

1 **Evolution: Velvet worm biogeography**

2 Martin R. Smith

3 Department of Earth Sciences, Durham University, Mountjoy Site, Durham DH1 3LE, UK

4 Correspondence: martin.smith@durham.ac.uk

5 **The present-day distribution of velvet worms corresponds neatly to the ancient**  
6 **supercontinent Gondwana – except for a puzzling outpost in southeast Asia. Jaw-**  
7 **dropping new fossil material now establishes when and how peripatid onychophorans**  
8 **reached this isolated spot.**

9 Being quick to desiccate, slow to move, and very particular about habitat, velvet worms do  
10 not make natural globetrotters. This diminutive phylum has nevertheless established itself in  
11 temperate and tropical forests the world around. The distribution of modern onychophorans  
12 largely corresponds to the ancient assembly of South Africa, Africa and Australia into the  
13 southern supercontinent Gondwana – except for a small population domiciled in southeast  
14 Asia. Could this isolated lineage have dwelt on a fragment of the Gondwanan supercontinent  
15 as it drifted across the ocean and collided with the northern supercontinent Laurasia – or does  
16 the enclave represent the diminished remnant of a once extensive Laurasian population? A  
17 new study of spectacular hundred million year old fossils provides a palaeontological  
18 perspective on this puzzling question [1].

19         Though Onychophora is today a small phylum of under 200 principally endemic  
20 species, its evolutionary history is rich and fascinating. The first components of the velvet  
21 worm body plan can be recognized in exceptionally preserved Cambrian fossils, identifying

22 an eclectic group of these 500 million year old organisms as early (stem-group)  
23 onychophorans [2–4]. Molecular clocks indicate that velvet worms made their way onto land  
24 in the Devonian (c. 400 Ma), just as the first forests were becoming established [5]. A  
25 distinctively modern body organization is already recognizable in the first fossils of terrestrial  
26 onychophorans (c. 300 Ma) [6], and scarcely changes thereafter: the only morphological  
27 distinction between the two extant families is the position of their genital openings and the  
28 detailed construction of their jaws [6].

29         Geographically, however, each family has a distinct distribution that corresponds to a  
30 contiguous region of the ancient supercontinent Gondwana, which fragmented from 150–  
31 100 Ma [7]: the austral distribution of Peripatopsidae corresponds the temperate climate belt  
32 of Gondwana, whereas the extent of Peripatidae largely corresponds to the Gondwanan (and  
33 modern) tropics [8] (see figure). An intriguing further population in southeast Asia, whose  
34 members represent the sister group to all other peripatids [9], has previously been interpreted  
35 as arriving around 65–55 Ma with the Indian subcontinent, which separated from Gondwana  
36 around 130 Ma [10].

37         De Sena Oliveira and colleagues now discount this possibility by documenting an  
38 Asian peripatid, *Cretoperipatus burmiticus*, from 100 million year old Burmese amber [1].  
39 Living as they do in hotbeds of decay, merely surviving as a fossil is enough for an  
40 onychophoran to capture the attention of palaeontologists. What is more, with doubt being  
41 cast on the attribution of other onychophoran-like fossils in amber [6,11], *Cretoperipatus*  
42 may transpire to be the only fossil of its type. And the clausturation of the unfortunate worms  
43 in tree resin, now fossilized as amber, has preserved exquisite detail: the synchrotron  
44 tomographic renderings produced by de Sena Oliveira and colleagues rival electron  
45 micrographs of modern material in both resolution and clarity [1], and have to be seen to be  
46 believed. It is not only the fidelity of this ancient species that approximates a modern

47 onychophoran: by adding three new specimens to the one partial fragment previously known  
48 [12], the authors show that every pad, papilla and tubercle in *Cretoperipatus* corresponds  
49 precisely in position to those in the sole extant Indian onychophoran *Typhloperipatus*  
50 *williamsoni*. This close correspondence extends to the jaws, claws, and antennae; if not for  
51 the absence of eyes in *T. williamsoni*, the modern and fossil material could almost be  
52 conspecific. More broadly, *Cretoperipatus* shares a number of features with the southeast  
53 Asian peripatids, marking the extinct and extant representatives of this geographically  
54 proximal group as closely related. By extending the presence of this population back to the  
55 Cretaceous, the authors establish that onychophorans reached southeast Asia before India did  
56 – discounting the subcontinent as a vector for onychophoran dispersal.

