Translational invariance and the space-time Lorentz transformation with arbitrary spatial coordinates

J.H.Field
Département de Physique Nucléaire et Corpusculaire, Université de Genève
24, quai Ernest-Ansermet CH-1211Genève 4.
E-mail: john.field@cern.ch

Abstract

Translational invariance requires that physical predictions are independent of the choice of spatial coordinate system used. The time dilatation effect of special relativity is shown to manifestly respect this invariance. Consideration of the space-time Lorentz transformation with arbitrary spatial coordinates shows that the spurious 'length contraction' and 'relativity of simultaneity' effects —the latter violating translational invariance— result from the use of a different spatial coordinate system to describe each of two spatially separated clocks at rest in a common inertial frame.

PACS 03.30.+p

Translational invariance is a mathematical expression of the homogeneity of physical free space –the result of an experiment governed only by internal conditions does not depend on where, in space, it is performed. A corollary is that the prediction of the result of any such experiment does not depend on the choice of spatial coordinates used for its physical description. This is because moving the experiment to a different spatial position is mathematically equivalent to a change of the origins of coordinate axes $\vec{x} \rightarrow \vec{x} - \vec{x}_0$. In this letter, it is demonstrated that the space-time Lorentz transformation (LT) — when correctly interpreted— respects translational invariance, as just defined. As will be explained below, this is not the case in the conventional Einsteinian [1] interpretation of the transformation.

It is instructive to first discuss the space transformation equation in the context of Galilean relativity. With a particular choice of coordinate axes, the Galilean space transformation for an object at rest in the inertial frame S', as observed in another such frame S, is:

$$x' = x - vt = 0. \tag{1}$$

This equation describes an object at rest at the origin of S' that moves with uniform velocity, v, along the +ve x-axis in S. It is assumed that there is an array of synchronised clocks at rest in S and that t is the time recorded by any such clock. The spatial coordinate system in S is chosen so that $x = 0$ when $t = 0$. Introducing a more explicit notation and arbitrary coordinate origins in S and S', Eq. (1) generalises to:

$$x'(t) - x'(0) = x(t) - x(0) - vt = 0. \tag{2}$$

Thus $x'(t) = x'(0)$ for all values of t, $x(t) = x(0)$ for $t = 0$ and all values of v, while the equation of motion of the object in S is given by the last member of (2). The 'transformation equation' (2) is actually two separate and independent equations:

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) &= x'(0), && (3) \\ x(t) &= x(0) + vt. && (4) \end{aligned}$$

The spatial LT corresponding to Eq. (2) is:

$$x'(t) - x'(0) = \gamma[x(t) - x(0) - vt] = 0. \tag{5}$$

This, like (2), is equivalent to (3) and (4). In fact the multiplicative factor: $\gamma \equiv 1/\sqrt{1-(v/c)^2}$ in (5) may be replaced by a finite constant or an arbitrary finite function of v/c and the transformation will still be equivalent to (3) and (4). The spatial description of the moving object is therefore identical for the Galilean and Lorentz transformations. Note that the constants $x'(0)$ and $x(0)$ that specify the positions of the origins of coordinates in the frames S' and S respectively *characterise a particular choice of coordinate systems*. In order to correctly calculate the spatial separations of objects at different positions it is essential to use the same coordinate system (i.e the same values of $x'(0)$ and $x(0)$) to specify the positions of *all* the objects. As will be seen shortly, it is the failure to do this that lies at the origin of the spurious 'length contraction' effect.

The temporal LT corresponding to (5) is:

$$t'(t) = \gamma[t - \frac{v(x(t) - x(0))}{c^2}]. \tag{6}$$

The physical meaning of $t'(t)$ is the time recorded by a local clock at the position of the object in S' as observed, at time t, in the frame S. Because both t and $x(t)$ appear on the right side of (6) one may think that $t'(t)$ depends on both t and $x(t)$. This is Einstein's 'relativity of simultaneity' (RS) effect. However, (4) may be used to eliminate $x(t)$ from the right side of (6) to yield the time dilatation (TD) formula first derived, in this way, directly from the LT, by Einstein [1]:

$$t = \gamma t'(t) \tag{7}$$

Since no spatial coordinates appear in this equation, it manifestly respects translational invariance. Also the clock in S' is 'system externally synchronised' [2] so that $t'(0) = 0$.

