A BASE POINT FREE THEOREM OF REID TYPE, II

Shigetaka Fukuda

Faculty of Education, Gifu Shotoku Gakuen University, Yanaizu-cho, Hashima-gun,
Gifu-prefecture, 501-6194, Japan
(e-mail: fukuda@ha.shotoku.ac.jp)

Introduction

This paper is a continuation of [Fu2].

We generally use the notation and terminology of [Utah].

Let X be a normal, complete algebraic variety over $\mathbf{C}$ and (X, Δ) a log variety which is log canonical. We assume that $K_X + \Delta$ is a $\mathbf{Q}$-Cartier $\mathbf{Q}$-divisor. Let r be the smallest positive integer such that $r(K_X + \Delta)$ is Cartier (r is called the *singularity index* of (X, Δ)).

Definition (due to Reid [Rd]). Let $\Theta = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \Theta_i$ be a reduced divisor with only simple normal crossings on an n-dimensional non-singular complete variety over $\mathbf{C}$. We denote **Strata** $(\Theta) := \{\Gamma | 1 \leq k \leq n, 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq s, \quad \Gamma$ is an irreducible component of $\Theta_{i_1} \cap \Theta_{i_2} \cap \cdots \cap \Theta_{i_k} \neq \emptyset\}$.

Let $f : Y \to X$ be a log resolution of (X, Δ) such that $K_Y = f^*(K_X + \Delta) + \sum a_j E_j$ (where $a_j \geq -1$). Let L be a Cartier divisor on X. L is called *nef and log big* on (X, Δ) if L is nef and big and $(L|_{f(\Gamma)})^{dim f(\Gamma)} > 0$ for any member Γ of **Strata**$(\sum_{a_j=-1} E_j)$.

We note that the definition of the notion of "nef and log big" does not depend on the choice of the log resolution f ([Fu1, Claim]). In the case in which (X, Δ) is Kawamata log terminal (klt), if L is nef and big, then L is nef and log big on (X, Δ).

In [Rd], M. Reid gave the following statement:
Let L be a nef Cartier divisor such that $aL - (K_X + \Delta)$ is nef and log big on (X, Δ) for some $a \in \mathbf{N}$. Then $Bs|mL| = \emptyset$ for every $m \gg 0$.
In the case in which (X, Δ) is klt, this is the standard Kawamata-Shokurov result (cf. [Ka84, Theorem 2.6]). While in the case in which (X, Δ) is weakly Kawamata log terminal, the proof in [Fu2] of the statement needs the log minimal model program, which is still a conjecture in dimension ≥ 4 (the assumption that X is projective in [Fu2] is not necessary. it suffices to assume that X is complete). On the other hand, the statement was proved when X is non-singular and Δ is a reduced divisor with only simple normal crossings in [Fu96] and when dim $X = 2$ in [Fu3]. We note that, if $aL - (K_X + \Delta)$ is nef and big but not nef and log big on (X, Δ), there exists a counterexample due to Zariski (cf. [KMM, Remark 3-1-2]).

We shall prove the following result in this paper:

Main Theorem. *Assume that* (X,Δ) *is weakly Kawamata log terminal* (*wklt*) *and that every irreducible component of* $\lfloor\Delta\rfloor$ *is* $\mathbf{Q}$*-Cartier. Let* L *be a nef Cartier divisor such that* $aL-(K_X+\Delta)$ *is nef and log big on* (X,Δ) *for some* $a\in\mathbf{N}$*. Then* $Bs|mL|=\emptyset$ *for every* $m\gg 0$.

This implies a kind of "log abundance theorem":

Corollary. *If* (X,Δ) *is* $\mathbf{Q}$*-factorial weakly Kawamata log terminal and* $K_X+\Delta$ *is nef and log big on* (X,Δ)*, then* $Bs|mr(K_X+\Delta)|=\emptyset$ *for every* $m\gg 0$.

We remark that, concerning the log abundance conjecture, the following facts are known:
(1) If (X,Δ) is klt and $K_X+\Delta$ is nef and big, then $\mathrm{Bs}|mr(K_X+\Delta)|=\emptyset$ for every $m\gg 0$ (cf. [Ka84, Theorem 2.6]).
(2) If dim $X\leq 3$ and $K_X+\Delta$ is nef, then $K_X+\Delta$ is semi-ample ([Ka79], [Fuj], [Ka1], [Utah, 8.4], [KeMaMc]).

