

Welcome to the

DNSSEC

RIPE NCC Training Course

DNSSEC . RIPE NCC Training Course . http://www.ripe.net/training/dnssec/



Why DNSSEC?

- DNS is not secure
 - Known vulnerabilities
 - People depend more and more on DNS
- DNSSEC protects against data spoofing and corruption
- Why this course:
 - To raise awareness on DNSSEC
 - To provide handles for deployment
 - Reverse delegation



Why RIPE NCC?

- Maintaining in-addr.arpa for several /8 blocks
- Involved in other DNS issues:
 - K-root name server
 - ENUM
- Interested in Internet-wide security technologies
 http://www.ripe.net/disi/



Who are we?

• Trainers:

- Know about DNSSEC
- Not DNS server operators!
- Audience:
 - Know about DNS and want to know about DNSSEC

• please fill in the "expectations" in the questionnaire



Course Outline

- Introduction
- DNSSEC mechanisms
 - to authenticate communication between hosts
 - TSIG / SIG0
 - to establish authenticity and integrity of data
 - New RRs
 - Signing a single zone
 - Building chains of trust
 - Key exchange and key rollovers
- Operational concerns
- Conclusions



DNS: Known Concepts

- Known DNS concepts:
 - Delegation, Referral, Zone, RRs, label, RDATA, authoritative server, caching forwarder, stub and full resolver, SOA parameters, etc
 - Don't know? Do ask!

- Operational knowledge with BIND
 - BIND 8 or 9 named.conf, writing zone files
 - All examples based on IPv4





DNS: Data Flow





Ripe NCC



RIPE NCC Training Course http://www.ripe.net/training/dnssec/



DNS Protocol Vulnerability

- DNS data can be spoofed and corrupted between master server and resolver or forwarder
- The DNS protocol does not allow you to check the validity of DNS data
 - Exploited by bugs in resolver implementation (predictable transaction ID)
 - Polluted caching forwarders can cause harm for quite some time (TTL)
 - Corrupted DNS data might end up in caches and stay there for a long time
- How does a slave (secondary) knows it is talking to the proper master (primary)?



DNSSEC protects..

DNSSEC protects against data spoofing and corruption

- TSIG/SIG0: provides mechanisms to authenticate communication between servers
- DNSKEY/RRSIG/NSEC: provides mechanisms to establish authenticity and integrity of data
- DS: provides a mechanism to delegate trust to public keys of third parties
- A secure DNS will be used as an infrastructure with public keys
 - However it is **NOT** a PKI



DNSSEC Current State

- RFC 4033
 - DNS Security Introduction and Requirements
- RFC 4034
 - Resource Records for the DNS Security Extensions
- RFC 4035
 - Protocol Modifications for the DNS Security Extensions
- March 2005
- Obsoletes RFC 2535



Configuration & Installation BIND's named

- BIND 9.3 or later supports current DNSSEC
 - ftp://ftp.isc.org/isc/bind9/
- TSIG requires servers to sync time (time zone!)
 - ntpdate -b
 - xntpd
- OpenssI libraries required for crypto parts
 - <u>http://www.openssl.org/</u>
- Compile the source using openssl libraries: ./configure --with-openssl



Bind DNSSEC Tools

- Named
- dnssec-keygen
 - Generate keys of various types
- dnssec-signzone
 - Sign a zone
- dig
 - Troubleshoot: Usage: dig +dnssec @...
- named-checkzone & named-checkconf
 - syntax check for zonefiles and named.conf



Server/Named Configuration

- The configuration file is called "named.conf"
- Documentation in <src>/doc/arm/Bv9ARM.html
- Turn on DNSSEC in "options" statement
 - dnssec-enable yes;
- Turn on logging for troubleshooting
 - Several categories
 - Categories are processed in one or more channels
 - Channels specify where the output goes



Relevant Logging Categories

- dnssec
 - Processing DNSSEC signed responses
- security
 - Request that are approved or not
- notify
 - Zone change notification (relevant for dynamic update environments)
- update
 - Dynamic update events

Ripe Logging Configuration Example

```
logging {
             channel query_channel {
                          file""log/querylog" versions 3;
                          print-time yes;
             };
             channel dnssec_l og {
                          file "log/dnssec" versions 2;
print-time yes; // add timestamp the entries
print-category yes; // add category name
print-severity yes; // add severity level
severity debug 3; // print debug messages
             };
             channel everything_else {
                          file"log/runlog" versions 3;
                          print-time yes;
                          print-severity yes;
                          print-category yes;
             };
            category dnssec { dnssec_l og; };
category securi ty { dnssec_l og; everything_el se; };
category queri es { query_channel; };
             category default { everything_else; };
};
```



Questions?