57         This raises the question of how a taxon as sedentary as Onychophora covered this  
58 great distance. Floating rafts of vegetation or soil can disperse rather unlikely taxa [13], but  
59 such a mechanism is difficult to reconcile with onychophorans' intolerance of salt water [14]  
60 and preference for high humidity [15]. Indeed, the non-overlapping ranges of Peripatidae and  
61 Peripatopsidae identify vicariance rather than dispersal as the key control on onychophoran  
62 biogeography.

63         De Sena Oliveira and colleagues [1] propose that African peripatids were transported  
64 to Eurasia by the Apulia microplate, which drifted from Gondwana to Europe early in the  
65 Cretaceous period [16]. This scenario would sit most easily if Asian peripatids were the  
66 closest relatives of African populations – but on the contrary, molecular phylogenetic results  
67 [9] indicate that the southeast Asian lineage diverged before the division of the African and  
68 South American populations. Indeed, the separation of the Asian onychophorans is dated to  
69 somewhere between the Devonian and Triassic (95% probability interval = 360–210 Ma) [9],  
70 long before either Apulia or South America split from Africa – making it difficult to  
71 reconcile plate tectonic reconstructions with the molecular clock in this scenario.

72           Each of these possibilities assumes that the southeast Asian lineage has its roots in  
73 Gondwana. An alternative is that onychophorans were already present on Laurasia before it  
74 split from Gondwana c. 150 million years ago [9]. Even if the identity of candidate  
75 ‘onychophorans’ from Laurasian amber is in dispute [1,6], *Cretoperipatus* happens to  
76 strengthen the case that the 300 million year old Laurentian fossil *Helenodora* is an  
77 onychophoran by establishing that its apparent lack of claws and slime papillae [17] can be  
78 explained by preservational processes [1]. The recent description of the French  
79 onychophoran fossil *Antennipatus* [6] further indicates that terrestrial onychophorans  
80 inhabited Laurentia during the Carboniferous. *Cretoperipatus* [1] bridges the temporal gap  
81 between these early onychophorans and the surviving Asian population; if a passage through  
82 India or Eurogondwana can be dismissed, it demonstrates the continued presence of  
83 peripatids on Laurentia since the continent split from Gondwana.

84           This raises the possibility that onychophorans first arose on Laurasia, rather than  
85 Gondwana. Though it would be naïve to interpret any living group as directly representing  
86 an ancestral population, the molecular data – only being available from a single Asian taxon  
87 [9] – do leave open the intriguing possibility that Asian peripatids could be paraphyletic to  
88 the rest of Peripatidae. On this view, the morphological features that de Sena Oliveira and  
89 colleagues recognize as uniting living and fossil Asian peripatids [1] might even represent the  
90 ancestral peripatid condition, rather than synapomorphies of a modern clade.

91           Whichever continent ultimately gave rise to the peripatids, the new Cretaceous  
92 material hints at a much wider distribution than is immediately apparent from present day  
93 occurrences. Perhaps – given the extreme morphological similarity between the fossil and  
94 modern forms, documented in such detail through the spectacular imaging of these  
95 remarkable fossils – modern onychophorans provide a good proxy for the climatic and habitat  
96 preferences of their antecedents. Modeling how climate, humidity and vegetation patterns

97 changed as Eurasia rotated through the Mesozoic would offer an interesting approach to  
98 evaluating possible trends in onychophoran distribution, particularly as the Laurasian  
99 peripatids retreated to their present position on Eurasia's southern fringe. Further fossil finds  
100 will be necessary to establish whether the range of peripatopsids also extended beyond its  
101 present limit. But whatever fate befell their compatriots, and however they reached their  
102 current home, the onychophorans living today in southeast Asia can now be recognised as the  
103 last bastion of an ancient lineage that has remained morphologically stable for at least 100  
104 million years.

## 105 **References**

- 106 [1] de Sena Oliveira I, Bai M, Jahn H, Gross V, Martin C, Hammel JU, et al. The oldest  
107 velvet worm in amber reveals early migration patterns of Gondwanan onychophorans  
108 into Southeast Asia. *Curr Biol* 2016.
- 109 [2] Smith MR, Ortega-Hernández J. *Hallucigenia*'s onychophoran-like claws and the case  
110 for Tactopoda. *Nature* 2014;514:363–6. doi:10.1038/nature13576.
- 111 [3] Yang J, Ortega-Hernández J, Gerber S, Butterfield NJ, Hou J-B, Lan T, et al. A  
112 superarmored lobopodian from the Cambrian of China and early disparity in the  
113 evolution of Onychophora. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2015;112:8678–83.  
114 doi:10.1073/pnas.1505596112.
- 115 [4] Zhang X-G, Smith MR, Yang J, Hou J-B. Onychophoran-like musculature in a  
116 phosphatized Cambrian lobopodian. *Biol Lett*
- 117 [5] Rota-Stabelli O, Daley AC, Pisani D. Molecular timetrees reveal a Cambrian  
118 colonization of land and a new scenario for ecdysozoan evolution. *Curr Biol*  
119 2013;23:392–8. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2013.01.026.
- 120 [6] Garwood RJ, Edgecombe GD, Charbonnier S, Chabard D, Sotty D, Giribet G.

