_q = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2M\Omega_q}} \left(b_{-q}^\dagger + b_q \right) \qquad P_q = i \sqrt{\frac{\hbar \Omega_q}{2M}} \left(b_{-q}^\dagger - b_q \right) \qquad L_q = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2M\Omega_q}} \qquad (8)$$

the harmonic part becomes $\sum_q \hbar \Omega_q \left(b_q^\dagger b_q + \frac{1}{2} \right)$. When quantizing the quartic term, we neglect the terms with different numbers of creation and destruction operators and keep the remaining four-operator products only when diagonal. Namely, we approximate $b_{-q}^\dagger b_q^\dagger b_{-p} b_p \approx \left(\delta_{p,q} + \delta_{p,-q} \right) \nu_q \nu_{-q}$, where $b_q^\dagger b_q = \nu_q$. The quartic contribution then reduces to:

$$\sum_{qp} x_{qp} \left(\frac{\hbar \Omega_q}{4} \right) \left(\frac{\hbar \Omega_p}{4} \right) \left(b_{-q}^\dagger + b_q \right) \left(b_q^\dagger + b_{-q} \right) \left(b_{-p}^\dagger + b_p \right) \left(b_p^\dagger + b_{-p} \right) \approx$$

$$\approx 4 \sum_q \left(\frac{\hbar \Omega_q}{4} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \nu_q \right) \sum_p x_{qp} \left(\frac{\hbar \Omega_p}{4} \right) \left(1 + \delta_{qp} \right)$$

$$+4\sum_{qp} x_{qp}\left(\frac{\hbar\Omega_p}{4}\right)\left(\frac{\hbar\Omega_q}{4}\right)\nu_q\nu_p\left(1+\delta_{q,-p}\right)+2\sum_q\left(\frac{\hbar\Omega_q}{4}\right)\left(b^\dagger_{-q}b^\dagger_q+b_{-q}b_q\right)\sum_p x_{qp}\left(\frac{\hbar\Omega_p}{4}\right)$$

$$-2\sum_{qp} x_{qp}\left(\frac{\hbar\Omega_q}{4}\right)\left(\frac{\hbar\Omega_p}{4}\right)+\sum_{qp} x_{qp}\left(\frac{\hbar\Omega_q}{4}\right)\left(\frac{\hbar\Omega_p}{4}\right) \tag{9}$$

The product $\nu_q\nu_p$ is approximated in the MFA fashion, i.e. $\nu_q\nu_p \approx \nu_q\langle\nu_p\rangle + \langle\nu_q\rangle\nu_p - \langle\nu_p\rangle\langle\nu_q\rangle$. Putting together the constant terms, we can rewrite Eq.9 as:

$$\sum_q \hbar\Omega_q\left(\frac{1}{2}+\nu_q\right)\sum_p x_{qp}\left(\frac{\hbar\Omega_p}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}+\langle\nu_p\rangle\right)\left(1+\delta_{q,-p}\right)$$

$$+\sum_q \hbar\Omega_q\left(b^\dagger_{-q}b^\dagger_q+b_{-q}b_q\right)\sum_p x_{qp}\left(\frac{\hbar\Omega_p}{8}\right)+\text{const.} \tag{10}$$

Adding the harmonic contribution and defining

$$X_q \equiv 1+\sum_p x_{qp}\left(\frac{\hbar\Omega_p}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}+\langle\nu_p\rangle\right)\left(1+\delta_{q,-p}\right) \tag{11}$$

we obtain the purely phononic Hamiltonian as:

$$H_{ph}=\sum_q \hbar\Omega_q X_q\left(\frac{1}{2}+\nu_q\right)+\sum_q \hbar\Omega_q\left(b^\dagger_{-q}b^\dagger_q+b_{-q}b_q\right)\sum_p x_{qp}\left(\frac{\hbar\Omega_p}{8}\right)+\text{const.} \tag{12}$$

This form can be diagonalized by a "squeezing " transformation [9] $e^S \equiv \exp\left[-\sum_q \eta_q\left(b^\dagger_{-q}b^\dagger_q - b_{-q}b_q\right)\right]$ under the condition that

$$\tanh\left(2\eta_q\right) = -\frac{1}{X_q}\sum_p x_{qp}\left(\frac{\hbar\Omega_p}{4}\right) \tag{13}$$