The theorem will be proved in Sect.2, by showing the following

Key Lemma. *If* (X,Δ) *is wklt and every irreducible component* S *of* $\lfloor\Delta\rfloor$ *is* $\mathbf{Q}$*-Cartier, then* $(S,\mathrm{Diff}(\Delta-S))$ *is wklt and every irreducible component of* $\lfloor\,\mathrm{Diff}(\Delta-S)\rfloor$ *is* $\mathbf{Q}$*-Cartier.*

1. Preliminaries

We collect some results that will be needed in the next section.

Proposition 1 (Shokurov's Connectedness Lemma). ([He, Lemma 2.2], [Ka2, Theorem 1.4], cf. [Sh, 5.7], [Utah, 17.4]) *Let* W *be a normal, complete algebraic variety over* $\mathbf{C}$*,* (W,Γ) *a log variety which is log canonical and* $g:V\to W$ *a log resolution of* (W,Γ)*. Then* (*the support of the effective part of* $\lfloor(g^*(K_W+\Gamma)-K_V)\rfloor$)$\cap g^{-1}(s)$ *is connected for every* $s\in W$.

Proposition 2. (cf. [Ka1, the proof of Lemma 3], [Ka84, Theorem 2.6]) *Assume that* (X,Δ) *is wklt. Let* L *be a nef Cartier divisor such that* $aL-(K_X+\Delta)$ *is nef and big for some* $a\in\mathbf{N}$*. If* $Bs|mL|\cap\lfloor\Delta\rfloor=\emptyset$ *for every* $m\gg 0$*, then* $Bs|mL|=\emptyset$ *for every* $m\gg 0$.

Proposition 3. ([Sz]) *If* (X,Δ) *is divisorial log terminal, then* (X,Δ) *is wklt.*

Proposition 4 (Reid Type Vanishing). (cf. [Fu1], [Fu2, Proposition 1]) *Assume that* (X,Δ) *is wklt. Let* D *be a* $\mathbf{Q}$*-Cartier integral Weil divisor. If* $D-(K_X+\Delta)$ *is nef and log big on* (X,Δ)*, then* $H^i(X,\mathcal{O}_X(D))=0$ *for every* $i>0$.

2. Proof of Main Theorem

We simply sketch the proof of Main Theorem, since we proceed along the lines of that in [Fu2].

Let S be an irreducible component of $\lfloor\Delta\rfloor$. From [Utah, 17.5] (cf. [Sh, 3.8]), S is normal.

Let $f:Y\to X$ be a log resolution of (X,Δ) such that the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) Exc(f) consists of divisors,

(2) $K_Y+f_*^{-1}\Delta+F=f^*(K_X+\Delta)+E$,

(3) E and F are f-exceptional effective $\mathbf{Q}$-divisors such that Supp(E) and Supp(F) do not have common irreducible components,

(4) $\lfloor F\rfloor=0$,

Claim 1. ([Sh, p.99]) *For any member* $G \in$ **Strata** $(f_*^{-1}\lfloor\Delta\rfloor)$, *Exc*$(f)$ *does not include* G.

We put $S_0 := f_*^{-1}S$ and $\mathrm{Diff}(\Delta - S) := (f|_{S_0})_*(f^*(K_X + \Delta)|_{S_0} - (K_Y + S_0)|_{S_0})$.
We note that $(K_X + \Delta)|_S = K_S +$ Diff$(\Delta - S)$.

Key Lemma. $(S,\mathrm{Diff}(\Delta - S))$ *is wklt and every irreducible component of* $\lfloor$ Diff$(\Delta - S)\rfloor$ *is* **Q**-*Cartier.*

Proof. By the Subadjunction Lemma ([Sh, 3.2.2], cf. [KMM, Lemma 5-1-9]), Diff$(\Delta - S) \geq 0$. Here $\lfloor$ Diff $(\Delta - S)\rfloor = (f|_{S_0})_*((f_*^{-1}\lfloor\Delta - S\rfloor)|_{S_0})$. From [Sh, 3.2.3] and Proposition 3 or from [Ka1, Lemma 4], $(S,\mathrm{Diff}(\Delta - S))$ is wklt.

Let D be an irreducible component of $\lfloor\Delta - S\rfloor$. For $x \in D \cap S$, there exist $y_1 \in f_*^{-1}D$ and $y_2 \in S_0$ such that $f(y_1) = f(y_2) = x$. Applying Proposition 1 to $(X, \{\Delta\} + S + D)$ and f, we obtain $y_3 \in f_*^{-1}D \cap S_0$ such that $f(y_3) = x$. Thus $(f|_{S_0})_*(f_*^{-1}D|_{S_0}) =$ Supp $(D|_S)$ by Claim 1.