- 121 Carboniferous Onychophora from Montceau-les-Mines, France, and onychophoran  
122 terrestrialization. *Invertebr Biol* 2016. doi:10.1111/ivb.12130.
- 123 [7] Seton M, Müller RD, Zahirovic S, Gaina C, Torsvik T, Shephard G, et al. Global  
124 continental and ocean basin reconstructions since 200Ma. *Earth-Science Rev*  
125 2012;113:212–70. doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2012.03.002.
- 126 [8] Monge-Nájera J. Phylogeny, biogeography and reproductive trends in the  
127 Onychophora. *Zool J Linn Soc* 1995;114:21–60. doi:10.1111/j.1096-  
128 3642.1995.tb00111.x.
- 129 [9] Murienne J, Daniels SR, Buckley TR, Mayer G, Giribet G. A living fossil tale of  
130 Pangaeian biogeography. *Proc R Soc B* 2014;281:20132648.  
131 doi:10.1098/rspb.2013.2648.
- 132 [10] Briggs JC. The biogeographic and tectonic history of India. *J Biogeogr* 2003;30:381–8.  
133 doi:10.1046/j.1365-2699.2003.00809.x.
- 134 [11] Poinar G. Fossil onychophorans from Dominican and Baltic amber: *Tertiapatius*  
135 *dominicanus* n.g., n.sp. (Tertiapatidae n.fam.) and *Succinipatopsis balticus* n.g., n.sp.  
136 (Succinipatopsidae n.fam.) with a proposed classification of the subphylum  
137 Onychophora. *Invertebr Biol* 2000;119:104–9. doi:10.1111/j.1744-  
138 7410.2000.tb00178.x.
- 139 [12] Grimaldi DA, Engel MS, Nascimbene PC. Fossiliferous Cretaceous amber from  
140 Myanmar (Burma): its rediscovery, biotic diversity, and paleontological significance.  
141 *Am Museum Novit* 2002;3361:1–71. doi:10.1206/0003-  
142 0082(2002)361<0001:FCAFMB>2.0.CO;2.
- 143 [13] Longrich NR, Vinther J, Pyron RA, Pisani D, Gauthier JA. Biogeography of worm  
144 lizards (Amphisbaenia) driven by end-Cretaceous mass extinction. *Proc R Soc London*  
145 *B Biol Sci* 2015;282:20143034. doi:10.1098/rspb.2014.3034.

- 146 [14] Monge-Nájera J, Barrientos Z, Aguilar F. Experimental behaviour of a tropical  
147 invertebrate: *Epiperipatus biolleyi* (Onychophora: Peripatidae). Acta Myriapodologica  
148 Mém Mus Natn Hist Nat 1996;169:493–4.
- 149 [15] Bursell E, Ewer DW. On the reactions to humidity of *Peripatopsis moseleyi* (Wood-  
150 mason). J Exp Biol 1950;26:335–53.
- 151 [16] Ezcurra MD, Agnolín FL. A new global palaeobiogeographical model for the late  
152 Mesozoic and early Tertiary. Syst Biol 2012;61:553–66. doi:10.1093/sysbio/syr115.
- 153 [17] Murdock DJE, Gabbott SE, Purnell MA. The impact of taphonomic data on  
154 phylogenetic resolution: *Helenodora inopinata* (Carboniferous, Mazon Creek  
155 Lagerstätte) and the onychophoran stem lineage. BMC Evol Biol 2016;16:19.  
156 doi:10.1186/s12862-016-0582-7.

### 157 **Figure: Onychophoran biogeography in the Cretaceous**

158 (A) Distribution of continents 100 million years ago [after ref. 7], with indicative ranges of  
159 Peripatidae (green) and Peripatopsidae (red) based on a Gondwanan distribution. Star  
160 denotes *Cretoperipatus* fossils newly reported by de Sena Oliveira and colleagues [1].  
161 Arrows indicate three proposed provenances of southeast Asian peripatids: (i) India; (ii)  
162 Eurogondwana; (iii) Laurasia. (B–C) extant onychophorans *Mesoperipatus tholloni*  
163 (Peripatidae) and *Peripatopsis alba* (Peripatopsidae), courtesy Gonzalo Giribet.