DNSSEC . RIPE NCC Training Course . http://www.ripe.net/training/dnssec/



Securing Host-Host Communication







Transaction Signature: TSIG

• TSIG (RFC 2845)

- authorizing dynamic updates & zone transfers
- authentication of caching forwarders
- can be used without deploying other features of DNSSEC
- One-way hash function
 - DNS question or answer & timestamp
- Traffic signed with "shared secret" key
- Used in configuration, **NOT** in zone file





TSIG for Zone Transfers

1. Generate secret

2. Communicate secret

3. Configure servers

4. Test

DNSSEC . RIPE NCC Training Course . http://www.ripe.net/training/dnssec/



Generate TSIG Secret

dnssec-keygen -a <alg> -b <bits> -n <type> [options] <keyname>

- algorithm: HMAC-MD5
- '-r /dev/urandom' might be needed
- Bits: 256 or larger
- type: host
- Name: unique identifier
 - Suggested: master-slave.zone.name.
 - "me-friend." used as example because it is short
- TSIG secret can be generated differently (base64 encoding)



TSIG dnssec-keygen Output

dnssec-keygen -a HMAC-MD5 -b 256 -n host me-friend. algorithm Kme-fri end. +157+51197. pri vate Kme-fri end. +157+51197. key

- Private and Public Key contain the same key
- TSIG should never be put in zone files!!!
 - might be confusing because it looks like RR: me-fri end. IN KEY 512 3 157 nEfRX9...bbPn7I yQtE=



Master Server: named.conf

- "Key" statement to configure key
- key "me-friend." {

```
algorithm hmac-md5;
```

```
secret "nEfRX9j x0mzsby8VKRgDWEJorhyNbj t1ebbPn7l yQtE=";
```

```
};
```

- "allow-transfer" option in zone statement indicates which keys are allowed transfer
 - Can be combined with IP based restrictions

```
zone "example.net" {
   type master;
   file "zones/example.net.";
   allow-transfer { key me-friend.; };
   notify yes;
```

};



Slave Servers: named.conf

• "key" statement to configure the key

```
key "me-friend." {
    al gorithm hmac-md5;
    secret
    "nEfRX9j xOmzsby8VKRgDWEJorhyNbj t1ebbPn7l yQtE=";
};
```

"server" statement to indicate key used
 Zone configuration doesn't change on slave server

```
server 192.168.10.1 {
    keys {me-friend.; };
```

};

Ripe Testing & Troubleshooting: dig

- You can use dig to check TSIG configuration - dig @<server> <zone> AXFR -k <TSIG keyfile>
- \$ dig @10.0.53.204 example.net AXFR \
 -k Kme-friend.+157+51197.key
- Wrong key will give "Transfer failed" and on the server the security-category will log:

security: error: client 193.0.0.182#1228: zone transfer 'example.net/IN' denied



Importance of the Time Stamp

- TSIG/SIG0 signs a complete DNS request / response with time stamp
 - to prevent replay attacks
 - currently hardcoded at 5 minutes
- Operational problems when comparing times
 - Make sure your local time zone is properly defined
 - date -u will give UTC time, easy to compare between the two systems
- Use NTP synchronization!!!

Ripe Authenticating Servers Using SIG0

- Alternatively its possible to use SIG0
 - Not widely used yet
 - Works well in dynamic update environment

- Public key algorithm
 - Authentication against a public key published in the DNS

• SIG0 specified in RFC 2931



Questions?



