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## FOREWORD

One of the greatest long-term threats to the viability of commercial and recreational fisheries is the continuing loss of marine, estuarine, and other aquatic habitats.

Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (October 11, 1996)

The long-term viability of living marine resources depends on protection of their habitat.

NMFS Strategic Plan for Fisheries Research (February 1998)

The Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSFCMA), which was reauthorized and amended by the Sustainable Fisheries Act (1996), requires the eight regional fishery management councils to describe and identify essential fish habitat (EFH) in their respective regions, to specify actions to conserve and enhance that EFH, and to minimize the adverse effects of fishing on EFH. Congress defined EFH as "those waters and substrate necessary to fish for spawning, breeding, feeding or growth to maturity." The MSFCMA requires NMFS to assist the regional fishery management councils in the implementation of EFH in their respective fishery management plans.

NMFS has taken a broad view of habitat as the area used by fish throughout their life cycle. Fish use habitat for spawning, feeding, nursery, migration, and shelter, but most habitats provide only a subset of these functions. Fish may change habitats with changes in life history stage, seasonal and geographic distributions, abundance, and interactions with other species. The type of habitat, as well as its attributes and functions, are important for sustaining the production of managed species.

The Northeast Fisheries Science Center compiled the available information on the distribution, abundance, and habitat requirements for each of the species managed by the New England and Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Councils. That information is presented in this series of 30 EFH species reports (plus one consolidated methods report). The EFH species reports comprise a survey of the important literature as well as original analyses of fishery-
independent data sets from NMFS and several coastal states. The species reports are also the source for the current EFH designations by the New England and MidAtlantic Fishery Management Councils, and have understandably begun to be referred to as the "EFH source documents."

NMFS provided guidance to the regional fishery management councils for identifying and describing EFH of their managed species. Consistent with this guidance, the species reports present information on current and historic stock sizes, geographic range, and the period and location of major life history stages. The habitats of managed species are described by the physical, chemical, and biological components of the ecosystem where the species occur. Information on the habitat requirements is provided for each life history stage, and it includes, where available, habitat and environmental variables that control or limit distribution, abundance, growth, reproduction, mortality, and productivity.

Identifying and describing EFH are the first steps in the process of protecting, conserving, and enhancing essential habitats of the managed species. Ultimately, NMFS, the regional fishery management councils, fishing participants, Federal and state agencies, and other organizations will have to cooperate to achieve the habitat goals established by the MSFCMA.

A historical note: the EFH species reports effectively recommence a series of reports published by the NMFS Sandy Hook (New Jersey) Laboratory (now formally known as the James J. Howard Marine Sciences Laboratory) from 1977 to 1982. These reports, which were formally labeled as Sandy Hook Laboratory Technical Series Reports, but informally known as "Sandy Hook Bluebooks," summarized biological and fisheries data for 18 economically important species. The fact that the bluebooks continue to be used two decades after their publication persuaded us to make their successors - the 30 EFH source documents - available to the public through publication in the NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS$N E$ series.
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## Appendices

Appendix 1.

## INTRODUCTION

The bluefish, Pomatomus saltatrix (Figure 1), ranges in the western North Atlantic from Nova Scotia and Bermuda to Argentina, but it is rare between southern Florida and northern South America (Robins et al. 1986). They travel in schools of like-sized individuals and undertake seasonal migrations, moving into the Middle Atlantic Bight (MAB) during spring and south or farther offshore during fall. Within the MAB they occur in large bays and estuaries as well as across the entire continental shelf. Juvenile stages have been recorded from all estuaries surveyed within the MAB, but eggs and larvae occur in oceanic waters (Able and Fahay 1998). Bluefish growth rates are fast and they may reach a length of 1.1 m ( 3.5 ft ) and a weight of $12.3 \mathrm{~kg}(27 \mathrm{lbs}$ ) (Bigelow and Schroeder 1953). They may live to age 12.

A bimodal size distribution of young-of-the-year (YOY) bluefish during the summer in the New York Bight suggests that there are two spawning events along the east coast. Recent studies suggest that spawning is a single, continuous event, but that young are lost from the middle portion resulting in the appearance of a split season. As a result of the bimodal size distribution of juveniles, young are referred to as the spring-spawned cohort or summerspawned cohort in the habitat discussion and distribution maps presented below.

## LIFE HISTORY

## EGGS

Eggs from the MAB are pelagic and spherical with a diameter of 0.95-1.00 mm. They have a smooth, transparent shell and a homogeneous yolk. The single oil globule is $0.26-0.29 \mathrm{~mm}$ in diameter and the perivitelline space is narrow (Fahay 1983). Incubation times depend on temperature. At $18.0-22.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, hatching occurs after 4648 h (Deuel et al. 1966). Eggs from the South Atlantic Bight (SAB) have not been described.

## LARVAE AND PELAGIC-JUVENILES

Larvae are 2.0-2.4 mm long when they hatch; the eyes are unpigmented and the mouth parts are undeveloped. Characteristic pigment includes parallel lines of melanophores along the dorsal fin base, body midline, and anal fin base. Teeth are well developed at 4.3 mm and fin rays are complete at a size of about 13-14 mm (Fahay 1983). Larvae rarely occur deeper in the water column than 15 m ; most are concentrated at a depth of about 4 m during the day, but they are about equally distributed between that depth and the surface at night (Kendall and Naplin 1981). The bluefish transforms from a larva to a "pelagic-juvenile" stage that is specially adapted for an
oceanic, near-surface existence after completion of fin ray development (Figure 2). This specialized stage is characterized by a silvery, laterally compressed body, with dark blue counter-coloration on the dorsum. This transition occurs at an age of 18-25 d and at a size of 1012 mm SL (Hare and Cowen 1994). Scales begin to form at about 12 mm on the posterior part of the lateral line region, then proceed forward, until the head is completely scaled at about 37 mm (Silverman 1975). Swimming ability in many fish species dramatically improves during this transformation (e.g. Hunter 1981; Stobutzki and Bellwood 1994; Leis et al. 1996) and this improvement presumably applies to bluefish as well. It is during this stage that bluefish arrive at nursery areas in the central part of the MAB, after advection via the Gulf Stream from spawning areas in the SAB and after crossing the Slope Sea (Hare and Cowen 1996; Hare et al., in prep.) and the continental shelf (Cowen et al. 1993). This transport (active or passive) is crucial to the recruitment of these progeny to vital estuarine nursery areas, and therefore this life history stage might be considered a critical bottleneck.

## JUVENILES (INCLUDING YOUNG-OF-THE-YEAR)

Juveniles have a usual fish shape without unusual features. The caudal fin is forked and the body is somewhat laterally compressed, with a silvery, unpatterned color. The mouth is large and oblique and all fin spines are strong. Two distinct dorsal fins touch at their bases; the second dorsal fin is about the same length as the anal fin base (Able and Fahay 1998). The springspawned cohort is $60-76 \mathrm{~d}$ old with a mean size of 60 mm when they recruit to estuarine habitats in the MAB in late May to mid-June (McBride and Conover 1991; Cowen et al. 1993). The summer-spawned cohort either remains in coastal nursery areas (Kendall and Walford 1979; Able and Fahay 1998) or enters estuarine nurseries in mid- to late August when they are 33-47 d old with a mean length of 46 mm (McBride and Conover 1991). Juveniles of both cohorts depart MAB estuaries and coastal areas in October and migrate to waters south of Cape Hatteras, North Carolina. At this time, members of both cohorts range from 4 to 24 cm long (Able and Fahay 1998). During most years, the spring-spawned cohort dominates in the emigrating young-of-the-year.

## ADULTS

Adult bluefish are blue-green above, silvery below, moderately stout-bodied, and armed with stout teeth along both jaws. The snout is pointed and the mouth is large and oblique. The caudal fin is large and forked. The fin ray formulae are first dorsal: 7-9 spines; second dorsal: 1
spine and 23-26 rays; anal: 2-3 spines and 25-28 rays. Vertebrae number 26. The maximum length is about 115 cm and maximum weights are $4.5-6.8 \mathrm{~kg}$, although an occasional heavier fish has been taken. The maximum age is 12 years. The sex ratio is $1: 1$ for all age groups (Boreman 1982), although Lassiter (1962) reported a ratio of two females per male in North Carolina and Hamer (1959) found a ratio of three females to two males in New Jersey.

