## Effect of fertilizer on root rot of barley on stubble and fallow land

L.J. Piening, D.R. Walker, and M. Dagenais

Research Station, Agriculture Canada, Lacombe, Alberta, Scientific Paper No. 435.

Accepted for publication 1983 02 17

The effects of fertilizer (levels of N and P) on common root rot [Cochliobolus sativus and Fusarium spp.] and grain yield of nine cultivars of barley on fallow and stubble land were examined. Yield losses caused by common root rot averaged over nine cultivars were 16% on fallow land, whether fertilized or not. However addition of fertilizer to stubble significantly reduced yield losses about 40%-30%. Fertilizer did not significantly affect the incidence of root rot on fallow land (range 30 to 35%) but did significantly reduce the incidence of the disease on stubble land from 76% to 56%. On both stubble and fallow land the number of heads per plant was increased by added fertilizer and decreased as the incidence of root rot increased. Added fertilizer did not affect yields on fallow land but significantly increased yields by 55% on stubble land.

Piening, L.J., D.R. Walker, and M. Dagenais. 1983. Effect of fertilizer on root rot of barley on stubble and fallow land. Can. J. Plant Pathol. 5: 136-139.

Les effets des engrais (concentrations diverses de N et de P) sur le piétin commun causé par Cochliobolus sativus et Fusarium spp. ainsi que sur le rendement de neuf cultivars d'orge cultivés sur jachère et sur chaume ont fait l'objet d'une étude. Les pertes moyennes attribuables au piétin pour les 9 cultivars s'élevaient à 16% pour la culture sur jachère, avec ou sans amendement. Toutefois, l'addition d'engrais aux champs de chaume a réduit significativement (P = 0,05) les pertes de rendement qui sont passées de 40 à 30%. L'application d'engrais n'a pas d'effet sensible sur l'incidence du piétin dans les jachères (elle varie de 30 à 35%), mais réduit sensiblement celle-ci dans les champs de chaume (elle est passée de 76 à 56%). Dans les deux situations, le nombre d'épis par plant a augmenté sous l'action de l'engrais et diminué lorsque la maladie s'aggravait. L'addition d'engrais n'a pas modifié le rendement des cultures sur jachère, mais a poussé celui des cultures sur chaume de 55%.

Available plant nutrients in the soil affect both plant development and the growth of the soil microflora, including plant pathogens. It is, however, difficult to separate the effects of a nutrient on a pathogen from those on the host plant when studying plant diseases caused by soil- or seed-borne pathogens. The addition of nitrogen to the soil has been shown to intensify root rot in cereals (Ledingham 1970, Garrett 1976, Kaufmann & Williams 1964), although the opposite effect has also been demonstrated (Afanas'eva & Chulkina 1977). Common root rot developed less rapidly on wheat in soil high in phosphorus than in soil low in phosphorus (Verma et al. 1975). Pittman and Horricks (1972) however were unable to show any root rot suppressing effects from additional phosphorus. Russell and Sallans (1940) reported variable root rot response to phosphorus in wheat over a 3 year period. Such conflicting reports of disease-fertilizer interaction may reflect differential cultivar reactions, stage of growth at which infection occurs, or differences in indigenous levels of available plant nutrients in different soils in which the experiments were conducted.

Piening (1973) compared the differential yield response to common root rot [Cochliobolus sativus (Ito et Kurib.) Drechsl. ex Dastur and Fusarium spp.] of 10 barley cultivars on fallow soil without added fertilizer. That paper served as a basis for the present investigation into the yield response of nine

barley cultivars to common root rot as influenced by added fertilizer on summerfallow and stubble land; the beneficial effects of fertilizers in reducing root rot are also discussed.