DNSSEC . RIPE NCC Training Course . http://www.ripe.net/training/dnssec/



DNSSEC Mechanisms

- New Resource Records
- Setting up a Secure Zone
- Delegating Signing Authority
- Key Rollovers



Vulnerabilities protected by DNSKEY / RRSIG / NSEC

Cache impersonation





DNSSEC hypersummary

- Data authenticity and integrity by signing the Resource Records Sets with private key
- Public DNSKEYs used to verify the RRSIGs
- Children sign their zones with their private key
 - Authenticity of that key established by signature/checksum by the parent (DS)
- Ideal case: one public DNSKEY distributed



The DNS is not a Public Key Infrastructure (PKI)

- All key procedures are based on local policy
- A PKI is as strong as its weakest link
 - Certificate Authorities control this by SLAs
- The DNS does not have Certificate Revocation Lists
- If the domain is under one administrative control you might be able to enforce policy



Public Key Crypto

- Key pair: a private (secret) key and a corresponding public key
- Simplified:
 - If you know the public key, you can verify a signature created with the private key
 - If you know the public key, you can encrypt data that can only be decrypted with the private key
- DNSSEC only uses signatures
 - PGP uses both methods
Authenticity and Integrity of Data

- Authenticity: Is the data published by the entity we think is authoritative?
- Integrity: Is the data received the same as what was published?
- Public Key cryptography helps to answer these questions
 - signatures to check both integrity and authenticity of data
 - verifies the authenticity of signatures

Zone status terminology (RFC3090)

- Verifiably Secure
 - RRset and its RRSIG can be verified with a DNSKEY that can be chased back to a trusted key, the parent has a DS record
- Verifiably Insecure
 - RRset sits in a zone that is not signed and for which the parent has no DS record
- BAD
 - RRset and its RRSIG can not be verified (somebody messed with the sig, the RRset, or the RRSIG expired)
 - A zone and its subzones are BAD when the parent's signature over the Child's key (DS) is BAD



New Resource Records



New Resource Records

- 3 Public key crypto related RRs
 - RRSIG Signature over RRset made using private key
 - DNSKEY Public key, needed for verifying a RRSIG
 - Delegation Signer; 'Pointer' for building chains of authentication
- One RR for internal consistency
 - NSEC Indicates which name is the next one in the zone and which typecodes are available for the current name
 - authenticated non-existence of data



Other Keys in the DNS

- DNSKEY RR should only be used for DNSSEC
 keys for other applications should use other RR types
- CERT
 - For X.509 certificates
- Application keys under discussion/development

 IPSECKEY
 - SSHFP



RR's and RRsets

• Resource Record:

- name TTL class type rdata
www.ripe.net. 7200 IN A 192.168.10.3

- RRset: RRs with same name, class and type: www.ripe.net. 7200 IN A 192.168.10.3 A 10.0.0.3 A 172.25.215.2
- RRsets are signed, not the individual RRs



DNSKEY RDATA





DNSSEC

RRSIG RDATA



RIPE NCC Training Course

http://www.ripe.net/training/dnssec/



Delegation Signer (DS)

- Delegation Signer (DS) RR indicates that:
 - delegated zone is digitally signed
 - indicated key is used for the delegated zone
- Parent is authorative for the DS of the childs zone
 - Not for the NS record delegating the childs zone!
 - DS should not be in the childs zone



DS RDATA





NSEC RDATA

- Points to the next domain name in the zone
 - also lists what are all the existing RRs for "name"
 - NSEC record for last name "wraps around" to first name in zone
- N*32 bit type bit map
- Used for authenticated denial-of-existence of data
 - authenticated non-existence of TYPEs and labels
- Example:

www.ripe.net. 3600 IN NSEC ripe.net. A RRSIG NSEC



NSEC Record example

(RRSIG records removed for brevity)

	\$ORIGIN r	ipe.net.	
	@ SOA		
		NS	NS.ripe.net.
		DNSKEY	
		NSEC	mailbox.ripe.net. SOA NS NSEC DNSKEY RRSIG
	mailbox	Α	192. 168. 10. 2
\rightarrow		NSEC	www.ripe.net. A NSEC RRSIG
(WWW	Α	192. 168. 10. 3
		TXT	Public RIPE & RIPE NCC webserver
		NSEC	ripe.net. A NSEC RRSIG TXT
'popserver' is missing			

- Query for "popserver.ripe.net" would return: aa bit set RCODE=NXDOMAIN authority: mailbox.ripe.net. NSEC www.ripe.net. A NSEC RRSIG
- Query for "www. ri pe. net MX" would return: an empty answer section and the "www NSEC" record in the authority section



NSEC records

- If your query for data does not exist in a zone, the NSEC RR provides proof of non-existence
- If after a query the response is:
 - NXDOMAIN: One or more NSEC RRs indicate that the name or a wildcard expansion does not exist
 - NOERROR and empty answer section: The NSEC TYPE array proves that the QTYPE did not exist
- More than 1 NSEC may be required in response

 wildcards
- NSEC records are generated by tools
 - they also lexicographically order the zone



Questions?