## REPRODUCTION

A seminal study, based largely on the distribution of eggs and larvae, concluded that there were two discrete spawning events in western Atlantic bluefish. The first occurs during March-May near the edge of the continental shelf of the SAB. The second occurs between June and August in the MAB (Kendall and Walford 1979). Recent studies have re-examined this conclusion and refined our knowledge of a complex reproductive pattern, and support the concept of a single, migratory spawning stock (Hare and Cowen 1993; Smith et al. 1994).

Sexual maturity and gonad ripening occur in early spring off Florida, early summer off North Carolina, and late summer off New York (Hare and Cowen 1993). In the New York Bight, gonadosomatic studies indicate that both sexes are ripe or ripening between June and September with a strong peak in July (Chiarella and Conover 1990). Larvae re-occur in the SAB in the fall (Collins and Stender 1987) and there are also indications that gonads reach a second peak in ripeness in fishes off Florida in September. Most bluefish are mature by age 2 (Deuel 1964). It is not known whether individuals spawn serially or what the contributions of individuals are to observed spawning patterns of the population. In South Africa, individuals may spawn repeatedly over a period of 5-6 months (Van der Elst 1976), but there is no comparable information for the U.S. population.

## FOOD HABITS

During their oceanic larval stage, bluefish primarily consume copepods. Fishes begin to be included in their diet at sizes of 30 mm , and by 40 mm , fishes are the major diet item. Soon after this shift in diet, juveniles migrate inshore to occupy estuarine habitats (Marks and Conover 1993).

The results of several studies suggest that bluefish juveniles and adults eat whatever taxa are locally abundant (Table 1). The components of young-of-theyear bluefish diet in Sandy Hook Bay, New Jersey and the effects of those components on condition were studied over a three-year period (Friedland et al. 1988). Fishes dominated the diet during 1981, while crustaceans and polychaetes were more important during 1983 and 1984.

Weight-length relationships indicated that weight at length was significantly greater in 1981 than in the other two years. Thus, not only does the quality of diet differ between estuaries, but the method of foraging may also differ; more benthic foraging was evident in bluefish from Sandy Hook Bay than in bluefish sampled in estuaries in Delaware (Grant 1962) and North Carolina (Lassiter 1962). Depending on age class, diets might change through a season. In Chesapeake Bay, diets of three age classes differed through the summer (Table 1), but all three concentrated on Brevoortia tyrannus in the fall (Hartman and Brandt 1995a, b).

## PREDATION

Sharks, tunas, and billfishes are the only predators large and fast enough to prey on adult bluefish. They are a major component in the diet of shortfin mako shark, composing $77.5 \%$ of the diet by volume (Stillwell and Kohler 1982). This study estimated that this shark may consume between 4.3 and $14.5 \%$ of the bluefish resource between Georges Bank and Cape Hatteras. Bluefish also ranked fourth in number and occurrence and third in volume in swordfish diets, especially off the Carolinas (Stillwell and Kohler 1985). Blue sharks and sandbar sharks also prey on bluefish (Kohler 1988; Medved et al. 1985). Young-of-the-year are preyed upon by four oceanic bird species, the Atlantic puffin, Arctic tern, common tern, and roseate tern (Creaser and Perkins 1994; Safina et al. 1990). Cannibalism has only rarely been reported, but occurs in age 1 and older year classes in North Carolina (Lassiter 1962), and bluefish compose a minor component of the diet of larger bluefish collected during Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC) bottom trawl surveys on the continental shelf (NEFSC, unpublished data).

## MIGRATIONS

Bluefish are warm water migrants and do not occur in MAB waters at temperatures $<14-16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Bigelow and Schroeder 1953). They generally move north in springsummer to centers of abundance in the New York Bight and southern New England and south in autumn-winter to the waters in the SAB as far as southeastern Florida. There is a trend for larger individuals to occur farther north during the summer (Wilk 1977). Anecdotal reports suggest that larger adults truncate their southward migration and spend the winter on the outer part of the continental shelf of the MAB. One report witnessed a single fish landed from about 100 m deep off Martha's Vineyard during mid-January 1950 and several hauls of $80-640 \mathrm{~kg}$ from the vicinity of Hudson Canyon during early February of the same year (Bigelow and Schroeder 1953). Another study simply reported "boats engaged in
the winter trawl fishery for fluke and scup along the outer margin of the continental shelf often bring in a few bluefish" (Hamer 1959). These reports have been perpetuated since (Lund 1961; Miller 1969; Lund and Maltezos 1970; Hardy 1978). However, recent winter trawl surveys do not indicate, nor are fisheries or other data available to support, the presence of bluefish in the MAB during winter, except for a few occurrences near the shelf edge off Cape Hatteras (see Geographical Distribution).

## STOCK STRUCTURE

The bluefish is presently managed as a single stock (MAFMC 1997). Although there is evidence of separate spawning events (see Reproduction), fish from these spawning groups mix extensively during their lives, and recent conclusions have ascertained that bluefish year classes are composed of seasonal cohorts (Chiarella and Conover 1990). Recent studies have re-examined this conclusion and refined our knowledge of a complex reproductive pattern, supporting the concept of a single, migratory spawning stock (Hare and Cowen 1993; Smith et al. 1994). A mitochondrial DNA study of spring- and summer-spawned bluefish also concluded that bluefish along the east coast of the United States comprise a single genetic stock (Graves et al. 1992).

## HABITAT CHARACTERISTICS

The habitat characteristics for eggs, larvae, pelagicjuveniles, juveniles, and adults based on results of this compendium and pertinent published reports are presented in Table 2. Included are observations of habitat use by young-of-the-year in estuaries. When studies of juvenile abundance have been related to environmental variables, such as eelgrass presence/absence or a substrate type, they have usually been conducted with seines where catch-per-unit-of-effort is difficult to establish. Comparing the results of these studies between locations is usually not possible, and further details of essential habitats are therefore not yet available. Appendix 1 contains more complete data from various studies reported in the literature.

## EGGS

In the MAB, bluefish eggs are found in the open ocean at temperatures $18-22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and salinities > 31.0 ppt . Peak spawning occurs in the evening (Norcross et al. 1974). Eggs in the southern part of the MAB may be advected south and offshore (Norcross et al. 1974).

## LARVAE AND PELAGIC-JUVENILES

Larvae in the MAB occur in open oceanic waters, near the edge of the continental shelf in the southern Bight and over mid-shelf depths farther north (Norcross et al. 1974; Kendall and Walford 1979). Most larvae occur in temperatures of $18-24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and salinities of $30-32 \mathrm{ppt}$. They migrate vertically in the water column, occurring near the surface at night, but centered at about 4 m during daylight (Kendall and Naplin 1981). Larvae spawned in the SAB (spring-spawned cohort) are subject to advection north via the Gulf Stream (Hare and Cowen 1996; Kendall and Walford 1979), but some recruit successfully to estuaries in the SAB (Collins and Stender 1987; McBride et al. 1993).

The transport of pelagic-juveniles was outlined by Kendall and Walford (1979) and elaborated by Hare and Cowen (1996). Many are found in the vicinity of Cape Hatteras as early as April. In May, several have been collected on the shelf in the SAB (Fahay 1975; Kendall and Walford 1979). By June, they occur in the MAB between the shore and the shelf/slope front, actively crossing the shelf (Hare and Cowen 1996). In both the SAB and MAB, there is a strong negative correlation between fish size and depth indicating an offshore origin and onshore migration with growth.

## JUVENILES (INCLUDING YOUNG-OF-THE-YEAR)

Juveniles occur in estuaries, bays, and the coastal ocean of the MAB and SAB, where they are less common. They occur in many habitats, but do not use the marsh surface. The range of physical and structural conditions in which they are found is summarized in Table 2. Juveniles begin to depart MAB estuaries in October and migrate south to spend the winter months south of Cape Hatteras.

## ADULTS

Adult bluefish occur in the open ocean, large embayments, and most estuarine systems within their range. Although they occur in a wide range of hydrographic conditions, they prefer warmer temperatures and are not found in the MAB when temperatures decline below $14-16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. See Table 2 for a summary of habitat requirements of adult bluefish.

## GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION

## EGGS

Spring-spawned cohort: The spring spawning occurs near the edge of the continental shelf in the SAB.

However, bluefish eggs have not been collected or identified from this region.