Notice that Eq.13 yields $\eta_q < 0$. The diagonalized Hamiltonian $e^S H_{ph} e^{-S}$ can now be written as:

$$e^S H_{ph} e^{-S} = \sum_q \hbar\Omega_q\left[X_q\cosh\left(2\eta_q\right)+2\sinh\left(2\eta_q\right)\sum_p x_{qp}\frac{\hbar\Omega_p}{8}\right]\left(b^\dagger_q b_q+\frac{1}{2}\right)+\text{const.} \tag{14}$$

By substituting η_q from Eq.13 into Eq.14, the renormalized frequency ω_q of the harmonic Hamiltonian for the squeezed phonons is written explicitly as:

$$\omega_q = \Omega_q X_q\left[\sqrt{1-\tanh^2\left(2\eta_q\right)}\right] \tag{15}$$

where $\Omega_q X_q$ is the phonon frequency entering the quadratic part of the unsqueezed phononic Hamiltonian (see. Eq.12). Thus ω_q is reduced (softened) with respect to the bare frequency $X_q\Omega_q$, in accordance with the findings of Refs. [5] [6] [7].

IV. THE LINEAR ELECTRON-PHONON INTERACTION.

The linear part of the SSH electron-phonon interaction is written, in real space, as

$$H_{ep}^{(1)} = \sum_{l\langle j\rangle\sigma} \left[g_{lj}^{cc} c_{l\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + g_{lj}^{dd} d_{l\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{j\sigma}\right] \left(u_l - u_j\right) + \sum_{l\langle j\rangle\sigma} g_{lj}^{cd} \left(d_{l\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + c_{j\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{l\sigma}\right) \left(u_l - u_j\right) \tag{16}$$

where $g_{lj}^{cc} = \partial t_{lj}^{cc} / \partial \left(u_l - u_j\right) |_0 = -g_{jl}^{cc}$ etc., are the coupling constants.

To obtain the Fourier-transformed form of Eq.16 we define $g_k^{cc} = (1/z) \sum_{\langle j\rangle} g_{lj}^{cc} \exp(ik\Delta_{lj})$ so that

$$\frac{z}{2} \left(g_{k-q}^{cc} + g_k^{cc} - g_{-(k-q)}^{cc} - g_{-k}^{cc}\right) = i \sum_{\langle j\rangle} g_{lj}^{cc} \left\{\sin\left[(k-q)\cdot\Delta_{lj}\right] - \sin\left[k\cdot\Delta_{lj}\right]\right\} \equiv \gamma_{k,q}^{cc} \tag{17}$$

with analogous relations defining $\gamma_{k,q}^{dd}$ and $\gamma_{k,q}^{cd}$. Quantization of the phonons according to Eq.8 leads to:

$$H_{ep}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{kq\sigma} L_q \left[\gamma_{k,q}^{cc} c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k-q\sigma} + \gamma_{k,q}^{dd} d_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{k-q\sigma} + \gamma_{k,q}^{cd} \left(c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{k-q\sigma} + d_{k-q\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k\sigma}\right)\right] \left(b_{-q}^{\dagger} + b_q\right) \tag{18}$$

When transformed to the hybridized fermion representation $H_{ep}^{(1)}$ reads:

$$H_{ep}^{(1)} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{kq\sigma} L_q \left[\Gamma_{k,k-q}^{\alpha\alpha} \alpha_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} \alpha_{k-q,\sigma} + \Gamma_{k,k-q}^{\beta\beta} \beta_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} \beta_{k-q,\sigma} + \Gamma_{k,k-q}^{\alpha\beta} \alpha_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} \beta_{k-q,\sigma} + \Gamma_{k,k-q}^{\beta\alpha} \beta_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} \alpha_{k-q,\sigma}\right] \left(b_{-q}^{\dagger} + b_q\right) \tag{19}$$

where the effective couplings are defined as:

$$\Gamma_{k,k-q}^{\alpha\alpha} = \gamma_{k,k-q}^{cc} \cos\varphi_k \cos\varphi_{k-q} + \gamma_{k,k-q}^{dd} \sin\varphi_k \sin\varphi_{k-q} - 2\gamma_{k,k-q}^{cd} \cos\varphi_k \sin\varphi_{k-q} \tag{20}$$