## Materials and methods

The barley cultivars Galt, Jubilee, Gateway, Olli, Paragon, Centennial, Bonanza, Conquest, and Parkland were sown on fallow and wheat stubble land. The following fertilizer treatments were applied to fallow-sown barley 1) no fertilizer, 2) 15 kg/ha phosphorus and no nitrogen, 3) 44 kg/ha phosphorus and no nitrogen, 4) 15 kg/ha phosphorus and 34 kg/ha nitrogen. On stubble land each cultivar received 1) no fertilizer, 2) no phosphorus and 67 kg/ha nitrogen, 3) 15 kg/ha phosphorus and 67 kg/ha nitrogen, 4) 15 kg/ha phosphorus and 34 kg/ha nitrogen. Each fertilizer treatment was replicated four times for each cultivar in a randomized block design. Each cultivar - fertilizer treatment consisted of a 4-row plot, 5 m long. Rows were spaced at 23 cm and approximately 300 seeds were planted in each row. The wheat stubble land was adjacent to the fallow site. Nitrogen was applied as ammonium nitrate and phosphorus was applied as triple superphosphate. All P treatments and N treatments up to 34 kg/ha were placed with the seed. Where 67 kg/ha N was applied, 34 kg/ha was placed with the seed and the remainder banded near the seed.

When plants reached the firm dough stage, a sample of at least 250 plants was pulled randomly from the two center rows of each plot and separated into two categories, a healthy category containing clean and slightly lesioned subcrown internodes and a diseased category containing moderately and severely diseased subcrown internodes. Root rot incidence was calculated as the percentage of plants in the diseased category out of the total number of plants rated. The number of heads per plant was recorded from each of 4 categories or from 2: diseased and clean plants with the subcrown severely, moderately, and slightly infected and from those with no root rot lesions. Losses for each sample were calculated using the formula derived by Machecek (1943).

Percent loss in yield = 
$$100 - (\frac{w}{w_1 \times N} \times 100)$$

where w is the total weight of grain from the sample, w<sub>1</sub> the average weight of grain per plant from plants in the healthy category in the sample, and N the total number of plants in the sample. A separate 1.2 m<sup>2</sup> area was harvested from the two center rows for total plot yield.

## Results and discussion

Root rot on fallow-sown barley. In this study the yield loss due to root rot of the nine barley cultivars on fallow land without added fertilizer (Table 1) compared reasonably well with the yield loss of these cultivars in a previous study (Piening 1973). The relative order of yield loss due to root rot of the cultivars was similar, though not identical in the two studies. The yield loss for the nine cultivars without added fertilizer averaged 16% in both studies, with 40% of plants infected in the previous report by Piening (1973) and 35% infected in the present investigation (Table 2).

The addition of N and or P to fallow-sown barley did not significantly alter the incidence of root rot or the yield loss of the nine barley cultivars from that of the nonfertilized barley. Analyses of these data failed to show any cultivar-fertilizer interaction. The nutrient supply in the fallow soil was probably adequate for maximum yields because the added fertilizer did not increase yields (Table 3). Added N and or P did, however, increase the numbers of heads per plant, but since yields were not increased (Table 4), plants with additional heads may have had smaller kernels. Root rot significantly decreased the number of heads on barley growing on fallow.

Root rot on stubble-sown barley. This study was conducted on stubble land to test the effects of added N and P on root rot of barley. The average yield of the nine barley cultivars increased by 55%

Table 1. Percent yield loss due to common root rot of nine barley cultivars with and without fertilizer on fallow and stubble land