DNSSEC . RIPE NCC Training Course . http://www.ripe.net/training/dnssec/



Setting up a secure Zone



Securing a Zone

- 1. Generate keypair
 - include public key (DNSKEY) in zone file
- 2. Sign your zone; signing will:
 - sort the zone
 - Insert:
 - NSEC records
 - RRSIG records (signature over each RRset)
 - DS records (optional)
 - generate key-set file (can be used later)



Securing a Zone - continued

3. Publish Signed Zone

4. Configure Forwarding Resolver

5. Test

6. Distribute your public key (DNSKEY) to those that need to be able to trust your zone

- Key-set or DS-set for Parent



Setting up a secure Zone

Generating keys

DNSSEC . RIPE NCC Training Course . http://www.ripe.net/training/dnssec/



Toolbag: dnssec-keygen

• dnssec-keygen to generate keys

dnssec-keygen -a alg -b bits -n type [options] name

- algorithm: RSASHA1 (or RSA or DSA)
- Bitsize: depends on algorithm, key function, paranoia level
- type: zone
- Name: zone you want to sign
- '-r /dev/urandom' might be needed



Creating keys

\$dnssec-keygen -a RSASHA1 -b 1024 -n zone example.net.
Kexample.net.+005+20704
\$

- 2 files are created:
 - Kexampl e. net. +005+20704. key
 - contains the public key
 - should go into the zone file
 - Kexample. net. +005+20704. private
 - contains the private key
 - should be kept secret!!!



Setting up a secure Zone

Signing & publishing

DNSSEC . RIPE NCC Training Course . http://www.ripe.net/training/dnssec/



Only authoritative records are signed

• NS records for the zone itself are signed

NS records for delegations are not signed
 – DS RRs are signed!

• Glue is not signed



Preparing the zonefile

• include the public keys in the zonefile:

- cat Kexample.net.+005+20704.key >> example.net

• Use named-checkzone

 Increase the SOA serial number
 <u>Always increase the SOA serial before</u> <u>signing!</u>



Sign the zone

dnssec-signzone [options] zonefile [ZSK's]

- If zonefile name is not zone name:
 use –o <ori gi n> option
- Signed zonefile is called "zonefilename.signed"
- Keyset is created as a bonus...
 - ready to go to parent
- To create DS records from keyset files:
 –use –g option



Publishing the signed zone

• Edit named.conf:

```
zone "example.net" {
    type master;
    file "zones/example.net.signed"
    allow-transfer { 10.1.2.3 ;
        key mstr-slave.example.net.; };
    notify yes;
};
```

- Use named-checkconf
- Reload zone
- Test



Setting up a secure Zone

Resolver configuration

DNSSEC . RIPE NCC Training Course . http://www.ripe.net/training/dnssec/



Setting up a

verifying resolving name server

- To verify the content of a zone:
 - Get the public (key signing) key and check that this key belongs to the zone owner
- Configure the keys you trust as secure entry points in named.conf

```
trusted-keys {
    "example.net." 256 3 1 "AQ...QQ==";
    };
```



Setting up a secure Zone

Testing the secure zone



Testing a verifying forwarder

- dig +dnssec [@server] record [TYPE]
- Answer Flags are relevant

DNSSEC

• Example query to an authoritative nameserver

RIPE NCC Training Course

```
; <<>> Di G 9. 1. 1 <<>> +dnssec @193. 0. 0. 202 www. example. net
;; gl obal options: printcmd
;; Got answer:
;; ->>HEADER<<- opcode: QUERY, status: NOERROR, id: 1947
;; fl ags: qr aa rd; QUERY: 1, ANSWER: 4, AUTHORITY: 3,
ADDI TI ONAL! 4
authoritative answer
Not authenticated!
Recursion desired (but not available, RA is not set)
```