$$\Gamma_{k,k-q}^{\beta\beta} = \gamma_{k,k-q}^{cc} \sin\varphi_k \sin\varphi_{k-q} + \gamma_{k,k-q}^{dd} \cos\varphi_k \cos\varphi_{k-q} + 2\gamma_{k,k-q}^{cd} \sin\varphi_k \cos\varphi_{k-q} \tag{21}$$

$$\Gamma_{k,k-q}^{\alpha\beta} = \gamma_{k,k-q}^{cc} \cos\varphi_k \sin\varphi_{k-q} - \gamma_{k,k-q}^{dd} \sin\varphi_k \cos\varphi_{k-q} + \gamma_{k,k-q}^{cd} \left(\cos\varphi_k \cos\varphi_{k-q} + \sin\varphi_k \sin\varphi_{k-q}\right) \tag{22}$$

$$\Gamma_{k,k-q}^{\beta\alpha} = \gamma_{k,k-q}^{cc} \sin\varphi_k \cos\varphi_{k-q} - \gamma_{k,k-q}^{dd} \cos\varphi_k \sin\varphi_{k-q} - \gamma_{k,k-q}^{cd} \left(\cos\varphi_k \cos\varphi_{k-q} + \sin\varphi_k \sin\varphi_{k-q}\right) \tag{23}$$

V. THE QUADRATIC ELECTRON-PHONON INTERACTION.

According to Refs. [5] [6] [7], the electron-phonon Hamiltonian has to include also a quadratic term, which we write in real space as:

$$H_{ep}^{(2)} = \sum_{l\langle j\rangle\sigma} \left[f_{lj}^{cc} c_{l\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + f_{lj}^{dd} d_{l\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{j\sigma}\right] \left(u_l - u_j\right)^2 + \sum_{l\langle j\rangle\sigma} f_{lj}^{cd} \left(d_{l\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + c_{j\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{l\sigma}\right) \left(u_l - u_j\right)^2 \qquad (24)$$

where $f_{lj}^{cc} = \partial^2 t_{lj}^{cc} / \partial \left(u_l - u_j\right)^2 |_0 = f_{jl}^{cc}$, etc. By defining $f_k^{cc} = z^{-1} \sum_{\langle j\rangle} f_{lj}^{cc} e^{ik\Delta_{lj}}$ and the coefficients $F_{kpq}^{xy} = z\left(f_p^{xy} + f_k^{xy} - 2 f_{k-q}^{xy}\right)$ with $x, y = c, d$, the Fourier transform reads:

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{kpq\sigma} c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{p\sigma} u_q u_{k-p-q} F_{kpq}^{cc} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{kpq\sigma} d_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{p\sigma} u_q u_{k-p-q} F_{kpq}^{dd}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{kpq\sigma} \left(d_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{p\sigma} u_q u_{k-p-q} + c_{p\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{k\sigma} u_q u_{p-k-q}\right) F_{kpq}^{cd} \qquad (25)$$

To pass over to the hybridized-fermion representation, let us define for short:

$$F_{kpq}^{\alpha\alpha} = F_{kpq}^{cc} \cos\varphi_k \cos\varphi_p + F_{kpq}^{dd} \sin\varphi_k \sin\varphi_p - F_{kpq}^{cd} \sin\varphi_k \cos\varphi_p \qquad (26)$$

$$F_{kpq}^{\beta\beta} = F_{kpq}^{cc} \sin\varphi_k \sin\varphi_p + F_{kpq}^{dd} \cos\varphi_k \cos\varphi_p + F_{kpq}^{cd} \cos\varphi_k \sin\varphi_p \qquad (27)$$

$$F_{kpq}^{\alpha\beta} = F_{kpq}^{cc} \cos\varphi_k \sin\varphi_p - F_{kpq}^{dd} \sin\varphi_k \cos\varphi_p - F_{kpq}^{cd} \sin\varphi_k \sin\varphi_p \qquad (28)$$

$$F_{kpq}^{\beta\alpha} = F_{kpq}^{cc} \sin\varphi_k \cos\varphi_p - F_{kpq}^{dd} \cos\varphi_k \sin\varphi_p + F_{kpq}^{cd} \cos\varphi_k \cos\varphi_p \qquad (29)$$

