

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory 17 June 2009

Overview



- A high level overview of the Sequoia target architecture and multi-petascale applications strategy
- When deployed, Sequoia will deliver world class computing power to the Tri-Laboratory Stockpile Stewardship Program
 - Sequoia target architecture designed to meet programmatic objectives
 - Sequoia represents a 7-10 year effort with a long term vendor partnership with IBM
 - Partnership will utilize second and third generations of IBM BlueGene technology



Sequoia will be a key simulation tool for keystones and uncertainty quantification for stockpile stewardship



- ASC Strategy and ASC Roadmap provide a vision for and keystones leading to "predictive simulation" or prediction with quantified uncertainties
- Thermonuclear Burn Initiative, National Boost Initiative and Predictive Capability Framework represent Stockpile Stewardship Program (SSP) planning to coordinate on the key issues impeding predictive simulation
- Sequoia is intended to address requirements coming from this planning in the period between 2012 - 2017, focusing on UQ and materials science, related to boost and certification
- To demonstrate it can meet these objectives, Sequoia will:
 - Achieve 12X-24X Purple throughput for integrated weapons calculations related to UQ (stretch goal >> 24X)
 - Achieve 20X BG/L (stretch goal 50X) on a science materials effort
 - Single RFP mandatory was Peak + Sustained ≥ 40



Sequoia Hierarchal Hardware Architecture in Integrated Simulation Environment





- Sequoia Statistics
 - 20 PF/s target
 - Memory 1.6 PB, 4 PB/s BW
 - 1.5M Cores
 - 3 PB/s Link BW
 - 60 TB/s bi-section BW
 - 0.5-1.0 TB/s Lustre BW
 - 50 PB Disk
- 6.0MW Power, 3,500 ft²
- Third generation IBM BlueGene
- Challenges
 - Hardware Scalability
 - Software Scalability
 - Applications Scalability





Dawn acceptance is complete and early science runs have commenced



- Dawn hardware delivery started
 19 Jan 2009
- Rapid deployment of 36 racks completed ahead of an aggressive schedule
- Full Synthetic Workload acceptance test successfully completed 26 March 2009
- Full system science runs underway



The first half of DAWN (initial delivery of Sequoia) was received at the TerascaleSimulation Facility in late January, 2009

Dawn Dedication 27 May 2009





Sequoia Timeline Delivers Petascale Resources to the Program



Sequoia contract award Sequoia contract award Careptance Sequoia phase 2 & final system acceptance





Sequoia will scale utilizing hierarchal software model



Light weight kernel on compute node

- Optimized for scalability and reliability
 - As simple as possible. Full control
 - Extremely low OS noise
 - Direct access to interconnect hardware
- OS features
 - Linux syscall compatible with IO syscalls forwarded to I/O nodes
 - Support for dynamic libs runtime loading
 - Shared memory regions
- Open source

Linux on I/O Node

- Leverage huge Linux base & community
 - Enhance TCP offload, PCIe, I/O
- Standard File Systems Lustre, NFSv4, etc
- Factor to Simplify:
 - Aggregates N CN for I/O & admin
- Open source







Sequoia Software Stack – Applications Perspective





Application Programming Model Requirements

- MPI Parallelism at top level
 - Static allocation of MPI tasks to nodes and sets of cores+threads
- Effectively absorb multiple cores+threads in MPI task
- Support multiple languages: C/C++/Fortran03
- Allow different physics packages to express node concurrency in different ways



Sequoia's programming model is a simple extension beyond MPI with flexible mechanisms to absorb cores and threads





Weapon physics codes can use most efficient style of multicore programming for each package and nest them



SciDAC 2009

New approach to parallelization: apply multiple approaches to parallelism at the code and package levels

ASC

- Utilize the optimal parallelism methodology for each package
 - Nested Node Concurrency programming model allows different packages to exploit SMP parallelism differently
 - OpenMP, Pthreads and SE/TM available
- Run packages within a code in parallel
 - Can absorb appropriate number of nodes to load level the application