Keeping the same general coordinate system and 'system external' synchronisation procedure as used in (5) and (6) consider now two clocks, C_1', C_2' at rest in S' at $x'_1(0)$ and $x'_2(0)$. If $t'_1(t)$ and $t'_2(t)$ are the observed times of the clocks in S at time t, then the relation (7) must hold for both of the clocks. In consequence,

$$\gamma t'_1(t) = \gamma t'_2(t) = t \tag{8}$$

so that $t'_1(t) = t'_2(t)$ —there is no RS effect. Indeed this follows from the the fact that t' in Eq. (7), contrary to Eq. (6) without the additional condition (4), is a function only of t, not of t and $x(t)$.

Choosing $x_1(0) = x'_1(0) = 0$ and $x_2(0) = L$, $x'_2(0) = L'$ the LT describing the transformation of points on the world lines of C$_1$' and C$_2$' are:

$$\begin{aligned} x'_1 &= \gamma[x_1 - vt_1] = 0, & (9) \\ t'_1 &= \gamma[t_1 - \frac{vx_1}{c^2}], & (10) \\ x'_2 - L' &= \gamma[x_2 - L - vt_2] = 0, & (11) \\ t'_2 &= \gamma[t_2 - \frac{v(x_2 - L)}{c^2}]. & (12) \end{aligned}$$

Consider simultaneous events in S': $t'_1 = t'_2 = t'$. Combining (9),(10) and (11),(12) gives

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(\beta) &= vt_1 = \gamma\beta ct', & (13) \\ t_1(\beta) &= \gamma t', & (14) \\ x_2(\beta) - L &= vt_2 = \gamma\beta ct', & (15) \\ t_2(\beta) &= \gamma t' & (16) \end{aligned}$$

where the β dependence of the coordinates is explicitly indicated. The identity: $\gamma^2 - \gamma^2\beta^2 \equiv 1$ shows that (13),(14) and (15),(16) are parametric formulae for the hyperbolae in $x - t$ space:

$$c^2 t_1(\beta)^2 - x_1(\beta)^2 = c^2(t')^2 = c^2 t_2(\beta)^2 - (x_2(\beta) - L)^2. \quad (17)$$

Since, from (14) and (16), $t_1(\beta) = \gamma t' = t_2(\beta)$, (17) requires that

$$x_2(\beta) - x_1(\beta) = L. \quad (18)$$

Because $L = x_2(0)$, is a constant independent of β, (18) holds for arbitrary values of the latter quantity. In particular, it holds when $\beta \rightarrow 0$, $x \rightarrow x'$, giving, in this case,

$$x_2(0) - x_1(0) = x'_2 - x'_1 \equiv L' = L. \quad (19)$$

Thus the spatial separation of the clocks is a Lorentz-invariant quantity; —there is no relativistic 'length contraction' (LC).

How the spurious and correlated RS and LC effects of conventional special relativity arise will now be explained. Following Einstein [1] the choice $x(0) = x'(0) = 0$ is made in the general LT (5) and (6) to give :

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) &= \gamma[x(t) - vt] = 0, & (20) \\ t'(t) &= \gamma[t - \frac{vx(t)}{c^2}]. & (21) \end{aligned}$$

Since the TD relation (7) does not depend on the choice of spatial coordinate system, it holds also when (20) and (21) are used. If the clock C$_1$' is placed at $x'_1(t) = x'_1(0) = 0$, it is, according to (20) and (21), synchronised so that $t'_1(t = 0) = 0$. The 'LC effect' is derived by substituting the coordinates of the clock C$_2$', placed at $x'_2(t) = x'_2(0) = L'$ in the first member of space transformation equation (20) and setting $t = 0$. This procedure is aleady in contradiction with the last member of (20) since it is assumed, in making this subsitution, that $L' \neq 0$. After the above substitution in (20), and since $x'_1(0) = x_1(0) = 0$, the following equation may be derived:

$$x'_2(0) - x'_1(0) = L' = \gamma[x_2(0) - x_1(0)] = \gamma L. \tag{22}$$

This is the 'LC effect'. However, the assumption on which (22) is based, $x'_1(0) = x_1(0) = 0$, is inconsistent with the formula from which (22) is derived:

$$x'_2(t) = L' = \gamma[x_2(t) - vt] \neq 0 \tag{23}$$

or

$$x'_2(t) - L' = \gamma[x_2(t) - \frac{L'}{\gamma} - vt] = 0 \tag{24}$$

Comparing (24) with the general formula (5) requires that

$$\begin{aligned} x'_2(0) &= x'(0) = L', \qquad &(25)\\ x_2(0) &= x(0) = \frac{L'}{\gamma} = \frac{x'(0)}{\gamma}. \qquad &(26) \end{aligned}$$