Then, the quadratic coupling can be cast in the form:

$$H_{ep}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{kpq} \left(\alpha_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} \alpha_{p\sigma} F_{kpq}^{\alpha\alpha} + \beta_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} \beta_{p\sigma} F_{kpq}^{\beta\beta} + \alpha_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} \beta_{p\sigma} F_{kpq}^{\alpha\beta} + \beta_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} \alpha_{p\sigma} F_{kpq}^{\beta\alpha}\right) u_q u_{k-p-q}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{kpq} \left(\alpha_{p\sigma}^{\dagger} \alpha_{k\sigma} F_{kpq}^{\alpha\alpha} + \beta_{p\sigma}^{\dagger} \beta_{k\sigma} F_{kpq}^{\beta\beta} + \alpha_{p\sigma}^{\dagger} \beta_{k\sigma} F_{kpq}^{\beta\alpha} + \beta_{p\sigma}^{\dagger} \alpha_{k\sigma} F_{kpq}^{\alpha\beta}\right) u_{-q} u_{-(k-p-q)} \qquad (30)$$

Next, we quantize the phonons according to Eq.8. By enforcing $k = p$ we take into account only the terms which do not change the number of phonons in a given mode:

$$u_{\pm q}u_{\pm(k-p-q)} \approx u_q u_{-q}\delta_{pk} = \delta_{pk}L_q^2\left(b_{-q}^\dagger b_q^\dagger + b_q b_{-q} + \nu_q + \nu_{-q} + 1\right) \tag{31}$$

Let us stress that our aim is to show that there are some contributions to $H_{ep}^{(2)}$ which provide an effective inter-band coupling. We do not claim to be able to treat all the terms in $H_{ep}^{(2)}$: we just want to select the subset of "hot "terms. Selecting the $p = q$ terms, then, Eq.30 can be written compactly as

$$H_{ep}^{(2)} \approx \frac{1}{N}\sum_{kq}\left(2F_{kkq}^{\alpha\alpha}n_{k\sigma}^{\alpha} + 2F_{kkq}^{\beta\beta}n_{k\sigma}^{\beta}\right)L_q^2\left(b_{-q}^\dagger b_q^\dagger + b_q b_{-q} + \nu_q + \nu_{-q} + 1\right)$$

$$+\frac{1}{N}\sum_{kq}\left(F_{kkq}^{\beta\alpha} + F_{kkq}^{\alpha\beta}\right)\left(\alpha_{k\sigma}^\dagger\beta_{k\sigma} + \beta_{k\sigma}^\dagger\alpha_{k\sigma}\right)L_q^2\left(b_{-q}^\dagger b_q^\dagger + b_q b_{-q} + \nu_q + \nu_{-q} + 1\right) \tag{32}$$

VI. THE ELECTRON-PHONON HAMILTONIAN IN THE SQUEEZED PHONON REPRESENTATION.

Let us now introduce the squeezed phonon representation also for $H_{ep}^{(1)} + H_{ep}^{(2)}$. By using the relation $e^S\left(b_{-q}^\dagger + b_q\right)e^{-S} = e^{\eta_q}\left(b_{-q}^\dagger + b_q\right)$ the linear coupling term becomes:

$$e^S H_{ep}^{(1)} e^{-S} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{kq\sigma}L_q e^{\eta_q}\left[\Gamma_{k,k-q}^{\alpha\alpha}\alpha_{k\sigma}^\dagger\alpha_{k-q,\sigma} + \Gamma_{k,k-q}^{\beta\beta}\beta_{k\sigma}^\dagger\beta_{k-q,\sigma} + \Gamma_{k,k-q}^{\alpha\beta}\alpha_{k\sigma}^\dagger\beta_{k-q,\sigma} + \Gamma_{k,k-q}^{\beta\alpha}\beta_{k\sigma}^\dagger\alpha_{k-q,\sigma}\right]\left(b_{-q}^\dagger + b_q\right) \tag{33}$$

Then the linear coupling has a reduce amplitude, as $\eta_q < 0$ (see Eq.13), consistently with the numerical analysis of Ref. [7].