Inefficient: packages A,B,C are run sequentially, with sub-optimal level of parallelism High-performance: compute-heavy package C is run concurrently with packages A and B

Availability of Dawn as a robust testbed and support of IBM collaboration allows development of novel parallelization model and implementations

SciDAC 2009



One example: novel parallelization in ddcMD/plasma

- Plasma modeling requires efficient handling of both short-range and long-range interactions
 - Long range interactions are typically calculated using reciprocal space (FFT) methods, which do not scale well



Solution:

- majority of nodes are used for short-range forces that scale extremely well
- \cdot use just enough MPI tasks to minimize the communication bottleneck for FFT
- use local threads to efficiently calculate FFT on small number of nodes

Sequoia Platform Target Performance is a Combination of Peak and Application Sustained Performance



- "Peak" of the machine is absolute maximum performance
 - FLOP/s = FLoating point OPeration per second
- Sustained is weighted average of five "marquee" benchmark code "Figure of Merit"
 - Four IDC package benchmarks & one "science" benchmark from SNL
 - FOM chosen to mimic "grind times" factors out scaling issues
- Three purposed for Sequoia Benchmarks
 - RFP selection
 - Bone fides for Marquee & other requirements in the contract
 - Synthetic Workload for machine pre-ship and acceptance testing





The marquee benchmark strategy for aggregating performance incentivizes IBM in two ways: scalability and throughput



AMG	wFOM = A x "solution vector size" * iter / sec
IRS	wFOM = B x "temperature variables" * iter / sec
SPhot	wFOM = C x "tracks" / sec
UMT	wFOM = D x corners*angles*groups*zones * iter / sec
LAMMPS	wFOM = $E \times atom updates / sec$

Aggregate wFOM = wFOM_{AMG} + wFOM_{IRS} + wFOM_{SPhot} + wFOM_{UMT} + wFOM_{LAMMPS}

Applications weights

Normalize the benchmarks to each other on reference systems

•All benchmarks are of equal importance

Based on the targets of 24X Purple IDC & 20X BG/L Science

LAMMPS	SPhot	SPhot	SPhot
	SPhot	SPhot	SPhot
	UMT	UMT	UMT
	UMT	UMT	UMT
	IRS	IRS	IRS
	IRS	IRS	IRS
	AMG3	AMG3	AMG3
	AMG4	AMG4	AMG4

This benchmarking strategy assures Sequoia will deliver both UQ and Science to the Stockpile Stewardship Program



SciDAC 2009

Scalable Applications Preparation (SAP) Project assists code teams in fully exploiting Sequoia capability

- Training and seminars on key technologies for multi-core programming
 - Leverage: PCET LDRD, LLNL/ANL R&D partnership to accelerate Sequoia First Wave Applications
- User Guide and Performance Tuning Documentation developed by LC User Training and Hotline staff
- Engagement of Tri-Lab code teams with site visits for training, workshops, and regular video conferences
- Use Dawn, hardware and software simulators to provide early access to new technologies for Sequoia











Now that Sequoia is announced, SAP is staffed and actively engaging the Tri-Laboratory community



- The SAP project coordinates and focuses existing efforts
 - Scott Futral (DEG), Tom Spelce, John Gyllenhaal (DEG)
 - Tim Fahey (LC Hotline), Blaise Barney (Training and Doc team),
 - Bronis de Supinski and Martin Schulz (PCET/CASC),
 - Barna Bihari (IBM), and 20 FTE from IBM Research
- Outreach & Education
 - "From here to Sequoia" Seminar March 23
 - Direct discussions with Tri-Lab code teams started March 23
 - Dawn Science runs on OCF March 27 for 6+ weeks
 - IBM HPCToolkit Presentation May 15 & 16
 - TAU Workshop May 26 & 27