These equations imply that in (23) a different coordinate system is used in the frame S to specifiy the position of C$_2$' to the one used to specify the position of C$_1$' in Eqs. (20) and (21) where $x'_1(0) = x_1(0) = 0$. In fact, with the coordinate system corresponding to (23), $x_2(0) = L'/\gamma$ and it follows that $x_1(0)$ is not zero but rather

$$x_1(0) = x_2(0) - L = L'/\gamma - L.$$

The LT for C$_1$' and C$_2$', using the coordinate systems defined by (25) and (26), are therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} x'_1(t) &= \gamma[x_1(t) - L'/\gamma + L - vt] = 0, \qquad &(27)\\ t'_1(t) &= \gamma[t - \frac{v(x_1(t) - L'/\gamma + L)}{c^2}], \qquad &(28)\\ x'_2(t) - L' &= \gamma[x_2(t) - L'/\gamma - vt] = 0, \qquad &(29)\\ t'_2(t) &= \gamma[t - \frac{v(x_2(t) - L'/\gamma)}{c^2}]. \qquad &(30) \end{aligned}$$

Eqs. (27) and (29) give

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(t) &= L'/\gamma - L + vt, \qquad &(31)\\ x_2(t) &= L'/\gamma + vt \qquad &(32) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$x_2(t) - x_1(t) = L. \tag{33}$$

Transposing (27) gives

$$x'_1(t) + L' = \gamma[x_1(t) + L - vt]. \tag{34}$$

Taking the limit $v \to 0$, $\gamma \to 1$, $x \to x'$ in (34) gives

$$x'_1(t) + L' = x'_1(t) + L \tag{35}$$

so that $L = L'$, as in (19) above, and in contradiction with the 'LC effect' of (22). It can be seen that the spurious 'LC effect' of (22) is a consequence of using different coordinate systems in the frame S to describe the two clocks: $x_1(0) = x'_1(0) = 0$ for C$_1$' and $x_2(0) = x'_2(0)/\gamma = L'/\gamma$ for C$_2$'. When the latter system is used for both clocks, as in (27)-(30), the LC effect does not occur.

If it is incorrectly assumed that $x(0) = 0$ in (6), applied to the clock C$_2$', when the condition (23) is satisfied, it is found, instead of (30) that

$$t'_2(t, L') = \frac{t}{\gamma} - \frac{vL'}{c^2} \neq t'_1(t) = \frac{t}{\gamma} \tag{36}$$

in contradiction to Eq. (8) above, and violating translational invariance The spurious dependence of t'_2 on L' in Eq. (36) is Einstein's RS effect.

Similar conclusions to those of the present letter have been obtained elsewhere, by a careful study of clock synchronisation procedures [3, 4].

To date, there is no experimental verification of the RS or LC effects of conventional special relativity theory [3], which are claimed to be spurious in the present letter. However the existence (or not) of the O(v/c) RS effect of Eq. (34) is easily tested using modern experimental techniques. Two experiments, using satellites in low Earth orbit, have been proposed to perform such a test [5].

References

[1] A.Einstein, Annalen der Physik **17**, 891 (1905).
English translation by W.Perrett and G.B.Jeffery in 'The Principle of Relativity' (Dover, New York, 1952) P37, or in 'Einstein's Miraculous Year' (Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1998) P123.

[2] R.Mansouri and R.U.Sexl, Gen. Rel. Grav. **8**, 497, 515, 809 (1977).

[3] J.H.Field, 'The Local Space-Time Lorentz Transformation: a New Formulation of Special Relativity Compatible with Translational Invariance', arXiv pre-print: http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/physics/0501043v3. Cited 30 Nov 2007.

[4] J.H.Field, 'Clock rates, clock settings and the physics of the space-time Lorentz transformation', http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/physics/0606101v4. Cited 4 Dec 2007.

[5] J.H.Field, 'Proposals for two Satellite-Borne Experiments to Test Relativity of Simultaneity in Special Relativity', arXiv pre-print: http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/physics/physics/0509213v4. Cited 3 Jun 2011.