Summer-spawned cohort: Eggs were collected from May to August over the MAB continental shelf during the NEFSC Marine Resources Monitoring, Assessment and Prediction (MARMAP) program surveys [see Reid et al. (1999) for methods]. Bluefish eggs were most abundant in July (Figure 3). Eggs were distributed near Cape Hatteras in May and their occurrences expanded rapidly northward during the summer. In July, eggs were distributed as far as southern New England waters with a center of abundance off Delaware Bay and New Jersey (Berrien and Sibunka 1999). Eggs were not collected after August. Bluefish eggs do not occur in estuarine waters. During the NEFSC MARMAP surveys, eggs occurred across the entire shelf, but were most concentrated in mid-shelf depths (Berrien and Sibunka 1999). In another study, most ( $80 \%$ ) eggs collected off the Chesapeake Bay mouth were > 55 km from shore (Norcross et al. 1974). Most eggs were collected at surface temperatures between 17 and $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and over depths of 30 to 70 m (Figure 4).

## LARVAE

The distribution of all larvae collected in the MAB and SAB is shown in Figure 5. There has been a critical lack of sampling in the area immediately south of Cape Hatteras.

Spring-spawned cohort: Our understanding of the distribution of larvae in the SAB (corresponding to the spring-spawned cohort) is limited. The NEFSC MARMAP ichthyoplankton program sampled there from 1973 through 1980; bluefish larvae generally were collected in low densities, both in water column sampling with bongo nets (Figure 6) or Isaacs-Kidd midwater trawls (Table 3), and at the surface with two types of neuston net (Figure 7). Most larvae occurred near the 200 m depth contour, placing them close to the Gulf Stream and presumably enhancing their chances of advection to the north as proposed by Kendall and Walford (1979), Powles (1981), and Hare and Cowen (1993, 1996). The collection of bluefish eggs in April and May is consistent with back-calculated birth dates determined from estuarine recruits in the New York Bight (NYB) (see Juveniles). The densest concentrations of larvae in NEFSC MARMAP cruises in the SAB occurred over the outer half of the continental shelf during April and May. Currents there flow toward the northeast and are affected by the Gulf Stream (Lee and Atkinson 1983), while on the inner shelf, wind-driven currents are important in affecting the drift of larvae (Powles 1981; Lee and Atkinson 1983). A secondary concentration of larvae was detected during late summer/early fall of one year (1976) and may indicate the existence of an isolated spawning event (Figure 6). During 1979, all sampling was done by Isaacs-Kidd
midwater trawl and was restricted to the shelf area near Charleston, South Carolina between February and August (Table 3). Larvae were collected with this gear in low densities between February and mid-May; two tows in April yielded somewhat higher densities.

Summer-spawned cohort: The distribution of larvae in the MAB is similar to that of the eggs (Figure 8). Larvae $<11 \mathrm{~mm}$ (the size when they become pelagicjuveniles) first occur near Cape Hatteras and along the shelf edge in the Wilmington Canyon area during May, and are present through the summer in increasing numbers throughout the southern and central parts of the MAB. Although larvae are only rarely collected in estuarine waters, they have been reported from a few large systems in the MAB, including one larva, one occurrence in Narragansett Bay (Herman 1963) and several estuaries in New York/New Jersey (Table 4). During June, peak larval abundance occurs between Cape Hatteras and Chesapeake Bay and off New Jersey. Larvae are most dense in the central part of the MAB in July and remain dense during August. Few larvae occur in the MAB during September. Larvae rarely occur deeper in the water column than 15 m and most are concentrated at a depth of about 4 m during the day, but are about equally distributed between that depth and the surface at night. Neuston sampling, therefore, is likely to drastically undersample bluefish when done during the day. In NEFSC MARMAP sampling, larvae occurred across the entire shelf but were most concentrated in mid-shelf depths. Most larvae were collected at surface temperatures between $17^{\circ}$ and $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and over water depths of 30 to 70 m (Figure 9).

## PELAGIC-JUVENILES (LARVAL TO JUVENILE TRANSITION)

There are no available data that adequately describe the distribution of this transformation stage in bluefish life history, however, limited observations have been made in the NYB (Shima 1989; Hare and Cowen 1996). These observations support the view that temperatures below 13$15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ impede the progress of this stage into MAB estuaries. In early June, these pelagic-juveniles mass at the shelf-slope temperature front, and resume their inshore migration when that front dissipates (Hare and Cowen 1996).

## JUVENILES

It is presently unknown if bluefish are "estuarine dependant" since the distribution of juveniles over the continental shelf has not been described. The distribution and relative abundance of juveniles has been documented for estuaries along the east coast of the United States (Table 4) and for estuaries in Maine (Table 5).

A survey of juvenile bluefish published in the early 1970s (Clark 1973) noted that their distribution differed from historical observations (Figure 10). Bluefish were not observed south of Daytona Beach through the 1970s, although juveniles were reported from estuaries as far south as Palm Beach, Florida in the early part of the century (Evermann and Bean 1898; Nichols 1913). This author also suggested that the apparent high densities of juveniles in certain regions (e.g., New Jersey and South Carolina) were due to greater sampling effort. Remaining enigmatic occurrences include those in the freshwaters of the upper Chesapeake Bay (Mansueti 1955; Lund 1961), although the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal may play a role in their presence there.

Several young-of-the-year surveys (or surveys that adequately sample young stages) are conducted within MAB states (Figure 11). Several caveats pertaining to these results prevent these state data from being compared directly. Some surveys are conducted throughout the year, while others are limited in their seasonal extent, and the resultant densities are therefore unequal. Although all results are expressed as "number per tow," tow lengths and gear characteristics vary between states, and thus the basis for this number can be unequal. Finally, the definition of "juvenile" can vary between states; in some cases, it is based solely on length frequency distributions, in some cases it is based on an arbitrary length cutoff. In most states, all fish $<30 \mathrm{~cm}$ are considered juveniles, although in the Chesapeake Bay region, some of these could be age $1+$ if they were collected early in the year (Munch 1997).

Despite these caveats, certain trends are evident in the data. There are signs of strong year classes in each state data set, but these do not necessarily match temporally. In general, abundances are greater in states between Rhode Island and New Jersey, and considerably lower in states in the southern part of the MAB, further emphasizing the importance of the former.

## Massachusetts Trawl Survey

Juvenile bluefish are collected in twice-yearly otter trawl sampling in nearshore waters of Massachusetts [see Reid et al. (1999) for details]. Juveniles are not found during spring, but are more abundant during fall (Figure 12); most positive collections occur in embayments south of Cape Cod. In the fall, juveniles occur in the warmest bottom water temperatures and occur most commonly at the shallowest stations (Figure 13).

## Rhode Island Trawl Survey, Narragansett Bay

Juveniles were collected during summer and autumn in a survey of Narragansett Bay (Figures 14, 15) [see Reid
et al. (1999) for details]. Most were collected in depths of $6-15 \mathrm{~m}$ and at bottom water temperatures of $17-22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure 16).

## Connecticut Trawl Survey, Long Island Sound

Young-of-the-year appear during June and by midAugust, they compose $93 \%$ of the bluefish catches in Long Island Sound (Figure 17) [see Reid et al. (1999) for details]. Abundance is highest during mid-summer on the Connecticut side of the sound in depths $<18 \mathrm{~m}$, but adults are more widespread than juveniles (Figure 18). Peak abundance is reached during September when bluefish ( $94 \%$ juveniles) are found throughout the sound. Juvenile abundance is highest in depths of $9-27 \mathrm{~m}$ over mud bottoms in three areas: 1) the Connecticut side from New Haven to Norwalk; 2) across the Western Basin into Smithtown Bay; and 3) across the Central Basin from New Haven to Mattituck. Abundance decreases rapidly after September and juveniles appear to depart before adults.

## NEFSC Hudson-Raritan Trawl Survey

Most bluefish collected in the Hudson-Raritan estuary and Sandy Hook Bay trawl survey are juveniles ( $<35 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) [see Reid et al. (1999) for details]. There are no occurrences during winter and only a few adults are collected during spring (Figure 19). During summer and fall, juveniles occur throughout the area in all depths sampled, at bottom temperatures between 12 and $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure 20). The largest collections were made near navigation channels or in a basin near Graves End Bay.

## SEAMAP Trawl Survey, South Atlantic Bight

The Southeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program (SEAMAP) surveys sampled the coastal region between Cape Hatteras, North Carolina and Cape Canaveral, Florida [see Reid et al. (1999) for details]. After an initial several years when gear and methods were not standardized, methodology became synoptic and standardized between 1990 and 1996 (Beatty and Boylan 1997; Boylan et al. 1998). Bluefish collected during the latter survey period are shown in Figure 21. Length frequencies of these collections indicate most were young-of-the-year or age 1 (Figure 22). Information on distributions over the offshore portions of the SAB shelf are lacking for any size class. Monthly occurrences of these bluefish are shown in Figure 23. Occurrences decrease during spring, are at low levels during summer, and increase during October beginning in the northern
part of the bight, which suggests an influx of migrating young-of-the-year from the MAB.