65

http://www.ripe.net/training/dnssec/





Troubleshooting client side

- Dig returns status: SERVFAIL
- First try without +dnssec
- Also try with +dnssec +cdflag
 - Checking is disabled. Data directly forwarded

• Be ready for some interesting troubleshooting



Troubleshooting Server side

- Turn on logging. Category "dnssec" with severity debug 3 gives you appropriate hints
- Debug output is a little detailed
 - On the next page is an example where we corrupted the trusted-key
 - It is not directly obvious what the problem is
 - We edited the output a little so that it fits on a slide

Ripe Example Debugging Output (partial)

validating sub.tld KEY: in dsvalidated validating sub.tld KEY: dsset with trust 7 validating sub.tld KEY: verify rdataset: success validating sub.tld KEY: marking as secure validator @0x81b53d0: dns_validator_destroy validating b1.sub.tld A: in fetch_callback_validator validating b1.sub.tld A: keyset with trust 7 validating b1.sub.tld A: resuming validate validating b1.sub.tld A: verify rdataset: success validating b1.sub.tld A: marking as secure validator @0x81b9e70: dns_validator_destroy



Questions?



DNSSEC . RIPE NCC Training Course . http://www.ripe.net/training/dnssec/



Delegating Signing Authority

chains of trust

DNSSEC . RIPE NCC Training Course . http://www.ripe.net/training/dnssec/



Locally Secured Zones

• Key distribution does not scale!


Ripe Using the DNS to Distribute Keys

- Secured islands make key distribution problematic
- Distributing keys through DNS:
 - Use one trusted key to establish authenticity of other keys
 - Building chains of trust from the root down
 - Parents need to sign the keys of their children
- Ideal world:
 - only the root key needs to be configured
 - parents always delegate security to child



DS RRs for delegation

- Parent is authoritative for the DS record
 It should not appear in the child's zonefile
- DS resource records are used for Delegation of Security
- DS is not backwards compatible with RFC2535
- Eases resigning
 - parent can sign often => short signature lifetime => shorter impact time when key gets compromised



Key problem

- Interaction with parent administratively expensive
 - Should only be done when needed
 - Bigger keys are better
- Signing zones should be fast
 - Memory restrictions
 - Space and time concerns
 - Smaller keys with short lifetimes are better



Key functions

- Large keys are more secure
 - Can be used longer ②
 - Large signatures => large zonefiles \bigotimes
 - Signing and verifying computationally expensive (3)
- Small keys are fast
 - Small signatures 🙂
 - Signing and verifying less expensive ©
 - Short lifetime 😕

Ripe Key solution: more than one key

- RRsets are signed, not RR's
- DS points to specific key
 - Signature from that key over DNSKEY RRset transfers trust to all keys in DNSKEY RRset
- Key that DS points to only signs DNSKEY RRset
 Key Signing Key (KSK)
- Other keys in DNSKEY RRset sign entire zone
 - Zone Signing Key (ZSK)



Initial Key Exchange

- Child needs to:
 - -Send key signing keyset to parent

- Parent needs to:
 - -Check childs zone
 - for DNSKEY & RRSIGs
 - -Verify if key can be trusted
 - -Generate DS RR





 Parent signs the DS record pointing to the key signing key Key signing key

Delegating Signing Authority

SORIGIN kids.net.

ns1

RRSIG NS (...) kids.ng

NS

 $\boldsymbol{\omega}$

The parent is authoritative for the DS RR of its children



\$ORIGIN net.

```
79
```

Chain of Trust Verification, Summary

- Data in zone can be trusted if signed by a Zone-Signing-Key
- Zone-Signing-Keys can be trusted if signed by a Key-Signing-Key
- Key-Signing-Key can be trusted if pointed to by trusted DS record
- DS record can be trusted
 - if signed by the parents Zone-Signing-Key

or

 DS or DNSKEY records can be trusted if exchanged out-of-band and locally stored (Secure entry point)



RIPE NCC Training Course



- Choose ZSK and KSK
- Other options not changed



Questions?