|            | Fertilizer* applied to fallow |                                |                                 |                                 |         |
|------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| Cultivar   | $N_0P_0$                      | $N_0P_{15}$                    | $N_0 P_{44}$                    | N <sub>34</sub> P <sub>15</sub> | Avg     |
| Galt       | 20                            | 21                             | 17                              | 10                              | 17 bc** |
| Jubilee    | 15                            | 14                             | 20                              | 23                              | 18 bc   |
| Gateway    | 39                            | 30                             | 34                              | 31                              | 33 a    |
| Olli       | 17                            | 27                             | 23                              | 27                              | 23 b    |
| Paragon    | 8                             | 5                              | 13                              | 15                              | 10 e    |
| Centennial | 14                            | 16                             | 6                               | 9                               | 11 cd   |
| Bonanza    | 12                            | 9                              | 9                               | 22                              | 13 cd   |
| Conquest   | 14                            | 13                             | 6                               | 20                              | 13 cd   |
| Parkland   | 8                             | 17                             | 11                              | 10                              | 11 cd   |
| Avg        | 16                            | 17                             | 15                              | 18                              |         |
|            | Fer                           |                                |                                 |                                 |         |
| Cultivar   | $N_0P_0$                      | N <sub>67</sub> P <sub>0</sub> | N <sub>67</sub> P <sub>15</sub> | N34P15                          | Avg     |
| Galt       | 39                            | 32                             | 23                              | 24                              | 30      |
| Jubilee    | 44                            | 32                             | 42                              | 28                              | 36      |
| Gateway    | 52                            | 41                             | 32                              | 37                              | 41      |
| Olli       | 34                            | 28                             | 38                              | 38                              | 34      |
| Paragon    | 41                            | 28                             | 25                              | 21                              | 29      |
| Centennial | 38                            | 34                             | 29                              | 27                              | 32      |
| Bonanza    | 40                            | 28                             | 22                              | 27                              | 29      |
| Conquest   | 28                            | 30                             | 27                              | 20                              | 26      |
| Parkland   | 41                            | 34                             | 31                              | 33                              | 35      |
| Avg        | 40a                           | 32b                            | 30b                             | 28b                             |         |

\* kg ha N and or P

\*\*Values within a row or within a column followed by different letters are significantly different according to Duncan's multiple range test, P = 0.05.

Table 2. Percent common root rot of plants of nine barley cultivars on fertilized and non-fertilized fallow and stubble land

|            | Fertilizer* applied to fallow |                                |                                 |                                 |       |
|------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|
| Cultivar   | N <sub>o</sub> P <sub>o</sub> | N <sub>0</sub> P <sub>15</sub> | $N_0P_{44}$                     | N <sub>34</sub> P <sub>15</sub> | Avg   |
| Galt       | 52                            | 33                             | 30                              | 53                              | 42 b  |
| Jubilee    | 39                            | 37                             | 32                              | 28                              | 34 b  |
| Gateway    | 74                            | 55                             | 56                              | 53                              | 59 a  |
| Olli       | 62                            | 54                             | 55                              | 56                              | 57 a  |
| Paragon    | 16                            | 13                             | 22                              | 24                              | 19 d  |
| Centennial | 21                            | 19                             | 21                              | 28                              | 22 cd |
| Bonanza    | 15                            | 16                             | 15                              | 13                              | 15 d  |
| Conquest   | 20                            | 15                             | 16                              | 19                              | 17 d  |
| Parkland   | 22                            | 27                             | 38                              | 26                              | 28 bc |
| Avg        | 35                            | 30                             | 32                              | 33                              |       |
|            | Fertilizer* applied to fallow |                                |                                 |                                 |       |
| Cultivar   | N <sub>0</sub> P <sub>0</sub> | N <sub>67</sub> P <sub>0</sub> | N <sub>0</sub> ,P <sub>15</sub> | N <sub>34</sub> P <sub>15</sub> | Avg   |
| Galt       | 91                            | 71                             | 49                              | 63                              | 66 b  |
| Jubilee    | 78                            | 59                             | 65                              | 63                              | 66 b  |
| Gateway    | 89                            | 94                             | 63                              | 66                              | 78 a  |
| Olli       | 86                            | 76                             | 68                              | 60                              | 72 ab |
| Paragon    | 66                            | 47                             | 49                              | 38                              | 50 de |
| Centennial | 69                            | 62                             | 46                              | 45                              | 56 cd |
| Bonanza    | 67                            | 40                             | 36                              | 36                              | 44 e  |
| Conquest   | 75                            | 56                             | 46                              | 38                              | 54 de |
| Parkland   | 69                            | 84                             | 48                              | 58                              | 64 bc |
| Avg        | 76 a                          | 65 b                           | 52 c                            | 52 c                            |       |