## ADULTS

## Massachusetts Trawl Survey

Adult bluefish are collected in twice-yearly otter trawl sampling in nearshore waters of Massachusetts. During spring, a few large adults are sometimes found in the vicinity of Nantucket and Vineyard sounds, when juveniles are not found (Figure 12). Both juveniles and adults are more abundant during fall when most collections occurred in embayments south of Cape Cod (Figure 12). Adults in spring and fall occur over the warmest bottom water temperatures and most commonly in the shallowest stations (Figure 13).

## Rhode Island Trawl Survey, Narragansett Bay

Adults were rarely collected during summer and autumn in a survey of Narragansett Bay (Figures 14, 15). Most were collected in depths of 6-21 m (summer) and 943 m (autumn) and at bottom water temperatures of 15$26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (summer) and $17-21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (autumn) (Figure 16).

## Connecticut Trawl Survey, Long Island Sound

Bluefish adults begin to appear in Long Island Sound during May (Figure 17) when temperature preferences are $9-18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure 18). Abundance is highest during midsummer on the Connecticut side of the sound in depths < 18 m and adults are more widespread than juveniles. Peak abundance is reached during September when bluefish ( $94 \%$ juveniles) are found throughout the sound. Abundance decreases rapidly after September and juveniles appear to depart before adults.

## NEFSC Hudson-Raritan Trawl Survey

Most bluefish collected in Hudson-Raritan estuary and Sandy Hook Bay are juveniles ( $<35 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). There are no occurrences during winter and only a few adults are collected during spring (Figure 19). Their collections relative to bottom temperature, depth, dissolved oxygen, and salinity are shown in Figure 20.

## JUVENILES AND ADULTS

## NEFSC Trawl Surveys

Bluefish are migratory and their distribution varies seasonally and according to age and size of individuals composing schools. Length frequencies of trawl-collected bluefish were examined to determine age and size composition of catches in the NEFSC bottom trawl survey (Figure 24). Modes were separable into spawning cohorts and year classes based on published studies and are the bases for the distribution maps (Figures 25-32).

The distribution of all lengths during all seasons (Figure 25) indicates that bluefish occur most densely along the coast of the MAB and through the central part of Georges Bank, although these results may reflect the increased efficiency of the trawl in shallower waters. Winter occurrences are limited to the outer continental shelf near Cape Hatteras and these few occurrences are larger fish (Figures 26, 27). Spring collections include spring-spawned young-of-the-year off North Carolina, spring-spawned age 1 restricted to coastal areas south of Cape Hatteras, age 2 individuals along the continental shelf edge off North Carolina, and older year classes distributed between Cape Hatteras and the offing of the Delmarva Peninsula (Figure 28). The distributions of < 30 cm and $>30 \mathrm{~cm}$ bluefish relative to depths and temperatures sampled during these spring surveys are shown in Figure 29.

Summer surveys collected several age classes, including summer-spawned young-of-the-year in the New York Bight; spring-spawned young-of-the-year widely distributed along the coast between New York and Cape Hatteras; age 1 fish, especially off North Carolina, but also in the Chesapeake Bay region; and older year classes, mostly over Georges Bank (Figure 30).

Fall surveys are most important for measuring relative year-class strength. Young-of-the-year of both springand summer-spawned cohorts and age 1 individuals are abundant along the coast between Long Island and Cape Hatteras. Older year-classes are more abundant in southern New England and Georges Bank waters (Figure 31). When all lengths are considered, there is a trend for bluefish to occur on the warmest stations sampled (Figure 32). However, this trend is most pronounced for young-of-the-year when they are separated from older year classes. The relative occurrences of all year classes by bottom depths closely mirror the distribution of depths sampled (Figure 32).

All age classes, in combined spring and fall surveys, were collected mostly over depths $<20 \mathrm{~m}$. They were collected at warmer temperatures during spring surveys, but showed little preference for temperatures during fall surveys.

## STATUS OF THE STOCK

Population fluctuations have been common in the western Atlantic bluefish population since colonial times. Wide swings in abundance occurred between the 1600 s and the 1950s (Bigelow and Schroeder 1953). In recent years, the total catch of bluefish (commercial landings plus recreational catches) peaked in the late 1970s and early 1980s and has declined since (Figure 33). Commercial landings decreased about $22 \%$ between 1994 and 1995. During 1982-1996, age 1 fishing mortality increased approximately fourfold, recruitment has declined from an estimated 75 million fish at age 0 to about 14 million fish, and estimates of the spawning stock biomass have decreased from about $300,000 \mathrm{mt}$ to $100+$ mt (Stock Assessment Review Committee, Coastal Pelagic Subcommittee 1996).

There is little difference in the distribution of adults between a period of relatively high population abundance (1980-1982) and a period of low abundance (1994-1996) (Figure 34). However, the same comparison of the distribution of young-of-the-year indicates a decline in abundance in the southern part of the MAB. Whether this is due to year-class failure in estuaries of that region, or reflects a lack of pelagic-juvenile recruitment to those estuaries, is unknown.

## RESEARCH NEEDS

## LIFE HISTORY AND BIOLOGY

We lack information on the reproductive biology of bluefish. Observed patterns of spawning may be based on the population level rather than on information on individual reproductive traits. We presently do not know whether individuals spawn serially, and if so, how many times they are capable of spawning in a year. We also do not know if these reproductive characteristics vary with age. It is apparent that more study of the distribution of older stages needs to be correlated with spawning events. Since bluefish school in like-sized (and supposedly likeaged) groups, we need to know what groups are where and when, and how those aggregations are associated with the observed densities of eggs. Simply describing how many spawning events are occurring can not solve the issue of the number of manageable stocks.

Our understanding of the "pelagic-juvenile" stage is limited despite its obvious importance. We need to better understand the details of transport mechanisms that provide progeny of reproduction in the SAB to nurseries in the MAB. Increased sampling of the neuston or nearsurface layers of the ocean between production areas and estuarine nursery areas, associated with appropriate oceanographic observations, would provide much-needed insight into factors affecting transport and estuarine recruitment.

There has been a tight correlation between population size and the contribution of the spring-spawned cohort to fall trawl collections in the last three decades. Yet our knowledge of reproduction in the SAB is limited to a brief, under-sampled period in the 1970s when the population was at a relatively low level of abundance. Furthermore, larvae produced in June in the southern part of the MAB appear not to survive [unless recruits to Maine estuaries result from this output, see Creaser and Perkins (1994)], the fate of the remaining MAB summer offspring remains enigmatic, and the relative contribution of this summer-spawned cohort to year-class success would seem to be negligible.

There is some evidence for spawning during the fall in the Cape Canaveral region of Florida that appears to be discrete, rather than a continuation of spawning in the MAB. This evidence has been demonstrated in this document with larval occurrences and a disjunct autumn distribution of fishes between 26 and 40 cm . Hare and Cowen (1993) present gonadosomatic data that suggest the same thing. Admittedly, some of this evidence is weak and based on incomplete sampling, and should be improved to determine the origin of these spawning fish, the magnitude of spawning, and the fate of any progeny.

## HABITAT REQUIREMENTS

It is obvious from a review of the literature that we lack data to address the habitat issue at Tier 3 (habitatspecific growth, reproduction, and survival rates). Assessing how characteristics of habitat might affect the quality of young-of-the-year is therefore not feasible. Results of biological sampling, in estuaries or continental shelf waters, only rarely report specific characteristics of sampling sites. Therefore, data accruing from these studies are likely to be limited to "presence/absence" value only. According to Miller (1984): "We need a reasonable schema of estuaries, emphasizing the factors that have the most significance to the fish. Unfortunately, the necessary physical data are often lacking for an accurate characterization. Many are also temporally unstable. Not even our attempts to classify estuaries recognize their dynamic nature... we need more complete descriptions of how biologically relevant abiotic factors within estuaries affect biologically relevant scales of time and space. Without this, we cannot hope to untangle the biological processes or to compare results from different estuaries. Biologists need to involve more physical oceanographers and meteorologists in our research." Clearly, in the future, more attention to details of collecting sites needs to be paid, and habitat research supported, such that the linkages between habitat quality and year class success can be made.