DNSSEC . RIPE NCC Training Course . http://www.ripe.net/training/dnssec/



Key Considerations



Private Key Compromise

- You have to keep your private key secret
- Private key can be stolen
 - Put the key on stand alone machines or on bastion hosts behind firewalls and strong access control
- Private key reconstruction (crypto analysis)
 - random number not random
 - Leakage of key material (DSA)
 - Brute force attacks



Key Rollovers

- Try to minimize impact
 - Short validity of signatures
 - Regular key-rollover
- Remember: DNSKEYs do not have timestamps – the RRSIG over the DNSKEY has the timestamp
- Key rollover involves 2nd party or parties:
 - State to be maintained during rollover
 - operationally expensive



Key Rollover (part 1)

- Scheduled rollover of the child's Key Signing Key
- Child replaces key-1 with key-2 and wants parent to sign it

\$ORIGIN net.			
kids	NS DS RRS	ns1.kids (…) 1 IG DS (…)net.	

\$ORIGIN kids.net. @ NS ns1 DNSKEY (...) (1) a) DNSKEY (...) (2) DNSKEY (...) (5) RRSIG KEY (...) kids.net. 1 RRSIG KEY (...) kids.net. 2 RRSIG KEY (...) kids.net. 5 ns1 A 127.0.10.3 RRSIG A (...) kids.net. 5

parent zone

a) Create key 2b) Sign key-set with key 1 and 2 and send key 2 to parent



Key Rollover (part 2)

c) Parent generates and signs DS record
d) Child signs his zone with <u>only</u> key 2, once parent updated his zone

\$ORIGIN net.

kids NS nsl.kids DS (...) 2 RRSIG DS (...)net.

\$ORIGIN kids.net.			
<pre>@ NS ns1 DNSKEY () 2 DNSKEY () 5</pre>			
RRSIG KEY () kids.net RRSIG KEY () kids.net ns1 A 127.0.10.3	. 2 . 5		
RRSIG A (…) kids.net	. 5		

Ripe Timing of the Scheduled Key Rollover

- Child should not remove the old key while there are still servers handing out the old DS RR.
- The new DS will need to be distributed to the slave servers
 - max time set by the SOA expiration time
- The old DS will need to have expired from caching servers.
 - Set by the TTL of the original DS RR.
- You (or your tool) can check if the master and slave have picked up the change



Unscheduled Rollover Problems

- Needs out of band communication
 - with parent and pre-configured resolvers
- The parent needs to establish your identity again
- How to protect child delegations?
 - unsecured?
- There will be a period that the stolen key can be used to generate data useful on the Internet
 - There is no 'revoke key' mechanism
- Emergency procedure must be on the shelf



Key Rollover - Summary

- 1. Generate new KSK
- 2. Sign with old and new KSKs
- 3. Inform any resolvers that have you as a trusted entry point of the new key
 - trusted-keys configuration
- 4. Query for the parental DS and remember the TTL
 - you will need it later
- 5. Upload the new KSK to the parent
 - The parent will generate a new DS RR.
- 6. Check if *all* parental servers (slaves and masters) picked up the change
- 7. Wait another TTL before removing the old key



Questions?



DNSSEC . RIPE NCC Training Course . http://www.ripe.net/training/dnssec/



Operational Concerns



.nl trial 2003

- ~800.000 delegations
- 40 MB unsigned, 350+ MB signed

 .com grows to 10 Gigabyte!
 unsigned .se ~9 MB, signed .se ~32 MB
- Daily signing: ~1.5 hours
- Loading: ~15 minutes
- Very few NXT (NSEC) walks (rate limiting)



Tips...

- Sort zone before signing
 speeds up the signing process
- If signed zone > 3 Gigabytes: 64 bit architecture
- DNSSEC Deployment Working Group
 - http://www.sdl.sri.com/other/dnssec/
- DNSSEC deployment in .nl
 - http://www.nlnetlabs.nl/dnssec/



Signing the Root

- 3 Organisations check root zone; who signs?
 - IANA/ICANN
 - Department of Commerce
 - Verisign
- How many keys?
 - N of M system for trust?



Resolver Issues

- DNSSEC is not in POSIX yet
 - e.g. gethostbyname()or getnameinfo()
- SIG verification is (only) done by caching forwarders
- To test DNSSEC setups, you have to work with di g, or use the BIND lwresolver library
- Alternatively: write some tools in PERL

- (Net::DNS and Net::DNS::SEC)



End User Side

- Local verifying/recursive server trusted?
 - TSIG for queries?
 - IPSec?
 - Enhance stub resolver functionality?
- How much information needed?
 - AD bit enough?
 - Verifier built in to programs?