We put $\mathrm{Diff}(\{\Delta\} + D) := (f|_{S_0})_*(f^*(K_X + S + \{\Delta\} + D)|_{S_0} - (K_Y + S_0)|_{S_0})$.
We note that $(K_X + S + \{\Delta\} + D)|_S = K_S +$ Diff$(\{\Delta\} + D)$.

Here Diff$(\{\Delta\} + D) \geq 0$ from [Sh, 3.2.2] (cf. [KMM, Lemma 5-1-9]) and $\lfloor$ Diff$(\{\Delta\} + D)\rfloor = (f|_{S_0})_*(f_*^{-1}D|_{S_0})$. Applying Proposition 1 to $(S,$ Diff$(\{\Delta\} + D))$ and $f|_{S_0}$, from the fact that every connected component of $f_*^{-1}D|_{S_0}$ is irreducible, we deduce that every connected component of $(f|_{S_0})_*(f_*^{-1}D|_{S_0})$ is irreducible. As a result every irreducible component of $(f|_{S_0})_*(f_*^{-1}D|_{S_0})$ is **Q**-Cartier, because $D|_S$ is **Q**-Cartier. □

Claim 2. $aL|_S - (K_S +$Diff$(\Delta - S))$ *is nef and log big on* $(S,\mathrm{Diff}(\Delta - S))$.

Proof. The assertion follows from the fact that $\lfloor$ Diff$(\Delta - S)\rfloor = (f|_{S_0})_*((f_*^{-1}\lfloor\Delta - S\rfloor)|_{S_0})$. □

Claim 3. $|mL|\,\big|_S = \big|mL|_S\big|$ *for* $m \geq a$.

Proof. We note that $mL - S$ is a **Q**-Cartier integral divisor, $(X, \Delta - S)$ is wklt and $mL - S - (K_X + \Delta - S)$ is nef and log big on $(X, \Delta - S)$. Thus $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mL - S)) = 0$ from Proposition 4. □

We complete the proof of the theorem by induction on dim$X$.

By Key Lemma and Claim 2 and by induction hypothesis, $\mathrm{Bs}\big|mL|_S\big| = \emptyset$ for $m \gg 0$. Thus, by Claim 3, $\mathrm{Bs}|mL| \cap \lfloor\Delta\rfloor = \emptyset$ for every $m \gg 0$. Consequently Proposition 2 implies the assertion.

References

[Fuj] T. Fujita, *Fractionally logarithmic canonical rings of algebraic surfaces*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **30** (1984), 685–696.

[Fu96] S. Fukuda, *On base point free theorem*, Kodai Math. J. **19** (1996), 191–199.

[Fu1] S. Fukuda, *A generalization of the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem after Reid*, Commun. Algebra **24** (1996), 3265–3268.

[Fu2] S. Fukuda, *A base point free theorem of Reid type*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **4** (1997), 621–625.

[Fu3] S. Fukuda, *A base point free theorem for log canonical surfaces*, Osaka J. Math., (preprint alg-geom/9705005) (to appear).

[He] S. Helmke, *On Fujita's conjecture*, Duke Math. J. **88** (1997), 201–216.

[Ka79] Y. Kawamata, *On the classification of non-complete algebraic surfaces*, Lect. Notes

[Ka84] Y. Kawamata, *The cone of curves of algebraic varieties*, Ann. Math. **119** (1984), 603–633.

[Ka1] Y. Kawamata, *Log canonical models of algebraic 3-folds*, Internat. J. Math. **3** (1992), 351–357.

[Ka2] Y. Kawamata, *On Fujita's freeness conjecture for 3-folds and 4-folds*, Math. Ann. **308** (1997), 491–505.

[KMM] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda and K. Matsuki, *Introduction to the minimal model problem*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **10** (1987), 283–360.

[KeMaMc] S. Keel, K. Matsuki and J. McKernan, *Log abundance theorem for threefolds*, Duke Math. J. **75** (1994), 99–119.

[Utah] J. Kollár et.al., *Flips and abundance for algebraic threefolds*, Astérisque **211** (1992).

[Rd] M. Reid, *Commentary by M. Reid* (*§10 of Shokurov's paper "3-fold log-flips"*), Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. **40** (1993), 195–200.

[Sh] V. V. Shokurov, *3-fold log-flips*, Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. **40** (1993), 95–202.

[Sz] E. Szabó, *Divisorial log terminal singularities*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **1** (1994), 631–639.