There are lingering conclusions that the summerspawned cohort in the MAB uses nearshore coastal zones as nurseries, more so than estuaries. To some extent, this
view may be based on the relative paucity of this cohort compared to the spring-spawned cohort in estuaries. Increased sampling of the near-coastal environment with appropriate gear should be encouraged to assess the relative value of this region.
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Table 1. Dietary items of bluefish from several study areas.

| Source | Life History Stage and Study Location | Diet Items (in order of importance) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Texas <br> Instruments <br> Incorporated $1976$ | Young-of-the-year, Hudson River (tidal) | Anchoa mitchilli (dominated diet through summer), Clupeidae, Microgadus tomcod, Alosa sapidissima, Notropis hudsonius, Cyprinodontidae |
| Festa 1979 | 11-20 cm, Little Egg Harbor estuary, NJ | Fundulus spp., Atherinidae, Anchoa spp., Callinectes sapidus, Brevoortia tyrannus, Crangon septemspinosa |
| Friedland et al. 1988 | Juvenile, Sandy Hook, NJ | 1981: Teleosts, Crustacea, Polychaeta <br> 1982: Crustacea, Teleostei, Polychaeta <br> 1983: Crustacea, Teleostei, Polychaeta <br> (weight at length significantly greater in 1981) |
| Hartman and Brandt 1995a, b | Age 0, Age 1, and Age 2, Chesapeake Bay <br> (Diets of all age classes changed through season) | Age 0: Anchoa mitchilli, Menidia menidia, Brevoortia tyrannus <br> Age 1: Leiostomus xanthurus, A. mitchilli, M. menidia, B. tyrannus <br> Age 2: Micropogonias undulatus, A. mitchilli, B. tyrannus <br> (B. tyrannus becomes important in diets of all age classes in Sep-Oct.) |
| Buckel and Conover 1997 | Young-of-the-year, Hudson River estuary | Unidentified fish, Anchoa mitchilli, Alosa spp., Morone saxatilis, Morone americana |
| NEFSC, Trawl <br> Survey Diet Data | All ages (mean size 35.6 mm FL), continental shelf, Georges Bank and Middle Atlantic Bight | 1973-1980: Unidentified fishes, Illex spp., Etrumeus teres, Loligo spp., Peprilus triacanthus, Cephalopoda <br> 1981-1990: Unidentified fishes, Ammodytes dubius, Peprilus triacanthus, Loligo spp., Clupea harengus |

Table 2. Summary of life history and habitat characteristics for bluefish, Pomatomus saltatrix. See Appendix 1 for a more complete listing of habitat variables.

| Life History Stage | Habitat (Spatial and Temporal) | Temperature | Salinity | Light/Vertical Distribution | Currents/ Circulation | Prey | Estuarine Use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eggs ${ }^{1}$ | spring cohort: unknown. summer cohort: occurs across continental shelf, southern New England to Cape Hatteras. Most in mid-shelf waters. | spring cohort: unknown. summer cohort: most in $18-22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | spring cohort: unknown. summer cohort: 31.0 ppt or more (minimum 26.0 ppt). | spring cohort: unknown. <br> summer cohort: peak spawning in the evening (1900-2100 hrs). | spring cohort: unknown. summer cohort: in southern MAB, surface currents transport eggs south and offshore. | -- | None |
| Larvae ${ }^{2}$ | spring cohort: near edge of continental shelf, Cape HatterasCape Canaveral, FL. Peak AprilMay. summer cohort: most 30-70 m depths, May-Sept, peak in July. | spring cohort: smallest larvae in > $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> summer cohort: near Cape Hatteras $22.1-22.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; in MAB $18-26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | spring cohort: <br> smallest larvae in > 35 ppt . <br> summer cohort: in MAB in $30-32 \mathrm{ppt}$. | spring cohort: > 4 mm strongly associate with surface. summer cohort: near surface at night, mostly at about 4 m during day. | spring cohort: <br> subject to <br> northward <br> advection by Gulf <br> Stream. Some <br> retained in SAB <br> by southerly <br> counter-current. <br> summer cohort: <br> southwest winds <br> in MAB may <br> facilitate cross- <br> shelf transport. | summer <br> cohort: <br> mostly <br> copepod life history stages. Guts full during day. | None |
| Pelagic Juveniles ${ }^{3}$ | spring cohort: smallest near 180 m contour; larger near shore. AprilMay. <br> summer cohort: cross MAB shelf from Slope Sea to shore, early- to mid-June. | spring cohort: $19.0-24.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (or higher well offshore). summer cohort: in MAB $15.0-20.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (most $>18.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). As low as $13.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ when cross shelf. | spring cohort: <br> Near 180 m <br> contour, > 35.0 <br> ppt. <br> summer cohort: <br> During June, range $36.0-31.0 \mathrm{ppt}$. | both cohorts: <br> strongly associated with the surface. | spring cohort: <br> shoreward movement with growth unless advected north. summer cohort: move shoreward with growth. Currents important, but active swimming indicated. | -- | both <br> cohorts: <br> enter <br> estuarine <br> nurseries <br> during this <br> stage |
| Juveniles ${ }^{4}$ <br> (summer <br> cohort <br> only) | Several estuarine study areas between Narragansett Bay, RI and Delaware Bay and Delaware River. | In most studies, arrive $>20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, remain in temperatures up to $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, emigrate when declines to $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Can not survive below $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or above $34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Fall migration in 18$22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on inner continental shelf. | Usually 23.0-33.0 ppt but can intrude to as low as 3.0 ppt. | Day: usually near shorelines or in tidal creeks. Night: usually in open bay or channel waters. | Can occur in surf zone or clear to turbid backestuarine zones. | Atlantic silversides, clupeids, striped bass, bay anchovy, others. | Mostly sand, but some mud, silt, clay. Also uses Ulva, Zostera beds, and Spartina or Fucus. |
| Adults ${ }^{5}$ | Generally oceanic, nearshore to well offshore over continental shelf. | Warm water, usually $>14-16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Can tolerate 11.8$30.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ but are stressed at either extreme. | Oceanic salinities. | -- | -- | Sight feeders, prey on other fishes almost exclusively. | Not uncommon in bays, larger estuaries, as well as coastal waters. |

[^1]Table 3. Sampling in 1979 ("Southern MARMAP") for bluefish larvae in the Charleston Bump area ( $32^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-32^{\circ} 80^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ x $78^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{W}-79^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ ). Isaacs Kidd MWT only.

| Date | Sampling Depth | Sampling Duration | Volume Sampled | Bluefish No. $/ 10 \mathrm{~m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| February 9 | 15 | 5 | 308 |  |
| " | 37 | 27 | 641 |  |
| " | 84 | 33 | 816 |  |
| February 28 | 31 | 26 | 693 | 0.89 |
| " | 54 | 25 | 1085 |  |
| " | 110 | 35 | 1052 |  |
| March 13 | 30 | 22 | 580 |  |
| " | 74 | 29 | 995 |  |
| March 17 | 114 | 38 | 1258 | 0.91 |
| March 18 | 28 | 20 | 700 |  |
| March 27 | 18 | 20 | 742 | 1.16 |
| " | 58 | 27 | 1002 | 0.78 |
| " | 98 | 34 | 1261 |  |
| March 28 | 30 | 26 | 965 |  |
| April 6 | 32 | 25 | 875 | 0.71 |
| " | 62 | 25 | 875 | 41.48 |
| " | 132 | 40 | 1400 | 0.38 |
| April 18 | 27 | 20 | 700 |  |
| " | 38 | 21 | 735 | 2.22 |
| " | 128 | 33 | 1155 |  |
| April 19 | 42 | 22 | 770 | 1.45 |
| April 30 | 28 | 22 | 770 | 36.99 |
| May 1 | 76 | 27 | 945 | 21.16 |
| " | 134 | 38 | 1330 |  |
| " | 50 | 25 | 875 | 3.97 |
| May 16 | 34 | 22 | 770 | 2.65 |
| " | 58 | 25 | 875 | 9.55 |
| " | 130 | 35 | 1225 | 0.36 |
| June 5 | 28 | 22 | 770 |  |
| " | 58 | 31 | 1085 |  |
| June 30 | 37 | 26 | 910 |  |
| July 1 | 58 | 29 | 1015 |  |
| " | 124 | 47 | 1645 |  |
| August 12 | 42 | 24 | 890 |  |
| August 13 | 127 | 31 | 1150 |  |
| " | 50 | 22 | 816 |  |
| " | 22 | 20 | 742 |  |

Table 4. Distribution of early life history stages of bluefish, Pomatomus saltatrix, in estuaries from Maine to Florida. Occurrences are not quantitative and may be based on one or very few specimens. Estimates of relative abundance after Nelson and Monaco (1994), Jury et al. (1994), Stone et al. (1994). Some Middle Atlantic Bight estuaries after Able and Fahay (1998).