For the quadratic part $H_{ep}^{(2)}$ we get:

$$e^S H_{ep}^{(2)} e^{-S} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{kq}\left(2F_{kkq}^{\alpha\alpha}n_{k\sigma}^{\alpha} + 2F_{kkq}^{\beta\beta}n_{k\sigma}^{\beta}\right)L_q^2 e^{2\eta_q}\left(b_{-q}^\dagger b_q^\dagger + b_q b_{-q} + \nu_q + \nu_{-q} + 1\right)$$

$$+\frac{1}{N}\sum_{kq}\left(F_{kkq}^{\beta\alpha} + F_{kkq}^{\alpha\beta}\right)\left(\alpha_{k\sigma}^\dagger\beta_{k\sigma} + \beta_{k\sigma}^\dagger\alpha_{k\sigma}\right)L_q^2 e^{2\eta_q}\left(b_{-q}^\dagger b_q^\dagger + b_q b_{-q} + \nu_q + \nu_{-q} + 1\right) \tag{34}$$

The Eqs.33 and 34 show that the phonons induce an effective inter-band coupling through both the linear and the quadratic SSH interaction. However, the quadratic term in the second line of Eq.34 is the only one providing an inter-band coupling term which is non-vanishing even if $\langle \nu_q \rangle = 0$. It reads:

$$L_q^2 e^{2\eta_q^0} \left(F_{kkq}^{\beta\alpha} + F_{kkq}^{\alpha\beta} \right) \left(\alpha_{k\sigma}^\dagger \beta_{k\sigma} + \beta_{k\sigma}^\dagger \alpha_{k\sigma} \right) \tag{35}$$

where $\tanh\left(2\eta_q^0\right) = \lim_{\langle \nu_q \rangle \to 0} \tanh\left(2\eta_q\right)$. It is interesting to discuss its behaviour in the limit of small hybridization of the bare bands. From the definition of $F_{kkq}^{\beta\alpha}, F_{kkq}^{\alpha\beta}$ (Eq.28 and 29) and of φ_k(Eq.4) one sees that, when the inter-band hybridization $t_{lj}^{cd} \to 0$, then either $\varphi_k \to 0$, or $\varphi_k \to \pm\pi/2$. In the two cases we have, from Eqs.28 and 29:

$$\lim_{\varphi_k \to 0} F_{kkq}^{\alpha\beta} = 0 \qquad \lim_{\varphi_k \to 0} F_{kkq}^{\beta\alpha} = F_{kkq}^{cd} \qquad \lim_{\varphi_k \to \pm\pi/2} F_{kkq}^{\alpha\beta} = -F_{kkq}^{cd} \qquad \lim_{\varphi_k \to \pm\pi/2} F_{kkq}^{\beta\alpha} = 0 \tag{36}$$

The reason for the minus sign in $\lim_{\varphi_k \to \pm\pi/2} F_{kkq}^{\alpha\beta} = -F_{kkq}^{cd}$ can be understood by recalling that, from Eq.3, $\lim_{\varphi_k \to \pm\pi/2} c_{k\sigma}^\dagger(\varphi_k) = \pm\beta_{k\sigma}^\dagger$ while $\lim_{\varphi_k \to \pm\pi/2} d_{k\sigma}^\dagger(\varphi_k) = \mp\alpha_{k\sigma}^\dagger$. It follows:

$$\lim_{\varphi_k \to \pm\pi/2} \left(F_{kkq}^{\beta\alpha} + F_{kkq}^{\alpha\beta} \right) \left(\alpha_{k\sigma}^\dagger \beta_{k\sigma} + \beta_{k\sigma}^\dagger \alpha_{k\sigma} \right) = -F_{kkq}^{cd} \left(-d_{k\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\sigma} - c_{k\sigma}^\dagger d_{k\sigma} \right) = F_{kkq}^{cd} \left(d_{k\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\sigma} + c_{k\sigma}^\dagger d_{k\sigma} \right) \tag{37}$$

Hence in both cases $\varphi_k \to 0, \pm\pi/2$ we get the same expression for the effective inter-band coupling term, namely:

$$\lim_{t_{lj}^{cd} \to 0} \left(F_{kkq}^{\beta\alpha} + F_{kkq}^{\alpha\beta} \right) \left(\alpha_{k\sigma}^\dagger \beta_{k\sigma} + \beta_{k\sigma}^\dagger \alpha_{k\sigma} \right) L_q^2 e^{2\eta_q} = F_{kkq}^{cd} L_q^2 e^{2\eta_q} \left(d_{k\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\sigma} + c_{k\sigma}^\dagger d_{k\sigma} \right) =$$

$$= L_q^2 e^{2\eta_q} \sum_{l\langle j \rangle} f_{lj}^{cd} \left\{ \cos\left(k \cdot \Delta_{lj} \right) - \cos\left[(k-q) \cdot \Delta_{lj} \right] \right\} \left(d_{k\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\sigma} + c_{k\sigma}^\dagger d_{k\sigma} \right) \tag{38}$$