Wish List

- Public and private key management tools
- Provisioning tools
- Secure Islands public keys distribution
- API & protocol to communicate validation results
- Killer App that relies on DNSSEC
- Documentation/training/tools in order to reduce costs



Conclusions



What Did We Cover

- DNSSEC provides a mechanism to protect DNS
- DNSSEC implementation:
 - TSIG for communication between servers
 - RRSIG, DNSKEY and NSEC for data
 - DS for delegating trust
- DNSSEC main difficulties:
 - Key distribution
 - Chicken & Egg



Back at the ranch

- Design a secure architecture
- Design a key exchange procedure
- Resign your zone regularly
- Automate the process (cron and Makefiles)
- Have an emergency procedure in place



Thank You!

- Please
 - Fill out questionnaire
 - Return badges for recycling
 - Pick up your certificate
- Slides and other DNSSEC material at: <u>www.ripe.net/training/dnssec/</u>
- Feedback on this tutorial.
 - Suggestions: training@ripe.net



Resources

Reference:

- <sourcedir>/doc /arm/Bv9ARM.html
- DNS and BIND, Albitz & Liu, O' Reilly & Associates
- FAQ: http://www.nominum.com/resources/faqs/bind-faqs.html RFCs:
- <sourcedir>/doc/rfc/
- http://www.ietf.org
- ftp://ftp.ripe.net/rfc/

Drafts:

- <u>http://www.ietf.org</u>
- ftp://ftp.ripe.net/internet-drafts/



Additional Resources

- <u>http://www.nlnetlabs.nl/dnssec/</u>
- http://www.dnssec.net/
- http://www.ripe.net/disi/
- Papers from the 5th USENIX UNIX Security Symposium, Salt Lake City, Utah, June 1995
 - P. Vixie: DNS and BIND Security Issues
 - http://www.usenix.org/publications/library/proceedings/security95/vixie.html
 - S. Bellovin: Using the DNS for Break-ins
 - http://www.usenix.org/publications/library/proceedings/security95/bellovin.html



Related mailing lists

- <u>dnssec@cafax.se</u>
 - operators and developers working on dnssec
- <u>namedroppers@ops.ietf.org</u>
 - DNSEXT IETF working group (DNS protocol development)
- <u>dnsop@cafax.se</u>
 - DNSOP IETF working group (operational DNS issues)
- techsec@ripe.net
 - RIPE Technical Security working group
- <u>dns-wg@ripe.net</u>
 - RIPE DNS working group



TSIG for dynamic updates

- You can use TSIG or SIG0 to protect your dynamic updates
- Detailed howto at: http://ops.ietf.org
 - title: "Secure dynamic DNS howto"
- Steps for TSIG dynamic update of forward tree:
 - Configure your TSIG key into /etc/dhclient.conf and specify the FQDN

- Configure named.conf to allow updates using the key



TSIG for dynamic updates: client side

/etc/dhclient.conf

```
key me-friend. {
    al gori thm HMAC-MD5;
    secret "ic...==";
    }
```

```
zone example.net. {
    primary 193.0.0.4;
    key me-friend.;
    }
```


TSIG for dynamic updates: server side

• /etc/named.conf:

```
key me-friend. {
    algorithm HMAC-MD5;
    secret "ic...==";
    };
zone "example.net" {
      type master;
      file "zones/example.net.signed";
      notify yes;
      allow-transfer { key tsig.example.net.; };
      update-policy {
      grant me-friend. name laptop. example. net ;
      };
};
```

109



- Author:
- Additions and editing by:

Arno Meulenkamp (arno@ripe.net)

- Vesna Manojlovic (BECHA@ripe.net) Filiz Yilmaz (filiz@ripe.net) Tim McGinnis (mctim@ripe.net)
- Based on material by: Olaf M. Kolkman (okolkman@ripe.net)
- Material available at: http://www.ripe.net/training/dnssec/

© 2005 RIPE NCC, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

All rights reserved. All requests for reproduction rights should be addressed to:

RIPE NCC Singel 258 NL-1016 AB AMSTERDAM