| Estuary | Eggs | Larvae | Juveniles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Passamaquoddy Bay, ME | None | None | Rare |
| Englishman/Machias Bay, ME | None | None | Rare |
| Narraguagus Bay, ME | None | None | Rare |
| Blue Hill Bay, ME | None | None | Rare |
| Penobscot Bay, ME | None | None | Common |
| Muscongus Bay, ME | None | None | Common |
| Damariscotta River, ME | None | None | Common |
| Sheepscot River, ME | None | None | Common |
| Kennebec/Androscoggin Rivers, ME | None | None | Common |
| Casco Bay, ME | None | None | Common |
| Saco Bay, ME | None | None | Common |
| Wells Harbor, ME | None | None | Common |
| Great Bay, ME/NH | None | None | Common |
| Merrimack River, NH | None | None | Rare |
| Massachusetts Bay, MA | None | None | Common |
| Boston Harbor, MA | None | None | Common |
| Cape Cod Bay, MA | None | None | Common |
| Nauset Marsh, MA | None | None | None |
| Buzzards Bay, MA | None | Rare | Abundant |
| Narragansett Bay, RI | None | Rare/common | Abundant |
| Connecticut River, CT | None | None | Abundant |
| Long Island Sound, NY | None | None | Abundant |
| Gardiners Bay, NY | Rare | Rare | Abundant |
| Great South Bay, NY | None | None | Abundant |
| Hudson River, Raritan/Sandy Hook Bays, NY/NJ | Rare | Rare | Abundant |
| Barnegat Bay, NJ | None | Rare | Abundant |
| Great Bay, NJ | None | Rare | Common |
| Southern Inland bays, NJ | None | Rare | Abundant |
| Delaware Bay, NJ/DE | None | rare | Abundant |
| Delaware Inland bays, DE | None | None | Common |
| Eastern Shore, MD/VA | None | Rare | Common |
| Chesapeake Bay mainstem, MD/VA | None | None | Abundant |
| Chester River, MD | None | None | Common |
| Choptank River, MD | None | None | Common |

Table 4. cont'd.

| Estuary | Eggs | Larvae | Juveniles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Patuxent River, MD | None | None | Common |
| Potomac River, MD/VA | None | None | Abundant |
| Tangier/Pocomoke Sound, VA | None | None | Abundant |
| Rappahannock River, VA | None | None | Abundant |
| York River, VA | None | None | Abundant |
| James River, VA | None | None | Abundant |
| Albemarle Sound, NC | None | None | Common |
| Pamlico Sound, NC | None | None | Abundant |
| Pungo River, NC | None | None | Common |
| Neuse River, NC | None | None | Common |
| Bogue Sound, NC | None | None | Common |
| New River, NC | None | None | Common |
| Cape Fear River, NC | None | None | Abundant |
| Winyah Bay, SC | None | None | Common |
| Santee Rivers (N\&S), SC | None | None | Common |
| Charleston Harbor, SC | None | None | Common |
| St. Helena Sound, SC | None | None | Common |
| Broad River, SC | None | None | Common |
| Savannah River, SC/GA | None | None | Common |
| Ossabow Sound, GA | None | None | Common |
| Sapelo Sound/ St. Catherine, GA | None | None | Common |
| Altamaha River, GA | None | None | Common |
| St. Andrew/St. Simon Sound, GA | None | None | Common |
| St. Johns River, FL | None | None | Common |
| Indian River, FL | None | None | Rare |
| Biscayne Bay, FL | None | None | Rare |

Table 5. Unpublished records of juvenile bluefish in waters of coastal Maine. Collection locations are ordered from north to south (after Creaser and Perkins 1994).

| Location | Date of Collection | O/E ${ }^{1}$ | Number Collected | Size (mm TL) | Method ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marston Pt. | August 25, 1983 | O | 3 | 100-130 | HW |
| Seal Island | July 1991 | O | 1 | 50 | AT |
| Matinicus Rock | July 24-30, 1991 | O | 4 | 50-60 | RT |
| " | July 9-17, 1991 | O | 14 | 40-50 | AT |
| " | Mid-July 1990 | O | 2 | 30-40 | AT |
| " | July 5, 1989 | O | 2 | 85-90 | AP |
| " | July 18, 1986 | O | 1 | 77 | AP |
| Foot Bridge (Boothbay Harbor) | Summer 1970-1974 | O | --- | Juveniles (2 modes) | HS |
| DMR Dock | July 4, 1984 | O | 3 | 40-50 | HL |
| " | August 25, 1978 | O | 1 | 86 | DN |
| " | September 14, 1971 | O | 5 | 95-105 | --- |
| Townsend Gut | September 5, 1985 | O | 1 | Juvenile | HL |
| Lobster Cove | August 11, 1991 | O | 4 | 162-192 | HL |
| " | August 30, 1990 | O | 1 | 145 | HL |
| Sheepscot River | August 2, 1989 | E | 1 | 140 | HL |
| Sheepscot Falls | August 1967 | E | --- | 150-200 | HL |
| Marsh River | July 17-Sept 17, 1991 | E | 60 | 101-217 | GN |
| " | August 1-Sept 26, 1990 | E | 149 | 89-218 | GN |
| " | August 8-28, 1989 | E | 102 | 92-194 | GN |
| " | August 26, 1987 | E | 6 | 129-163 | GN |
| " | August 14, 1986 | E | 28 | 93-121 | GN |
| The Eddy | July 9, 1991 | E | 3 | 80-85 | HS |
| Cross River | August 8, 1991 | E | 1 | 115 | HS |
| Berry Island | September 8, 1974 | E | 4 | 125-140 | HS |
| " | August 29, 1973 | E | 2 | 132-141 | HS |
| " | August 30, 1972 | E | 1 | 112 | HS |
| Kennebec Pt. | August 10-22, 1990 | O | 29 | 39-70 | HS |

Table 5. cont'd.

| Location | Date of Collection | O/E ${ }^{1}$ | Number <br> Collected | Size (mm TL) | Method ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mouth of Abagadasset River | July 18, 1991 | E | 2 | 84-94 | HS |
| " | July 3, 1991 | E | 6 | 112-115 | HS |
| " | August 3, 1989 | E | 8 | 52-76 | HS |
| " | September 11, 1987 | E | 2 | 142-150 | HS |
| " | July 17, 1986 | E | 5 | 70-77 | HS |
| Mouth of Androscoggin River | August 5, 1983 | E | 2 | 82-86 | HS |
| Bath Bridge | Summer 1982 | E | 90 | < 100 | OT |
| Winnegance Bay | Summer 1988-1990 | E | --- | 50-150 | HL |
| Atkins Bay | Summer 1981 | E | --- | 80-90 | HS |
| Howard Point | August 1988 | E | 3 | 70-130 | FK |
| Jenny Island | July 16, 1991 | E | 1 | 40 | CT |
| Merepoint Bay | September 26, 1991 | E | 97 | 150-174 | GN |
| Royal River | Summer 1988 | E | --- | Juvenile | --- |
| SMVTI Dock | September 1986 | O | --- | 130-150 | HL |
| Union Wharf | September 1984 | O | 6 | 150-200 | HL |
| Dunston, Libby, Nonesuch Rivers (confluence) | Summer 1987 | E | --- | Juvenile | HL |
| 1 mi. off amusement pier, Old Orchard Beach | Summer 1961-1964 | O | --- | Juvenile | HL |
| Wells Harbor | August 1991 | E | 1 | 68 | FN |

${ }^{1} \mathrm{O}=$ oceanic; $\mathrm{E}=$ estuarine
${ }^{2}$ Collection methods: $\mathrm{OT}=$ otter trawl; $\mathrm{FN}=$ fyke net; $\mathrm{HL}=$ hook and line; $\mathrm{HS}=$ haul seine; $\mathrm{AP}=$ Atlantic puffin; $\mathrm{GN}=$ gill net; $\mathrm{AT}=$ Arctic tern; $\mathrm{DN}=$ dip net; $\mathrm{CT}=$ common tern; $\mathrm{HW}=$ herring weir; $\mathrm{RT}=$ roseate tern


Figure 1. The adult bluefish, Pomatomus saltatrix (from Goode 1884).


Figure 2. The pelagic juvenile bluefish, 24.3 mm SL (from Able and Fahay 1998).


Figure 3. Distribution and abundance of bluefish eggs collected during NEFSC MARMAP ichthyoplankton surveys in the Mid-Atlantic Bight from 1978-1987 [survey also covered the Gulf of Maine and Georges Bank; see Reid et al. (1999) for details].