Apart from geometric factors, this term depends only on the intensity of the squeezing (through $e^{2\eta_q}$) and on the amplitude of the quadratic inter-band SSH electron-phonon coupling f_{lj}^{cd}. As f_{lj}^{cd} is a second derivative of t_{lj}^{cd}, it can be appreciable even if t_{lj}^{cd} itself is very small. Different evaluations of f_{lj}^{cd} [1] all agree that it has an appreciable value.

The weakening of the linear and quadratic electron-phonon interactions is expressed by the coefficients e^{η_q} and $e^{2\eta_q}$. Their value is, in turn, set by the diagonalization condition of the anharmonic phonon Hamiltonian, Eq.13 according to:

$$e^{2\eta_q} = \sqrt{\frac{1+\tanh\left(2\eta_q\right)}{1-\tanh\left(2\eta_q\right)}} \tag{39}$$

Therefore the squeezing effect related to the anharmonicity of the phonons also reduces the electron-phonon interactions.

To conclude, let us check if the link that our model establishes between the softening of the harmonic frequency and the reduction of the electron-phonon coupling strength is consistent with the estimates of those quantities as given, e.g., in Refs. [5], [6] and [7] . The softening of the frequency is evaluated as 15%. [5], 25% [6] and 20% [7]. For our check we assume the intermediate estimate by Ref. [7]. If $\omega_q/X_q\Omega_q = 0.80$ then, from Eq.15 , it follows $\mathrm{Th}\left(2\eta_q\right) = -0.60$ and therefore $e^{\eta_q} = 0.70$, which agrees with the estimate [7] of a weakening of the linear electron- phonon coupling by 30%.

VII. CONCLUSIONS.

We have presented an analytic treatment of a two-band Hamiltonian with anharmonic phonons and both linear and quadratic electron-phonon interactions of the Su-Schrieffer-Heeger type, which should represent the essential physics of MgB_2. We have shown that the numerical results of Ref. [7] about the phononic features of the material, namely the softening of the effective harmonic frequency ω_q and the reduction of the linear electron-phonon coupling amplitude, can be interpreted as due to the phonons accomodating themselves in a "squeezed "state. Additionally, we have found that the quadratic electron-phonon interaction generates an effective coupling between the hybridized bands due to virtual phonons.

Acknowledgement 1 *We thank J. Spałek and J. R. Iglesias for useful discussions.*

REFERENCES

[1] I.I. Mazin and V.P. Antropov, cond-mat/0212263 and Physica C **385**, 49 (2003).

[2] H.J. Choi, D. Roundy, H. Sun, M.L. Cohen and S. G. Louie, Nature, **418**, 758 (2002).

[3] H. Suhl, B.T. Matthias and L.R. Walker, Phys. Rev. Lett. **3**, 552 (1959).

[4] Y. Kong, O.V. Dolgov, O. Jepsen and O.K. Andersen, Phys. Rev. **B64**, 020501(R) (2001).

[5] A.Y. Liu, I.I. Mazin and J. Kortus, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 087005 (2001).

[6] T. Yildirim, O. Gülseren, J.W. Lynn, C.M. Brown, T.J.Udovic, Q. Huang, N. Rogado, K.A. Regan, M.A. Hayward, J.S. Sluski, T. He, M.K. Haas, P. Khalifah, K. Inumaru, and R.J. Cava, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 037001 (2001).

[7] H.J. Choi, D. Roundy, H. Sun, M.L. Cohen and S. G. Louie, Phys. Rev. **B66**, 020513(R) (2002).

[8] see, for instance, Ch.3 in: W. Jones and N.H. March, "Theoretical Solid State Physics ",(Dover Publications, Inc., New York 1973).

[9] Wei-Min Zhang, Da Hsuan Feng and R. Gilmore, Rev. Mod. Phys. **62**, 867 (1990); D. Feinberg, S. Ciuchi and F. De Pasquale, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **B4**, 1317 (1990).