

National Science Foundation



Division of Institution and Award Support (DIAS)
Resolution and Advanced Monitoring (RAM) Branch
Advanced Monitoring Desk Reviews

Overview/Purpose

- Desk reviews assess an organization's capacity for award administration and the
 extent to which the organization maintains a control environment within which
 awards are likely to be administered in compliance with Federal financial and
 administrative regulations and NSF agreement provisions.
- The desk review process is designed to provide a point of reference that can
 inform future NSF monitoring efforts, identify administration or compliance issues,
 and highlight the need for business assistance. The process also seeks to ensure
 that NSF recipients have sound financial and administrative practices to provide
 adequate oversight of NSF funded projects.
- RAM performs approximately 100+ desk reviews each year, prioritizing awardee
 organizations for advanced monitoring desk reviews or site visits based on the
 results of its annual award portfolio risk assessment, monitoring requests from
 across NSF, and professional judgment. For some institutions, desk reviews may
 also be performed in advance of advanced monitoring site visits.

Timeframe/Roles

- Desk reviews are typically completed within 90 days of organization notification; however, some reviews may take longer.
- Desk reviews are conducted and summarized in writing by an external contractor, but RAM staff review the resulting report and work papers to ensure the review meets NSF standards and that concerns identified are adequately substantiated in the report.
- Typically, two to four representatives from the organization (e.g., two sponsored programs office staff and one accounting staff) participate in the desk review process.
 Because the review process focuses on award administration rather than award performance, the Principal Investigator of the award reviewed is not usually involved.

Useful Links:

National Science Foundation

DIAS Resolution and Advanced Monitoring Branch (RAM)

DIAS Cost Analysis and Pre-Award Branch (CAP)

NSF Proposal & Award Policies and Procedures Guide (PAPPG)

Prospective New Awardee Guide (PNAG)

Other NSF Policies and Award Conditions

Uniform Guidance (2 CFR 200)

For additional information consult:

NSF Division of Institution and Award support (DIAS) website https://www.nsf.gov/bfa/dias/

Questions may be directed to RAM: (703) 292-8244

Topics Covered

Desk reviews include three core review areas:

- **General Management Survey** Assesses whether the organization has an organizational structure that can protect assets against the incurrence of improper expenditures and ensuring the accuracy and reliability of financial and operating information.
- Accounting and Financial System Review Assesses the sufficiency of the organization's financial management policies, procedures, and practices for: 1) accurate and complete disclosure and documentation of the financial results of NSF awards; 2) effective control and accountability of funds, property, and other assets; and 3) determining the reasonableness, allocability, and allowability of costs charged to awards issued by NSF.
- Award Cash Management Service (ACM\$) Drawdown Process Review Verifies that organization reimbursements do not exceed cumulative expenses recorded in the accounting system as of the time reviewed and have not resulted in excess cash on hand. If funds are drawn in advance of expenditures incurred, verity that funds are utilized in a timely manner.

Common Concerns

- Policies, Procedures, and Practices Not Documented Organizations often have good practices but have
 incomplete or no written policies and procedures. Written policies are essential to demonstrate good practices and
 ensure consistent compliance, especially during times of staff turnover.
- Roles and Responsibilities Not Clearly Communicated Organizations often fail to document the staff roles/responsibilities for pre-award, post-award, and grant accounting functions. In the absence of a written overview for positions related to grant management, employees may not know where to go for support.