\* kg ha N and or P

\*\*Values within a row or within a column followed by different letters are significantly different according to Duncan's multiple range test P = 0.05.

| Table 3.   | Yield of grain (kg | ha) from nine | barley cultivars | with and without |
|------------|--------------------|---------------|------------------|------------------|
| fertilizer | on fallow and stub | ble land      |                  |                  |

|            | Falle         | w           | Stubble       |             |  |
|------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|--|
| Cultivar   | No fertilizer | Fertilizer* | No fertilizer | Fertilizer* |  |
| Gateway    | 5219          | 5802        | 1926          | 3562        |  |
| Olli       | 5152          | 5309        | 2262          | 3517        |  |
| Parkland   | 5331          | 5242        | 2419          | 3875        |  |
| Galt       | 6261          | 5578        | 2229          | 3763        |  |
| Paragon    | 6070          | 5611        | 2352          | 3674        |  |
| Jubilee    | 5564          | 5309        | 2229          | 3629        |  |
| Conquest   | 5746          | 5645        | 2475          | 3786        |  |
| Bonanza    | 6194          | 6518        | 2834          | 3786        |  |
| Centennial | 5723          | 5824        | 2800          | 3875        |  |
| Avg        | 5696 a**      | 5649 a      | 2392 a        | 3719 b      |  |

<sup>\*</sup> Average of three fertilizer treatments.

when N and P fertilizers were applied to stubble land (Table 3), indicating that this soil may have been deficient in these elements. However some of the increased yield might be attributable to the reduced incidence of root rot because of increased plant resistance due to the addition of N or P. Root rot incidence averaged 76% for the nine barley cultivars on nonfertilized stubble. Applying N (67 kg/ha) alone or with P (15 kg/ha) to stubble land significantly reduced the root rot incidence to 65% and 52%, respectively (Table 2). The average yield loss due to root rot of the nine barley cultivars on nonfertilized stubble land was 40%, whereas the addition of N or N plus P significantly reduced the yield loss to 32% and 28%, respectively (Table 1). Nitrogen and P also significantly increased the numbers of heads of both diseased and healthy barley on stubble. Diseased barley had significantly

Table 4. Effect of fertilizer and no fertilizer on the numbers of heads produced per plant of 9 barley cultivars on fallow and stubble land

|                         | Root rot infection |          |        |         |        |
|-------------------------|--------------------|----------|--------|---------|--------|
| Fertilizer<br>treatment | Severe             | Moderate | Slight | Healthy | Avg    |
| Fallow                  |                    |          |        |         | 7170   |
| No-Po                   | 2.1                | 2.6      | 2.8    | 3.3     | 2.7 a  |
| No-P15                  | 2.0                | 3.1      | 3.2    | 3.6     | 3.0 b  |
| No-P44                  | 1.9                | 3.1      | 3.2    | 3.6     | 3.0 b  |
| N34-P15                 | 2.6                | 3.4      | 3.2    | 3.7     | 3.0 b  |
| Avg                     | 2.15 a*            | 3.05 b   | 3.1 b  | 3.55 c  |        |
| Stubble                 |                    |          |        |         |        |
| No-Po                   | 1.4                | 1.7      | 1.7    | 2.1     | 1.7 a  |
| N67-P0                  | 1.8                | 2.2      | 2.5    | 2.8     | 2.3 b  |
| N67-P15                 | 1.9                | 2.4      | 2.5    | 3.1     | 2.5 c  |
| N34-P15                 | 1.9                | 2.3      | 2.5    | 3.0     | 2.4 bc |
| Avg                     | 1.75 a             | 2.15 b   | 2.3 c  | 2.75 d  |        |

<sup>\*</sup>Values within a row or within a column followed by different letters are significantly different according to Duncan's multiple range test, P = 0.05.

fewer heads than healthy barley on fertilized and nonfertilized stubble land.