Figure 3. cont'd.


Figure 4. Abundance of bluefish eggs relative to near-surface water column temperature and depth based on NEFSC MARMAP ichthyoplankton surveys in the Mid-Atlantic Bight (May- August 1978-1987, all years combined).


Figure 5. Distribution and abundance of bluefish larvae collected during NEFSC MARMAP ichthyoplankton surveys of both the Mid-Atlantic Bight (1977-1987) and South Atlantic Bight (1973-1978) [survey also covered the Gulf of Maine and Georges Bank; see Reid et al. (1999) for details].


Figure 6. Distribution and abundance of bluefish larvae collected with a bongo net in the South Atlantic Bight during NEFSC MARMAP ichthyoplankton surveys [see Reid et al. (1999) for details].



Figure 6. cont'd.


Figure 7. Distribution and abundance of bluefish larvae collected in a neuston net in the South Atlantic Bight during NEFSC MARMAP ichthyoplankton surveys [see Reid et al. (1999) for details].


Figure 7. cont'd.


Figure 8. Distribution and abundance of bluefish larvae collected during NEFSC MARMAP ichthyoplankton surveys in the Mid-Atlantic Bight from 1977-1987 [survey also covered the Gulf of Maine and Georges Bank; see Reid et al. (1999) for details].


Figure 8. cont'd.



Figure 8. cont'd.


Figure 9. Abundance of bluefish larvae relative to near-surface water column temperature and depth based on NEFSC MARMAP ichthyoplankton surveys in the Mid-Atlantic Bight (May-September 1977-1987, all years combined).


Figure 10. Reported occurrences of juvenile bluefish along the east coast of the United States (Clark 1973).


Figure 11. Abundance (number/tow) of young-of-the-year bluefish in seine and trawl surveys by state and by year.


Figure 12. Distribution and abundance of juvenile and adult bluefish collected in Massachusetts coastal waters during spring (adults only) and autumn (both juveniles and adults) Massachusetts inshore trawl surveys [1978-1996, all years combined; see Reid et al. (1999) for details].


Figure 12. cont'd.

## Bluefish

Mass. Inshore Trawl Surveys

Juveniles





Adults





Figure 13. Abundance of juvenile and adult bluefish relative to bottom water temperature and depth based on Massachusetts inshore bottom trawl surveys (spring and autumn 1978-1996, all years combined).

Bluefish Juveniles ( $<35 \mathrm{~cm}$ )


Figure 14. Distribution and abundance of juvenile and adult bluefish collected in Narragansett Bay during 1990-1996 Rhode Island bottom trawl surveys. The numbers shown at each station are the average catch per tow rounded to one decimal place [see Reid et al. (1999) for details].


Figure 14. cont'd.


Figure 15. Seasonal length frequency distributions of bluefish collected in Narragansett Bay during 1990-1996 Rhode Island bottom trawl surveys [all years combined; see Reid et al. (1999) for details].


Figure 16. Seasonal abundance of juvenile and adult bluefish relative to bottom water temperature and depth based on Rhode Island Narragansett Bay trawl surveys (1990-1996, all years combined).


Figure 16. cont'd.


Figure 17. Distribution, abundance, and length frequency distributions of bluefish in Long Island Sound collected during spring and autumn Connecticut bottom trawl surveys [1992-1997, all years combined; see Reid et al. (1999) for details].


Figure 18. Abundance of bluefish relative to bottom water temperature based on spring and autumn Connecticut bottom trawl surveys in Long Island Sound (1992-1997, all years combined).


Figure 19. Seasonal distribution and abundance of juvenile and adult bluefish collected in the Hudson-Raritan estuary during Hudson-Raritan trawl surveys [1992-1997, all years combined; see Reid et al. (1999) for details].
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Figure 19. cont'd.


Adults ( $\geq 35 \mathrm{~cm}$ )





Figure 20. Abundance of juvenile and adult bluefish relative to bottom water temperature, depth, dissolved oxygen, and salinity based on Hudson-Raritan estuary trawl surveys (1992-1997, all years combined).


Figure 21. Distribution and abundance of bluefish in the South Atlantic Bight collected during SEAMAP bottom trawl surveys [1990-1996, all years combined; see Reid et al. (1999) for details].

## SEAMAP Bluefish



Figure 22. Length frequency distribution of bluefish in the South Atlantic Bight collected during SEAMAP bottom trawl surveys (1990-1996, all years combined).


Figure 23. Monthly distribution, abundance, and length frequency distribution of bluefish in the South Atlantic Bight collected during SEAMAP bottom trawl surveys (1990-1996, all years combined).


Figure 23. cont'd.


Figure 24. Seasonal length frequency distributions used to determine bluefish size and age cutoffs in NEFSC bottom trawl surveys.


Figure 25. Distribution and abundance of bluefish (all sizes combined) collected off the east coast of the United States during NEFSC bottom trawl surveys [all years and seasons combined; see Reid et al. (1999) for details].


Figure 26. Distribution and abundance of bluefish (all lengths combined) collected off the east coast of the United States during winter NEFSC bottom trawl surveys [1964-1997, all years combined; see Reid et al. (1999) for details].


Figure 27. Length frequency distribution of bluefish caught in the winter off North Carolina during winter NEFSC bottom trawl surveys.


Figure 28. Distribution and abundance of four size classes of bluefish collected off the east coast of the United States during spring NEFSC bottom trawl surveys [1968-1997, all years combined; see Reid et al. (1999) for details].


Figure 29. Abundance of large ( $>30 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) and small ( $<30 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) bluefish relative to bottom water temperature and depth based on spring east coast NEFSC bottom trawl surveys.


Figure 29. cont'd.


Figure 30. Distribution and abundance of four size classes of bluefish collected off the east coast of the United States during summer NEFSC bottom trawl surveys [1963-1995, all years combined; see Reid et al. (1999) for details].


Figure 31. Distribution and abundance of four size classes of bluefish collected off the east coast of the United States during fall NEFSC bottom trawl surveys [1963-1996, all years combined; see Reid et al. (1999) for details].


Figure 32. Abundance of young-of-the-year (YOY, $\langle 26 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) and age $1+(>26 \mathrm{~cm})$ bluefish relative to bottom water temperature and depth based on fall east coast NEFSC bottom trawl surveys.


Figure 32. cont'd.


Figure 33. Commercial landings, spawning stock biomass, and catch per unit effort (CPUE) for bluefish along the east coast of the United States (NEFSC, unpublished data).


Figure 34. Distribution and abundance of juvenile ( $<30 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) and adult ( $\geq 30 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) bluefish during a period of high abundance (1980-1982) and during a period of low abundance (1994-1996) based on autumn NEFSC bottom trawl surveys.

Appendix 1. Bluefish habitat characteristics. $\mathrm{MAB}=$ Middle Atlantic Bight; $\mathrm{SAB}=$ South Atlantic Bight.

## Eggs

| Authors | Study Period and Area | Habitat (Spatial and Temporal) | Temperature | Salinity | Dissolved Oxygen | Currents | Light | Prey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Berrien <br> and <br> Sibunka 1999 | 1977-1987, <br> Continental <br> Shelf waters, <br> Gulf of Maine <br> to Cape <br> Hatteras | Occur southern New England to Cape Hatteras across entire shelf. Most in mid-shelf waters of MAB, especially off New Jersey and Delaware Bay. May-August. | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Present Study | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1973-1980, } \\ & \text { SAB; } \\ & \text { 1977-1987, } \\ & \text { MAB } \end{aligned}$ | SAB: No data; MAB: most found over depths of 2040 m , May-August, peak in July. | SAB: No <br> data; <br> MAB: Most <br> in $18-22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Norcross et al. 1974 | 1960-1962, <br> Continental Shelf waters off Virginia | Across shelf, from nearshore to shelf edge, but most in outer half of shelf. June through August, peak July. | $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or more. <br> (Minimum <br> $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | 31 ppt or more. (Minimum $26.6 \mathrm{ppt})$ | --- | Prevailing surface currents transport eggs south and offshore. | Peak <br> spawning <br> evening $(1900-2100$ <br> hrs.) | --- |

Appendix 1. cont'd.

## Larvae

| Authors | Study Period and Area | Habitat (Spatial and Temporal) | Temperature | Salinity | Dissolved Oxygen | Currents | Light/Vertical Distribution | Prey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Norcross et al. 1974 | 1960-1962, <br> Continental <br> Shelf waters off Virginia | Surface waters, most near edge of shelf. | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Kendall <br> and <br> Walford 1979 | 1965-1967, <br> Continental <br> Shelf waters <br> between <br> Cape Cod <br> and Palm <br> Beach, <br> Florida | Late April: in and near Gulf Stream off Cape Hatteras; May: near edge of shelf off Carolinas; August: midshelf depths off New Jersey; September: few in New York Bight; October: concentration near shelf edge off Georgia. | C. Hatteras: <br> $22.1-22.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; <br> MAB: 18 - <br> $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{SAB}$ : <br> $20-26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | MAB: <br> 30-32 ppt <br> SAB: 35- <br> 38 ppt | --- | Larvae from spring spawn advected north via Gulf Stream. | --- | --- |
| Kendall and Naplin 1981 | July 1974, outer Continental Shelf off Delaware Bay | Vertical distribution study. Most larvae within 4 m of surface. | Surface $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Surface <br> 33 ppt | --- | --- | Near surface at night; mostly at 4 m during daylight. | Mostly copepod life history stages. Guts full during day; empty during night. |
| Collins <br> and <br> Stender <br> 1987 | 1973-1980, <br> Cape <br> Hatteras to Cape <br> Canaveral, Florida. | Mostly in waters $>40 \mathrm{~m}$, primarily in spring, secondarily in late summer. | --- | --- | --- | Southerly countercurrent retains larvae in SAB. | $>4 \mathrm{~mm}$ <br> strongly associated with surface. | --- |
| Powles $1981$ | 1973-1976, <br> Cape Fear, North Carolina to Cape Canaveral, Florida | Peaked AprilMay; smallest near edge of shelf; larger closer to shore or advected north. | Smallest larvae $>24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Smallest <br> larvae > <br> 35 ppt | --- | Ekman drift would impede inshore migration. | Predominately neustonic. | --- |
| Present Study | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SAB: 1973- } \\ & \text { 1980; } \\ & \text { MAB: } 1977- \\ & 1987 \end{aligned}$ | SAB: Most April- <br> May near edge of shelf; <br> MAB: MaySeptember, peak July, mostly between depths of $30-70 \mathrm{~m}$. | SAB: No data MAB: Most $18-24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | -- | --- | SAB: subject to northward advection by Gulf Stream. | --- | --- |
| Hare and Cowen 1996 | March 1990, <br> 1991; April <br> 1989; June <br> 1991; Water <br> masses off <br> Cape <br> Hatteras | Larvae occurred March through June; different sizes occurred in different water masses. | March: 20$25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; April: $18-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; June: $21-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | March: <br> 36+ ppt; <br> April: <br> 34.5-36.5 <br> ppt; June: <br> 31-36 ppt | --- | SW winds in MAB may facilitate cross-shelf transport of larvae. | --- | --- |

Appendix 1. cont'd.
Pelagic-Juveniles

| Authors | Study Period and Area | Habitat (Spatial and Temporal) | Temperature | Salinity | Dissolved Oxygen | Currents | Light/Vertical Distribution | Prey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hare <br> and <br> Cowen <br> 1996 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1988, MAB } \\ & \text { shelf edge } \end{aligned}$ | Cross shelf from Slope Sea to shore early to mid-June. | $13.0-15.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | --- | --- | Wind-driven flow may be important, but active swimming probably more important. | Surface oriented | --- |
| Kendall <br> and <br> Walford 1979 | 1965-1972, <br> East Coast <br> U.S. (MAB <br> and SAB <br> Continental <br> Shelf into <br> Slope Sea) | April (late): many near Cape Hatteras; May: shelf in SAB, largest nearshore; June: MAB between shore and shelf/slope front; <br> Fall: few between Delaware Bay and Cape Hatteras; Winter: few between St. Johns River and Cape Canaveral. | April-May: <br> $22.1-24.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> Jun: 15.0- <br> $20.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (most <br> $>18.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) <br> Fall: 15.0- <br> $18.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> Winter: 13.0- <br> $15.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | --- | --- | Migrate across shelf from shelf/slope front to shore as shelf waters warm. | All collected in near-surface samplers. | --- |
| Collins <br> and <br> Stender <br> 1987 | 1973-1980, <br> SAB Cape <br> Fear-Cape <br> Canaveral | Seaward of 40 m isobath, mostly spring, some fall occurrences. | --- | --- | --- | Strong negative correlation of size and depth during spring, indicates shoreward movement with growth. | Strongly associated with the surface. | --- |
| Fahay <br> 1975 | Seasonal, <br> May 1967- <br> Feb. 1968. <br> SAB <br> Continental <br> Shelf | 14 collected between North Carolina and Cape Canaveral, various depths between nearshore and shelf edge. All during May. | $19.0-24.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Powles 1981 | 1973-1976; <br> SAB Cape fear-Cape <br> Canaveral | Smallest collected near 180 m contour; larger near shore. | $\begin{aligned} & 180 \mathrm{~m} \\ & \text { contour: > } \\ & 24.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $180 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> contour: $>35.0$ <br> ppt | --- | Weak association of size with proximity to coast. Most probably advected north. | Strongly associated with the surface. | --- |

Appendix 1. cont'd.
Juveniles and Older

| Authors | Study Period and Area | Habitat (Spatial and Temporal) | Temperature | Salinity | Dissolved Oxygen | Currents/ <br> Tide | Substrate/ <br> Vegetation | Light/ Diel | Prey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nyman <br> and <br> Conover 1988 | 1985-1986, both shores of Long Island, New York | Occur in embayments, between late May and October. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Arrive }>20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \text {; } \\ & \text { emigrate ca. } \\ & 15^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Rountree <br> and Able <br> 1992a, b | 1988-1989, <br> Great South <br> Bay, New <br> Jersey | Occur in polyhaline subtidal marsh creeks during summer. | $>20.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23.0-30.0 } \\ & \text { ppt } \end{aligned}$ | --- | --- | --- | Day: tidal creeks Night: open bay | Menidia menidia |
| Able $e t$ al. 1996 | Great Bay, New Jersey | Most bluefish in subtidal creeks. | $19.0-28.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25.0-33.0 } \\ & \text { ppt } \end{aligned}$ | --- | --- | $0.3-1.2 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> depth; Ulva lactuca | --- | --- |
| Milstein et al. 1977 | 1972-1974, <br> Great Bay, New Jersey | Several distinct habitats studied; bluefish most abundant in mud-sand, high salinity sites; also sandy beaches. | --- | --- | --- | Slow to moderate, swept by waves. | Mostly sand, some gravel, silt, clay; Ulva lactuca, Spartina alterniflora, Fucus (sometimes). | --- | --- |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Smith } \\ & 1971 \end{aligned}$ | 1969-1970, four low-salinity creeks, upper Delaware Bay | Six YOY occurred in two of the creeks, June and July. | $24.5-30.0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0-5.2 ppt | 4.5-7.3 | Ebb/flood | Sand/gravel | Day | --- |
| Pristas and Trent 1977 | 1972, St. <br> Andrews Bay, <br> Florida | Range of depths sampled with gill nets, 24 hrs. Bluefish most dense in shallowest zone ( $0.7-1.1 \mathrm{~m}$ ). | $11.4-27.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25.3-34.6 } \\ & \text { ppt } \end{aligned}$ | --- | --- | $>80 \%$ sand; vegetation most dense in shallow zone. | Bluefish most abundant at night in shallowest zone. | --- |
| McBride et al. 1995 | Narragansett Bay, Rhode Island | June-October, shallow beaches. | $18.0-28.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25.0-34.0 } \\ & \text { ppt } \end{aligned}$ | --- | --- | Cobble, gravel, shell, sand; Ulva and some Zostera | Day sampling only. | --- |
| de Sylva et al. 1962 | 1958-1960, <br> Delaware Bay and River | July and August, mostly in shore zone of lower estuary. | --- | usually <br> high, but as <br> low as 3.0 <br> ppt | --- | Surf zone, clear to turbid. | Sand | --- | Collected with small clupeids and anchovies |
| Buckel <br> and <br> Conover <br> 1997 | 1992-1993, <br> Hudson River estuary | Mid-channel and nearshore day-night occurrence and feeding study. | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | Most abundant nearshore during daylight; midchannel at night and twilight. | Gut <br> fullness highest twilight and day, usually low at night. Prey: striped bass, bay anchovy, clupeids. |
| Present <br> Study | 1964-1997, <br> Continental shelf MAB, south to Cape Fear, Cape Canaveral | Inner shelf (over depths $<20 \mathrm{~m}$ ) during summer and fall. | Most $18-22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |  |
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