Only limited comparisons can be made of the incidence of root rot of barley on fallow and stubble. Without added fertilizer, root rot incidence and yield loss were almost twice as high on stubble as on fallow. This may be due to the inherent difference in the N and P status of these soils. This study does not reveal how the addition of N and P reduced root rot. There are several possible reasons for the higher incidence of root rot on stubble. A greater number of spores of C. sativus might be expected on residue from a preceding susceptible crop than on fallow land. Unpublished data by Piening, however, revealed similar concentrations of conidia in soil from fallow and barley stubble land. Also the greater amount of biological activity from decomposing straw might affect the pathogenic potential of C. sativus. Differences in root rot incidence in barley on stubble and fallow might also be due to differences in moisture or C/N ratio but these factors probably would not be altered much when N and P are added to stubble soil. However root rot was reduced significantly when N and P were added to stubble land. The addition of 34 kg/ha N and 15 kg ha of P to stubble soil did not reduce the root rot incidence (52%) or yield loss (28%) to the levels found in barley from nonfertilized fallow (35% and 16% respectively) nor did fertilizer on stubble stimulate the grain yield (3719 kg/ha) to the level of nonfertilized fallow-grown barley (5696 kg/ha).

The higher yield on stubble land may have resulted in part from the addition of fertilizer and in part from increased disease resistance, as was evident by less severe disease symptoms on the subcrown internodes. Verma et al. (1975) noted the generally more rapid lesion development on the subcrown internode due to C. sativus in Manitou wheat growing in soils low in phosphate. Afanas-

<sup>\*\*</sup>Values within fallow and stubble followed by different letters are significantly different according to Duncan's multiple range test, P = 0.05.

'eva and Chulkina (1977) attributed a reduction in root rot of wheat from added phosphate to increased activity of other microbes in the rhizosphere of the wheat which decreased the numbers of conidia of C. sativus. Unfortunately we can make no conclusions from these data to explain the mechanism as to how phosphorus and, to a lesser extent, nitrogen reduce visual symptoms of root rot. It is also known that phosphorus stimulates root development and consequently a more vigorous root system may compensate for the roots destroved by the root rot pathogens.

Afanas'eva, M.M., and V.A. Chulkina. 1977. The effect of mineral fertilizers on the numbers of conidia of Helminthosporium sativum Pamm. King et Bakke in soil. Mikologiya Fitopatalogiya 2: 131-135.

- Garrett, S.D. 1976. Influence of nitrogen on cellulolysis rate and saphrophytic survival in soil of some cereal root-rot fungi. Soil Biol, Biochem, 8: 229-234.
- Kaufmann, D.D., and L.E. Williams. 1964. Effect of mineral fertilization and soil reaction on soil fungi. Phytopathology 54: 134-139.
- Ledingham, R.J. 1970. Effects of straw and nitrogen on common root rot of wheat, Can. J. Plant Sci. 50: 175-179.
- Machecek, J.E. 1943. An estimate of loss in Manitoba from common root rot in wheat. Sci. Agr. 24: 70-77.
- Piening, L.J. 1973. Differential yield responses of ten barley cultivars to common root rot. Can. J. Plant Sci. 53: 763-764.
- Pittman, U.J., and J.S. Horricks, 1972. Influence of crop residue and fertilizers on stand, yield and root rot of barley in southern Alberta, Can. J. Plant Sci. 52: 463-469.
- Russell, R.C., and B.J. Sallans, 1940. The effect of phosphate fertilizers on common root rot. Sci. Agric. 21: 44-51.
- Verma, P.R., R.A.A. Morrall, R.L. Randell, and R.D. Tinline. 1975. The epidemiology of common root rot in Manitou wheat. III. Development of lesions on subcrown internodes and the effect of added phosphate. Can. J. Bot. 53: 2568-2580.